


ANNUAL REPORT

OF THE

TÁLUKDÁRI SETTLEMENT OFFICER

FOR THE YEAR 1892-93.

G. G. N-51



Bombay:

PRINTED AT THE GOVERNMENT CENTRAL PRESS.

1894.

133465

Digitized with financial assistance from

Government of Maharashtra

on 01 February, 2020

ADMINISTRATION REPORT, 1892-93.

No. 862 of 1893.

FROM

H. O. QUIN, ESQUIRE,
Acting Talukdári Settlement Officer,
Gujarát ;

TO

W. PORTEOUS, ESQUIRE,
Commissioner, N. D.

Ahmedabad, 12th August 1893.

SIR,

I have the honour to submit the following report on the administration of the Talukdári Settlement Department for the year 1892-93.

2. The office was in my charge during the whole year except for the three months from 5th August to 7th November, when I was away on privilege leave. During that time the office was in charge of Mr. Curtis, who also performed his own duties as Assistant Collector, Ahmedabad.

3. During the travelling season I visited the Sánand, Dholka, Viramgám, Dhandhuka and Gogha talukas of the Ahmedabad District and the Mehmabad, Mátar, Nadiad, Anand and Thásra talukas of the Kaira District. I visited also Borsad to examine the accounts in the Mámlatdár's kacheri. My tour this year did not extend to the Broach District, which, however, I was able to visit in 1891-92.

4. The season was on the whole a fair one throughout the Ahmedabad District, though the excessive rainfall did a considerable amount of damage to the kharif crops. The outturn of wheat and cotton was good, except in Sánand and in parts of Viramgám and Dholka. The rice crop in Sánand was larger than in the previous year. Jowári and bájri were poor crops except in Gogha. In the Kaira District also the heavy rain caused injury to most of the kharif crops. There was, however, a good outturn of rice, tuer and gram, and the tobacco crop was fair. In Broach the season appears to have been on the whole a very good one. The outturn of cotton was large, and almost all the other crops too did well.

5. The following table shows the total number and revenue of estates of all descriptions under management and of the Talukdári villages in Ahmedabad under revenue charge in the year under report and the preceding year. The different classes of estates shown in the table are noticed separately below :—

	Number.		Aggregate Revenue for collection.		Amount realized by sale under decrees.	
	1891-92.	1892-93.	1891-92.	1892-93.	1891-92.	1892-93.
			Rs.	Rs.	Rs. a. p.	
Ahmedabad Talukdárs under Act VI of 1862—Appendix I	60	59	76,050	95,802	...	...
Ahmedabad Talukdárs removed from Act VI of 1862, but continued under management—Appendix Ia.	7	6				
Kaira Thákors under Act XXI of 1881—Appendix II	43	42				
Broach Thákors under Act XXI of 1881—Appendix III	7	7	73,401	81,248	...	...
Minors' Estates under Act VIII of 1890—Appendix V	54	55	58,528	59,495	...	...
Miscellaneous Estates managed by agreements of owners and under Act VI of 1888—Appendix VI	18	19	63,333	85,071	...	...
Estates managed under attachment for default, &c., Section 144, Land Revenue Code—Appendix VIII	12	10	208,588	2,03,661	...	...
Estates—						
(a) Managed under Section 320, Civil Procedure Code—Appendix IX, Part I ...	241	204	82,353	78,941	...	...
(b) Under process of sale—Appendix IX, Part II	135	102	71,654	87,946	...	...
Estates managed under Section 504, Civil Procedure Code—Appendix X	7	6	...	...	6 4 0	...
Total	584	600	3,231	4,443	...	...
Talukdári villages under Revenue charge	357	357	6,42,166	6,96,407	6 4 0	...
			3,81,539	3,73,973	...	...

ENCUMBERED ESTATES IN AHMEDABAD.

6. The number of estates under management under Act VI of 1862 was, at the beginning of 1892-93, 59. The debts of the estates of Bawamia Rambhai and Sardarmia Rajbha of Aniali Kasbati and Bubawav having been paid off, these estates have been released from management. No other estate has been freed from debt during the year, and the number still remaining under the operation of the Act is therefore 57.

7. The number of estates released from management under the Act, but remaining under this office on account of indebtedness to Government, was, at the beginning of the year, 6. During the year the estate of Bhavsing Rawabhai of Dumali liquidated its debt and has been released. There are therefore 5 estates still under management for debts due to Government. The balance of debt amounts to Rs. 7,768-12-0.

8. Of the estates at present under management as shown in Appendices I and IA—

30 are managed directly by this office.

29 are managed by the Talukdars under the supervision of this office.

3 are managed by creditors under special arrangements.

62

9. The advances of Rs. 5,749, Rs. 8,813 and Rs. 6,352 sanctioned in Government Resolutions Nos. 674 and 675 dated the 25th January 1893 have been drawn and paid to the creditors of the estates of Jethipura and of Madhaji Ramaji, &c., and Dhanaji Nathuji, &c., of Rudatal. The advances of Rs. 3,689, Rs. 5,679, Rs. 6,535 and Rs. 2,226 sanctioned in Government Resolution No. 4914 dated the 7th July 1893 will be drawn at an early date and paid to the creditors of certain estates in Sinaj and Kanpura. The question of soliciting an advance to pay off the debts of Aniali is still under consideration.

10. The financial position of all the encumbered estates in Ahmedabad is shown in Appendices I and IA. An abstract of these statements is contained in Appendix IV, from which it will be seen that Rs. 32,034 were paid to private creditors and Rs. 18,282 to Government, and that a sum of Rs. 2,68,775 remains to be paid, of which Rs. 1,26,256 are due to private creditors and Rs. 1,42,519 to Government.

11. The payments made on account of debt settlement during the year under report and those made during the previous year are shown together for comparison in the following table:—

	1891-92.	1892-93.
	Rs.	Rs.
Total debt outstanding at commencement of the year ...	3,23,974	*2,91,246
Added during the year ...	...	†20,914
	3,23,974	3,12,160
Paid during the year—		
To reduction of debt ...	32,709	43,385
To interest on loans ...	7,172	6,931
	39,881	50,316
Balance ...	2,91,265	2,68,775

* The difference between this figure and the balance shown as outstanding at the end of last year is due to rectification made in communication with the Accountant General.

† These figures show the amount of an advance taken from Government. They do not represent a new debt. The same amount is included in the figures below showing reduction of debt as having been paid to creditors.

12. The average payment for the year under report is 16·1 per cent. of the total sum due, and the reduction of debt is 13·8 per cent. of the same. The corresponding percentages last year were 12·31 and 10. The result of the year's transactions may therefore be regarded as satisfactory.

13. At the end of last year, 30 of the estates under management were indebted to Government. During the year under report the estate of Bhavsing Rawabhai of Dumáli was freed from debt, and advances from Government were taken in the case of Agarsing Sawáji of Jethipura and Mádháji Rámáji and Dhánáji Nathuji of Rudatal. Hence the number of estates now indebted to Government is 32. Of these 5 are no longer under the operation of Act VI of 1862.

14. There is no change for the better in the very unsatisfactory condition of the village of Návda. As usual, this year a considerable portion of the *jama* will have to be remitted. The Limbdi Darbár's appeal to the High Court against the decision of the First Class Subordinate Judge has been given in favour of the Darbár, and it is not easy to see what can be done to restore to Návda the water which has been diverted into the lands of the Limbdi village of Wadhela.

15. The debt of the Makhiáv estate to Government has increased during the year under report in place of diminishing, and now stands at Rs. 40,662 as against Rs. 38,731 last year. Nothing was paid this year in liquidation of the debt, as the small surplus was swallowed up in the marriage expenses of the elder of the two minor Thákors. Further reference will be made to this below under the heading "Minors' Estates".

16. The estate of Khámmbha in Gogha was again farmed out, and during the year under report the sum of Rs. 990 was paid in liquidation of the debt, which now amounts to Rs. 5,545. The advance sanctioned for this estate has not yet been drawn.

17. The Viramgám Táluka estates are all doing well. Of the estates in Dhandhuka the condition of Bela and Bodána is most unsatisfactory, and during the current year special attention will be devoted to their management. During the year under report they have been unable to pay anything in liquidation of their debts.

ENCUMBERED ESTATES IN KAIRA.

18. The number of estates managed under Act XXI of 1881 at the beginning of 1892-93 was 42. During the year the four estates shown in the margin have paid off their debts, and their release from the operation of the Act will now be notified. The condition and prospects of all four are satisfactory. Thirty-eight estates now remain under management. No advance was taken from the Government treasury during the year under report.

19. The financial position of each of the 42 estates is shown in Appendix II. An abstract of this statement is contained in Appendix IV, from which it will be seen that Rs. 213 were paid to private creditors and Rs. 31,936 to Government, and that a sum of Rs. 1,57,356 remains to be paid, of which Rs. 6,091 are due to private creditors and Rs. 1,51,265 to Government.

20. The payments made on account of debt settlement during the year under report and those made in 1891-92 are shown in the following table:—

				1891-92.	1892-93.
				Rs.	Rs.
Total debt outstanding at commencement of the year	...	...	...	1,99,515	* 1,81,185
Added during the year	...	...	...		
				1,99,515	1,81,185
Paid during the year—					
To reduction of debt	...	...	...	18,307	23,829
To interest on loans	...	...	...	9,287	8,320
				27,594	32,149
				1,81,208	1,57,356

* The difference between this figures and the balance shown as outstanding at the end of last year is due to rectification made in communication with the Accountant General.

21. The average payment for the year under report is 17·7 per cent. of the amount due at the commencement of the year, and the percentage of reduction of debt is 13·15. The corresponding figures given last year were 13·83 and 9·17. The results of this year's management have therefore been satisfactory.

22. During the year under report the estate of Udesing Bharatsing of Nápád has been managed by himself, and he has paid into my office Rs. 2,000, the amount fixed for the farm. So far therefore this new arrangement, which has only commenced from this year, has proved successful.

ENCUMBERED ESTATES IN BROACH.

23. The number of estates under management under Act XXI of 1881 was at the beginning of the year under report 7. During the year the estates of Ganpatsingji Himatsingji of Sárod and Jitsingji Somsingji of Janiádra have paid off their debt, and their release from management will now be notified. Five estates still remain under the Act. No advance was taken from the Government Treasury during the year under report. The financial position of each of the estates is shown in Appendix III. An abstract of the statement is contained in Appendix IV, from which it will appear that during 1892-93 Rs. 2,717 were paid to private creditors and Rs. 24,604 to Government, and that a total sum of Rs. 38,568 remains to be paid, of which Rs. 27,899 are due to private creditors and Rs. 10,669 to Government.

24. The payments made on account of debt settlement during the year compare with those of the previous year as follows :—

				1891-92.	1892-93.
				Rs.	Rs.
Total debt outstanding at commencement of the year	...			74,876	*64,419
Added during the year	...	...	...		
				74,876	64,419
Paid during the year—					
To reduction of debt	...	...	...	10,451	25,851
To interest on loans	...	...	...	1,988	1,470
Total	...			12,439	27,321
Grand Total	...			64,425	38,568

* The difference between this figure and the balance shown as outstanding at the end of last year is due to rectification made in communication with the Accountant General.

25. The average percentage of payment is 42·4 and that of reduction of debt is 40·1. These percentages are very considerably higher than the corresponding ones of last year. This fact is due to the Sárod estate, which last year only paid Rs. 4,000, having this year paid more than three times that amount, and the Janiádra estate also having entirely liquidated its debt.

26. During the year under report Government were pleased to refuse their sanction to the scheme alluded to in the 28th paragraph of the report of 1891-92 for the settlement of some of the private debts of the Thákor of Sárod. A sum of Rs. 4,000, which might have been credited to Government last year but was kept in hand pending orders as to the settlement scheme, therefore became available this year for the liquidation of the Government debt, in addition to the sum of Rs. 10,960, which was the surplus produce of the year under report. Nothing is now due to Government, and the estate will be notified as having been released from the operation of Act XXI of 1881. It is, however, probable that the Thákor will desire to have the management continued under Section 28, Act VI of 1888, until his private debts have been settled.

27. During the year under report, in consequence of the construction of the drainage channel referred to in the 34th paragraph of my last year's report, 277 acres of waste land, assessed at Rs. 1,030, have been taken up by cultivators of the Janiádra estate. This is a very satisfactory return for the small outlay incurred. The estate has this year paid off all its debt, and will now be released from the operation of the Act. It has an income of nearly Rs. 10,000, and with careful management should prosper.

28. All the estates in the Broach District are in a satisfactory condition and are making progress towards freedom from debt. The estate of Khandáli paid off Rs. 8,200 during the year under report as against Rs. 3,500 in the previous year.

MINORS' ESTATES.

29. At the close of last year there were 54 minors' estates under management. During the year under report the estates of the minors named in the margin were released on their owners attaining majority. Two new estates came under management, as shown in the margin. The total number of minors' estates now under the control of this office is therefore 53.

Najibhai Málji.	
Himatsing Mobatsing.	
Gagubha Kálubhai.	
Dádásáheb Bávásáheb of Dholka	
Sayad Mahomed Bávásáheb of Ahmedabad.	

30. Of the estates released from management under Act VIII of 1890 that of Najibhai Málji remains under attachment by order of the Civil Court in satisfaction of decrees obtained against it. The other two released estates are free from debt, and that of Himatsing Mohbatsing has a credit balance of Rs. 3,000 in Government paper and Rs. 1,840 in cash.

31. The estate of Dádásáheb Bávásáheb of Dholka was managed by this office in 1891-92 as a miscellaneous estate, pending the issue to the Collector of a certificate of guardianship. This certificate has now been obtained. The estate is in a fairly prosperous condition.

32. The minor Sayad Mahomed Sáheb Bávámia is the only son of the late Sayad Bávámia, a member of one of the best Mahomedan families in Gujarát and a priest of the Naváb of Junágad. The Collector of Ahmedabad has been appointed guardian of the minor's property; and although the Sayad is not a Tálukdar, the management of the estate has been entrusted to this office. It consists of some villages in Junágad and some lands and houses in Ahmedabad, and its estimated income is about Rs. 8,000. The estate has been under management for a few days only, so I am unable to give any particulars as to its condition.

33. The most prosperous minors' estates under my charge are those shown in the margin, with the amount of the balance each now has to its credit. All these five estates are entirely unencumbered. At the end of last year the Jhánor balance consisted of Rs. 57,000 in Government paper, Rs. 20,000 in landed investment and Rs. 35,317 in cash. During the year under report, Rs. 40,000 were sold out of Government paper, and together with Rs. 31,000 from the cash balance were invested in a mortgage on an Inám village in the Kaira District yielding 5 per cent. interest. I have now under consideration a scheme for investing, in another mortgage, a further amount from the balance of this estate. Its receipts during the year under report were Rs. 17,115 and its expenditure was Rs. 4,239.

	Rs.
Jhánor	1,26,330
Nadiad	28,338
Mátar	35,557
Bápumia of Viramgam...	7,862
Rojka	4,977

34. The income of the Nadiad Desái's estate during 1892-93 was Rs. 13,640 and its expenditure amounted to Rs. 4,537. Of the surplus balance of this estate Rs. 12,000 is invested in Government paper and Rs. 16,338 is in cash. I hope shortly to find a satisfactory landed investment for the greater portion of this balance.

35. The Mátar estate balance amounts now to Rs. 35,557, of which Rs. 17,000 is in Government paper. The income received during the year was Rs. 15,107 and the expenditure amounted to Rs. 10,576. Efforts will be made to invest the surplus balance during the current year.

36. Last year I had the honour to report that Government had been pleased to sanction an appeal against the order of the F. P. Assistant Judge of Broach, granting a certificate of guardianship to the mother of the minor Thákor Dulábáwa Raisingji of Kerwada. The lower Court's order has since been reversed by the High Court, who remanded the case to the F. P.

Assistant Judge with instructions that a guardian should be appointed by the Court to manage the estate under the supervision of the Court. Mr. Sákariál Máneklál has recently been appointed manager, in obedience to the orders of the High Court.

37. As reported above, the estate of the minor Thákors of Makhiáy is in a most unsatisfactory condition. During the year the eldest Thákor, who is about 16 years old, was married to two wives, to whom he had been betrothed by his late mother. To meet the expenses of the marriage ceremonies he applied for the sum of Rs. 8,000, but this amount was not available, and only Rs. 2,800 were allowed him—Government being pleased to sanction the sum which should have been paid as interest on the Government debt being handed over to the minor, on the understanding that it should be recovered during the two following years in addition to the interest due for those years.

38. Appendix V shows that, taking together all the minors' estates under the charge of this office, the total realizations during the year under report amounted to Rs. 1,01,654 as against Rs. 97,729 in 1891-92. Including the balances in hand at the commencement of the years, the figures are Rs. 2,94,384 and Rs. 2,45,691. The opening balance in 1892-93 was larger by some Rs. 44,768 than that of the year 1891-92. Out of the year's revenue Rs. 64,308 were expended on Government *jama*, maintenance and other charges, while Rs. 6,152 were paid to creditors and Rs. 31,194 were carried over as surplus balance. The total amount invested in Government securities is Rs. 49,000. Rs. 91,000 are invested in landed property and there is a cash balance of Rs. 83,924.

ESTATES UNDER SECTIONS 320 AND 504, CIVIL PROCEDURE CODE.

39. The number of estates under management at the beginning of the year under report, in accordance with the provisions of Section 320 of the Civil Procedure Code, was 241 as against 176 at the beginning of 1891-92. During the year, 53 were released from attachment and 106 newly brought under management, leaving a total of 294 at the end of the year. The circumstances of the most important of these estates are dealt with below.

40. There were 5 estates under management under Section 504, Civil Procedure Code, at the end of 1891-92. During the year under report one was released from management and one estate was newly attached, so that the total number under the control of this office is still 5.

41. I have no change to report in the circumstances of the Cháníar estate, but am glad to be able to say that matters are looking more hopeful for the Thákors of Bhánkoda. During the year, after the failure of many endeavours, an attempt to bring about a settlement of a long standing dispute between certain sharers in the estate has proved successful, and this dispute being at an end the Thákors are in a position to work together to free themselves from the debt with which the estate is encumbered. They have applied for sanction to raise a loan in order to lower the rate of interest which they are now paying, and in all probability will ask Government to permit the whole of their estate, which comprises several villages, to be brought under the management of this office until it has been freed from its debts.

42. I regret to have again to report that during the past year no appreciable progress has been made in the litigation relating to the succession to the Gangad estate. The case is still in its initial stage, an appeal to the High Court on some preliminary point having been decided only very recently. The scheme which I had the honour to submit to Government for the settlement of the debts of this estate was approved, but unfortunately owing to a misapprehension of the facts on my part it has had to be returned to Government with a proposed amendment. Certain complications came to light when I started to carry out the scheme, and it is now under consideration how they may be got over. My proposal to sell portions of the estate will not, I think, be objected to by any of the parties concerned, and once the technical difficulties attached to the sale have been got over, I have every hope of effecting a settlement which will very considerably relieve the present burden on the estate. During the year under

report the sum of Rs. 11,000 was paid to the secured creditors. In 1891-92 the unsecured creditors received nothing, and from the income of the year under report Rs. 3,980 have been paid to them. This amount does not even cover the interest due.

43. The Gangad estate is a large one consisting of several villages, and after managing it for more than two years in the ordinary way, *i.e.*, with only an Upri karkún on Rs. 35-50 per mensem to supervise it, the conclusion was forced on me that an estate of this magnitude cannot be satisfactorily worked unless it is in the sole charge of an officer of more ability than is to be found in a low-paid karkún. The Tálukdári Settlement Officer has so many different estates to look after, and has so many other duties to perform, that it is impossible for him to pay to any one estate, however important, the attention which is necessary in the case of an estate like Gangad, which has for many years been mismanaged by its owners. The experiment of appointing a deputy manager was tried in the case of the Sánand and Koth estate and has been found most successful. I therefore proposed to the Collector of Ahmedabad to appoint a deputy manager for Gangad, and this has been done. The total extra expense to the estate amounts to Rs. 850 per annum, but I have no doubt that, as a result of the increased care which the affairs of the estate will now receive, all extra expenditure will be more than compensated. The deputy manager has been given an establishment of three kárkúns, one of whom has been taken from the Mámílatdár's kacheri at Dholka, where he worked for and was paid by the Gangad estate. The other two are in the place of the Upri karkún, who has been transferred to different duties. Every care has been taken to make the extra burden as light as possible.

44. The field work of breaking up the large numbers in the various villages of the Gangad estate was finished during this last season, and the measurers are now engaged in preparing the necessary registers. When they are ready, proposals will be drawn up for the introduction of *bighoti* rates throughout the estate. It is hoped that the new system may be brought into force during 1893-94. As Government on the opinion of the Legal Remembrancer have been pleased to hold that portion of an estate cannot be managed at the request of the Tálukdár under Section 28, Act VI of 1888, the scheme for taking over the management, on special terms, of the large area of waste land, alluded to in paragraph 48 of my last year's report, has fallen through. I regret that owing partly to the negligence of the village authorities and partly to natural causes the attempts made to start bábul plantations in some of the Gangad villages have not so far been a success. Fresh seed will be sown this year and special care taken to watch the results. During the year under report fresh land has been taken up for cultivation to the extent of 113 vighas assessed at Rs. 45 in Sial and 244 vighas assessed at Rs. 101 in Bhurkhi. The special attention of the newly appointed deputy manager will be directed to the question of bringing under cultivation the large area of waste land which is still to be found within the limits of the Gangad estate.

45. The important dispute between the Gangad estate and the Thákor Sáheb of Limbdi as to the boundary of the village of Sial has at last been decided by Mr. Beaman and the arbitrators appointed to consider the question with him. As a copy of the finding, which is very voluminous and which is being printed, has not yet been received by me, I am not at present able to report what is the exact result of the settlement. From an extract from the decision, however, and a map of the land in dispute which have been supplied to me, it appears that although the Thákor of Limbdi has not been awarded the whole area claimed by him still he has been given a large quantity of land to which the Gangad estate considers itself entitled. Whether any further action in the matter should be taken, and if so what, are questions which cannot be dealt with until I have received a copy of Mr. Beaman's judgment in full.

MISCELLANEOUS ESTATES.

46. There are now 18 estates under this head, of which 8 are in Ahmedabad, 8 in Kaira and 2 in Broach. During the year the estate of Ráisingji Devisingji was released from management, and those of Dádásáheb Bávásáheb, Kesráji Becharji and Subámia Bápúráj were removed from management under the head of miscellaneous and transferred to other heads. Dádásáheb Bávásáheb's

estate is now managed as that of a minor, Kesráji Becharji's is attached under a Civil Court decree, and Subámia Bápura'j's is managed under Section 28, Act VI of 1888. Eleven of the estates in all are managed under Section 28 and 2 under Section 26, Act VI of 1888. The 3 estates which were newly taken under management during the year under report were these of Sawáji Mánáji of Chándpur, Pátábhái Jaswatsing of Cher, and Khodábáwa Chandábáwa of Dehwan. The Cher estate is a fairly large one, but the other two are small. The Tálukdár of Cher, who is a most intelligent man, has been allowed to continue in the management of his property, holding it in farm from this office. This arrangement is the most economical when the owner is a capable person.

47. The estate of Musa Mia of Sháh Alam still remains under the nominal supervision of this office, which will be continued during the current year at the request of the owner, who has not yet paid off all his debts.

48. I have the honour to submit herewith the administration report, for the year, of the deputy manager of the Sánand and Koth estate, which is managed under Section 26, Act VI of 1888, and am glad to be able to state that under the careful supervision of Mr. Hathising Raichand the condition of the estate continues to be very satisfactory. Owing to the excessive rainfall and to the ravages of insects, which destroyed the wheat crop in certain of the best villages in the Dholka Táluka, there is a falling off in the revenue realized as compared with last year, but the gross revenue has increased, and had it not been for the unfavourable nature of the season, the amount collected would have been larger than in 1891-92. The gross revenue has risen from Rs. 1,40,932 to Rs. 1,43,858, the increase being due chiefly to the fact that fresh land has been brought under cultivation. The revenue actually realized during the year under report was Rs. 1,33,078 as against Rs. 1,41,705 in the previous year. There is an outstanding balance of Rs. 10,453 on account of the year under report and of Rs. 7,455 on account of the years 1890-91 and 1891-92. Steps will be taken in the current year to recover as much as possible of this balance. The expenditure of the estate during the year 1892-93 amounted to Rs. 1,09,419 as against Rs. 1,17,627 in the previous year. The Thákor was paid a monthly allowance of Rs. 1,400 and in addition has received from the estate revenues sums amounting in all to Rs. 16,591 for payment to creditors and to meet charges for litigation, &c. The balance in hand at the end of the year is Rs. 69,918, which includes an opening balance of Rs. 46,259. A certain sum will have to be retained for the expenses of management for the first months of the current year, but about Rs. 42,000 can be placed at the disposal of the Thákor. Last year's surplus balance of about Rs. 18,000 was not claimed by the Thákor, but the sum of Rs. 16,591, referred to above, may be considered to have formed part of it. An increase of revenue has resulted from certain alterations introduced by Mr. Hathising in the system of managing the wánta portion of the estate, and he has been successful in inducing cultivators to take up some of the lands in various villages hitherto lying waste. Attention has been paid to the repair of tanks and *bandhs*, and the question of the alienation of service lands has received careful consideration. On the whole there is, I think, every reason to be satisfied with the management of the Koth estate during the year under report; and credit is due to Mr. Hathising Raichand for the manner in which he has performed the duties of the deputy manager.

49. As regards the Thákor of Koth, I am afraid I can add nothing to the remarks which I made about him in my report last year. I am given to understand that he still neglects to interest himself in the affairs of his estate and does not attend punctually to references made to him in connection with its management. I am glad, however, to be able to report that it is believed that he has become reconciled with his mother, thus putting an end to the ill feeling between them which has existed for some years. His private debts are being paid off gradually and will soon be liquidated in full.

50. The lease of the Kasbati village of Chandna in the Kaira District expired on the 31st July 1893. The circumstances of this estate have several times been brought to the notice of Government. The Kasbati was at the end of 1891-92 indebted to Government in the sum of Rs. 2,066-7-3, and under the terms of a bond executed by him, his village was liable to forfeiture if he failed to pay the whole amount due by him on or before the 20th September 1893.

As the manner in which the Kasbatis had been dealt with appeared to me to have involved some hardship to them, I ventured to submit to Government proposals for the renewal of the lease to the nephew of the late lessee, who died last December. The nephew is the head of the family, the late lessee being of a junior branch. Government in their Resolution No. 4366, dated 17th June 1893, have been pleased to sanction my proposals, and a fresh lease will now be issued for seven years, during which time the village will remain under my management and will be mortgaged to Government as security for the balance of the debt which is still unpaid. It is hoped that the whole debt will be liquidated in about seven years, and the question of the terms on which a fresh renewal of the lease should be granted will then come up for consideration. The Kasbati, in whose name the lease will be granted, is a fairly well-educated young man, and I have hopes that in time he will be able to restore the affairs of his estate to a satisfactory condition.

51. The decree against the Dharoda estate, which has been under attachment since 1871-72, has not yet been satisfied, and the village still remains under management.

52. The attachment under Section 26, Act VI of 1883, of the village of Chasiána still continues, as the dispute between the sharers has not yet been settled. The balance in hand amounts to Rs. 43,000 in Government securities and Rs. 10,045 in cash.

53. The affairs of the estate of Rámsingji Khumánsingji of Jhánor were referred to in the 42nd and 59th paragraphs of my last year's report. The Thákór is a minor whose mother has been appointed guardian of his property. As her application for the certificate of guardianship was granted in opposition to one filed by the Collector of Broach, and as the Judge in his order expressed the opinion that the lady was herself capable of managing the estate, I last year declined to recommend Government to allow the management to be taken over by this office. On further consideration of the matter, however, I came to the conclusion that it would be to the interest of the young Thákór that his estate should be managed by the Tálukdári Settlement Officer, and I accordingly submitted to Government an application under Section 28, Act VI of 1888, from the guardian of the minor, and this application Government in their Resolution No. 3495, dated 13th May 1893, were pleased to grant.

54. Khumansingji of Dehwan has filed an appeal against the decision of the First Class Subordinate Judge, declaring the right of his brother Meramansingji to the *gádi*. This is still pending. The income of the estate during the year under report was Rs. 13,548, which with a balance in hand of Rs. 3,304 make a total of Rs. 16,852. The expenditure during the year amounted to Rs. 11,034, of which Rs. 4,794 were for Government *jama* and cost of management, Rs. 873 were paid to creditors, and Rs. 5,367 were given to the Thákór for his maintenance and other private expenses.

55. Owing to the refusal of the Government of India to allow of the transfer of his services from the Educational Department, I was unable to procure for the Thákór of Gamph the tutor alluded to in my report last year. The Thákór has attained majority, but is a very weak young man, both physically and mentally. He appears, however, to be anxious to do all he can to improve the very much embarrassed condition of his estate, and if his advisers be honest, I have hopes that his efforts may in time be successful. He has just negotiated a loan of Rs. 2,00,000, to which further allusion is made in paragraph 107 below.

GENERAL REVENUE ADMINISTRATION OF TÁLUKDÁRI VILLAGES IN THE AHMEDABAD DISTRICT.

56. There are 357 Tálukdári villages in Ahmedabad under the revenue charge of this office, and their *jama* inclusive of the Local Fund cess amounts to Rs. 3,73,973, of which Rs. 26,480 is on account of Local Fund cess.

57. During the year under report all the *jama* due has been collected, with the exception of Rs. 5,586 in the Dhandhuka Táluka. This sum is on account of Navda estate.

58. The net income of Navda for 1891-92 was Rs. 4,238, which fell short of the *jama* for the year by Rs. 3,805-15-5. This sum has been written off by the Commissioner, N. D. For the year under report the gross revenue was

Rs. 6,444, and after expenses of management have been deducted the balance will fall short of the *jama* by about Rs. 1,780, which will have to be remitted.

59. The village of Aníali Káthi, which was last year restored as Tálukdári, has been giving considerable trouble during the year under report. The person to whom it was restored was one Desái Sámaldas Bechar, who had purchased it many years ago from the original Grássia owners at a Court-sale. The Desái, who has recently died, sold it with the permission of Government to Mr. Tribhovandás Jádavji, a Bombay merchant, who I understand proposes to erect in it a cotton ginning factory. This gentleman has applied to the Collector to have his name entered in the Government records in place of that of the late Desái, but as the Desái's sons decline to join in the application, it has been refused until they agree to do so or the new purchaser obtains an order from a competent Court. In the meantime the original Káthi owners of the village, who under the Government management were allowed about $\frac{1}{4}$ th share of its lands, have become apprehensive of the treatment which they will receive from the new owner, and are at present doing all they can to make matters unpleasant for him. They are most keenly attached to the soil of their native village, and would willingly purchase it back, with borrowed money, if Mr. Tribhovandás would sell it, which he will not. They demand a large grant of *jivái* land, and it remains to be seen how the new owner will deal with them. I am endeavouring to bring about a settlement of the matter; but there are difficulties in the way at present, as the chief Káthi have been charged with some criminal offences, and there are warrants out against them for their arrest. They have been in hiding for the last few months and it is therefore impossible to call them before me to arrange terms with Mr. Tribhovandás.

60. The following table shows the collection and expenditure of the Local Fund in Tálukdári villages of Ahmedabad in the year under report:—

Name of Táluka.	Amount of Local Funds collected.	AMOUNT OF LOCAL FUND EXPENDED.		
		Object.	Rupees.	Total Rupees.
	Rs.			
Dholka ...	6,339	Contribution towards building school-houses ...	450	1,904
		Repairs to school-houses ...	558	
		Do. to dharmshálas ...	127	
		Do. to tanks ...	730	
		Do. to cart-tracks ...	39	
Dhandhuka...	12,042	Contribution towards building school-houses ...	960	8,747
		Repairs to school-houses ...	1,323	
		Do. to dharmshálas ...	142	
		Do. to tanks ...	5,565	
		Building wells... ..	757	
Viramgám ...	2,710	Contribution towards building school-houses ...	450	1,893
		Repairs to school-houses ...	432	
		Do. to dharmshálas ...	20	
		Building wells ...	991	
Sánand ...	3,636	Repairs to school-houses ...	110	535
		Do. to dharmshálas ...	27	
		Do. to tanks ...	273	
		Do. to troughs ...	125	
Gogha ...	1,752	Repairs to school-houses ...	25	1,060
		Do. to dharmshálas ...	56	
		Building wells... ..	460	
		Repairs to cart-tracks ...	519	
	26,479	Total ...	...	14,139

61. The collection and expenditure as shown above compare as follows with those of the previous two years :—

Year.	Amount collected from the villages.	Amount expended in the villages.	Percentage.
	Rs.	Rs.	
1890-91	34,903	5,619	16
1891-92	26,478	13,951	52·6
1892-93	26,479	14,139	53·3

The cause of the decrease in the amount collected in 1891-92 as compared with 1890-91 was explained in paragraphs 66 to 69 of my last year's report.

62. The following table shows the collection and expenditure of the Local Fund in the Tálukdári villages in Kaira :—

Name of Táluka.	Amount of Local Fund collected.	AMOUNT OF LOCAL FUND EXPENDED.		
		Object.	Rupees.	Total, Rupees.
	Rs.			
Thásra	959	Repairs to roads	25	25
Borsad	2,443		...	...
Mátar	1,562	Repairs to dharmshálas	1	161
		Repairs to roads	18	
		Repairs to cart-tracks	142	
Anand	1,579		...	...
Kapadvanj	217	Building wells	458	458
	6,760	Total	...	644

63. The collection and expenditure as shown above compare as follows :—

Year.	Amount collected from the villages.	Amount expended in the villages.	Percentage.
	Rs.	Rs.	
1890-91	5,824	2,898	49
1891-92	6,760	1,874	27·7
1892-93	6,760	644	9·4

The cause of the increase in the amount collected in 1891-92 as compared with 1890-91 was explained in paragraph 75 of my last year's report.

64. From these figures it appears that during the year under report rather more Local Fund money was spent in the Ahmedabad Tálukdári villages than was the case in the previous year. In the Kaira District, however, only a very small sum was allotted for expenditure in the Tálukdári villages, a sum which must have been entirely inadequate to meet the wants of the inhabitants. Special attention will be paid to this matter during the current year. The greater portion of the money allotted in Ahmedabad was expended on the repair of tanks and the construction and repair of school-houses. No large work was undertaken in either district.

65. My proposals for altering the existing arrangements for meeting the charges for boundary-mark inspection and for the erection and repair of boundary marks in Tálukdári villages are still awaiting the final orders of Government.

66. During the year under report, I have paid special attention to the manner in which the accounts of Tálukdári estates are kept by the Mámlatdars. The táluka offices of Dholka, Viramgám, Dhandhuka and Gogha in the Ahmedabad District and those of Mátar, Mehmabad, Nadiad, Borsad and Thásra in Kaira were visited by me and the accounts carefully examined by myself personally and by my office establishment. Some time had elapsed since a similar inspection of these accounts had taken place, and in some tálukas there were several points which had to be brought to the notice of the Mámlatdár. There is a tendency to neglect Tálukdári work in some of the Mámlatdars' offices, and a careful inspection of them will in future form a regular part of the Tálukdári Settlement Officer's duties while on tour.

67. During the year under report, memorials setting forth the views of the Thákors of Wála and Utelia as to the non-liability of their estates for Local Fund cess levied under Act III of 1869 have been dealt with by Government, who have held that the Thákors' contention is unsound. An appeal has been preferred to the Government of India.

68. Appendix VIII gives a list of the Tálukdári estates which are under management for the collection of *jama*.

EDUCATION OF MINORS.

69. The young Thákor of Utelia, who is the only Gujarát Tálukdár attending the Rájkumár College, has again been well reported on by the school authorities. He has intimated his desire to be allowed to matriculate at the Bombay University, and I understand that arrangements will be made by the Principal of the College to prepare him for the examination. The number of boys attending the Wadhván Grássia school is 10 as against 11 at this time last year. No new boy has been sent to this school during the year under report; and Kishordás, the young Desái of Nadiád, left it after one term, as his mother, who is the guardian of his person, without giving any good reason objected to his returning there. He studied for a time with a private tutor at Nadiád and is now attending a school in Ahmedabad and residing in the Jubilee Institute. Punjbha Jámháí of Thori Thámha also has quarters in the Institute and goes to a municipal school in the city. Two sons of the Thákor of Gajna have for some time been at the Wadhván Grássia school, but owing to the expense of keeping them there, the Thákor's circumstances not being good, their father was very anxious to take them away this year. I am glad, however, to be able to report that after much persuasion he has been induced to allow them to remain. The school authorities deserve thanks for their behaviour in the matter, as they have agreed to consider the case a special one and to reduce the fees payable for the two boys from Rs. 300 per annum to Rs. 200. All the boys attending the Wadhván school have received good reports this year, those censured last year having apparently improved in their behaviour.

70. Attention has as usual been paid to the education of minors who are unable to afford to join the Grássia school at Wadhván. Almost all those who are of an age to go to school, and who are not so old that they have already begun to work for their living, attend the schools in their villages.

REVISION SURVEY AND SETTLEMENT.

71. During the year under report Mr. Holland continued to hold the office of Assistant Settlement Officer in charge Tálukdári Survey. The revision survey of the villages in the Viramgám Táluka was finished and that of the Mehwási villages in Parántij and Modása taken in hand and completed. No more work now remains to be done in the Ahmedabad District. It is believed that Mr. Holland will next season take up work in the Panch Maháls and will have under him two Government establishments as well as the Tálukdári Survey Office. I have made a special request that as much as possible of the Tálukdári work should come under the personal supervision of Mr. Holland, as it is not, in my opinion, advisable to entrust it to subordinates of the Survey Department.

72. Final orders have not yet been received on the subject of the maximum rates to be imposed in the Gogha Táluka, although Mr. Holland's proposals were submitted to Government a year ago. The papers have been returned once or

twice, as the various officers concerned have not been able to agree as to the rates which should be fixed, but it is hoped that early orders may now be issued.

73. In their Resolution No. 8836, dated the 10th November 1892, Government were pleased to approve of my proposals for the settlement of the *jamás* of the estates in the Dholka Táluka with certain modifications suggested by the Collector and the Commissioner, N. D. On the 20th February, the Tálukdárs were summoned to Ahmedabad and were presented by the Collector personally with copies of the revised faisal patraks of their estates, the amounts to be paid by them under the new settlement being at the same time announced to them. The revised *jamás* will be levied for the first time in 1893-94, and the period of settlement will terminate in 1918-19. The total increase for the Dholka Táluka amounts in the first year of the settlement to Rs. 8,974 and in the last year to Rs. 31,630. There will also be an increase in the amount of the Local Fund cess. In 1893-94 this increase will be Rs. 1,860 and in the last year of the settlement Rs. 6,313. Government have been pleased to allow of the increment being levied by instalments, in order that it may not press too heavily on the Tálukdárs.

74. As stated above in paragraph 44, Government have rescinded the orders as to the management of waste lands handed over by the Tálukdár, and consequently the proposal of the Collector of Ahmedabad regarding waste lands in the Gangad estate, referred to in paragraph 85 of last year's report, has not been carried out.

75. The survey of the Tálukdári villages in the Panch Maháls district is to be taken in hand next year under the supervision of Mr. Holland, and the Tálukdári Settlement Officer has been gazetted a Survey and Settlement Officer in that district. Under the orders of Government recently issued, the proposals for the revised *ankdas* of the Panch Maháls' estates are to be submitted through the Collector by the Tálukdári Settlement Officer. Up to this time my office has not interfered at all in the affairs of the estates in that district.

EXECUTION OF DECREES.

76. There were 678 decrees under execution at the end of 1891-92. Of these 493 were received from the Courts under Section 320, Civil Procedure Code, and 185 were received direct under Section 322A. The number received from the Courts for execution during the year under report was 142 as against 126, 161 and 151 in the three preceding years respectively. The number received direct was 47. The total number under execution during 1892-93 was therefore 867. The corresponding number shown last year was 797.

77. Of the warrants to which these decrees relate—

619 were dealt with by management;

87 were dealt with by sale;

63 were under enquiry;

97 were returned to Court.

1 was returned to the Collector, the judgment-debtor not being a Tálukdár.

78. Of those returned to Court—

8 were satisfied by compromise;

70 were satisfied by paying the amount from the judgment-debtor's property under management;

12 were returned to Court, as Government declined to sanction sale;

2 were returned because the crop ordered to be attached and sold was not available when the warrants reached the Mámlatdár;

5 were returned under Section 325, Civil Procedure Code.

79. The following table shows the manner in which the decrees received in each year and those brought over from the previous years were disposed of during the last three years :—

	1890-91.		1891-92.		1892-93.	
	Number of Decrees.	Amount.	Number of Decrees.	Amount.	Number of Decrees.	Amount.
		Rs.		Rs.		Rs.
Returned after disposal by compromise, &c. ...	62	39,884	116	1,30,320	98	76,708
Under payment by instalments from revenue of estates under management ...	354	3,86,234	500	4,63,481	619	7,21,379
Under process of sale ...	109	1,92,655	83	1,64,838	87	96,254
Under enquiry ...	129	1,13,705	98	26,135	63	86,634
Total ...	654	7,32,378	797	7,84,774	867	9,80,975
Amount paid to creditors direct or through the Civil Courts ...	...	63,818	...	38,927	...	76,400

80. It will be noticed that the amount paid to creditors during the year under report was considerably larger than usual. This fact, however, though satisfactory, is not so much so as it at first appears. As the number of estates under attachment increases every year, the amount paid to creditors should obviously do the same. In the three years for which figures are given in this table, the percentages of payment to total debt were respectively 8·7, 4·9 and 7·7, and it is from these figures that the results of the management should be judged for each year. Looked at in this way it may be said that the results for the year under report are fairly good.

81. The amount realized from the management of the estates under attachment in execution of decrees and sent to the Civil Courts in the year under report was Rs. 59,158, as against Rs. 15,978 in 1891-92. No estate or portion of one was sold in execution of a decree.

82. In 12 cases Government have declined to accord the sanction required by Section 31 (2), Act VI of 1888, for the sale of estates in execution of the decrees of Civil Courts, and in no case was such sanction given. Under the ruling of the High Court alluded to by me last year it has been found necessary to hold that the sanction of Government was not required for the sale of estates in 44 cases. In no case, however, has the sale actually been carried out as yet, though the proceedings preliminary to sales are being gone through in regard to portions of several estates, and some of them will no doubt be put up to auction during the current year.

PARTITION.

83. At the beginning of the year under report there were pending 6 applications for partition of Talukdári estates, and during the year 18 new applications were received as against 17 in 1891-92. There were, therefore, 24 to be disposed of during the year. In 7 of them the partition prayed for was granted and in one other an order was made affecting only the share of one applicant, the others being referred to the Civil Court for the decision of a question of title. Appeals have been preferred in two of the cases, in which an order for partition was made. Neither has as yet been decided. In two cases I declined to grant the applications until the order of a competent Court had been obtained as to the shares of the parties. In one I refused the application, in accordance with the provisions of Section 10 of Act VI of 1888, and in another the application was rejected owing to the non-appearance of the applicant on the day fixed for the hearing. In a third case the matter has been privately arranged. Eleven applications are now under enquiry, one of them being in a case first decided in 1890 returned by the District Court on appeal.

SUITS.

84. Thirty-one original suits and appeals (including those suits decided last year but carried on by appeals preferred in them and including also three appeals and one remanded suit some of which were remarked on last year but were not entered in the Appendix to the report) were pending at the beginning of the year. Twenty-two new suits were added during the year, making a total of 53. Of these, 19 have been finally decided by the Courts, 12 of the decisions being wholly and 4 partly in favour of this Department, while 3 were wholly adverse.

85. Of the cases decided none is of much importance except that relating to the levy of Local Fund cess from certain tenants of the Gangad estate, which was alluded to in paragraph 107 of my report for 1890-91. The final decision was given against the estate, the High Court holding that the Collector as Administrator has no power to levy Local Fund cess from a tenant, over and above the rent which he has agreed to pay. Presumably, however, this finding cannot interfere with the right of the Administrator to receive assistance in the recovery of the Local Fund cess on lands held by a tenant under Section 3, Act III of 1869, and Sections 86 and 87, Land Revenue Code.

86. The most important amongst the new suits is the one in which the Thákór of Wála sues the Gangad estate, of which the Collector of Ahmedabad is the Administrator, for the sum of Rs. 51,000, alleged to have been owing to the plaintiff from the late Thákór Gagubha. The matter is a complicated one involving obscure account transactions and also some points of law. The case is now pending in the Court of the First Class Subordinate Judge at Ahmedabad and will probably be decided some time next month.

87. The appeals referred to in the 23rd paragraph of my last year's report have been decided by the High Court in favour of the estate of the Thákors of Kaira.

88. The appeal in the High Court relating to the *wanto* in the Sánand village site has not yet been decided. The suit in which Maganlál Bháishankar claims Rs. 46,000 from the Gangad estate is still pending in the Court of the District Judge, Ahmedabad, on remand from the High Court.

89. The following figures show the cost incurred by the estates during the year under report on account of litigation :—

			Rs.	a.	p.
Total expenditure incurred ...	...	...	824	8	6
Recovered from plaintiffs ...	...	...	206	13	10
Net cost to Estates ...	...	...	617	10	8

The net expense incurred during the previous year amounted to Rs. 246-9-2 only.

FINANCIAL REVIEW.

90. The following abstract gives at one view the financial results of the management of the estates for the year under report :—

Estates under Encumbered Estates Acts ...	Number of Estates.	Gross revenue including previous year's outstanding balances.	Total revenue realized including past years' balances in hand.	CHARGES OF ALL KINDS.						Paid to Creditors.	Balance in hand at the close of the year.	Outstanding balances written off.	Outstanding balances at the close of the year.
				Government Dues.	Collection, &c., Charges in the Talukas.	Talukdari Settlement Officer and Establishment Charges.	Maintenance, education and other expenses of Talukdars.	Miscellaneous.	Total.				
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
114	2,56,755	3,13,913	54,181	11,767	6,161	61,591	6,766	1,40,466	1,09,266	64,191	2,904	18,027	
All other Estates under management ...	486	5,03,111	8,17,775	1,43,839	28,324	12,224	90,757	91,333	3,66,477	72,638	3,78,600	7,184	48,295
Total ...	600	7,59,866	11,31,688	1,98,020	40,091	18,385	1,52,348	98,099	5,06,943	1,81,954	4,42,791	10,088	66,312

The details of this abstract will be found in Appendix XII.

91. The percentages of collection, remission and outstanding balances to

the total realizable revenue of the estates under management for the year under report and the previous two years are shown in the following table:—

	Years.	Gross revenue including arrears.	Amount collected during the year.	Amount remitted.	Amount outstanding.	Percentage of collection.	Percentage of remission.	Percentage of outstanding balances.
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.			
Ahmedabad	1890-91	4,02,776	3,39,345	4,427	59,004	84.2	1.0	14.6
	1891-92	4,90,746	4,20,726	20,407	49,613	85.7	4.1	10.1
	1892-93	5,10,165	4,48,865	8,217	53,083	87.9	1.6	10.4
Kaira	1890-91	1,40,420	1,28,804	1,051	10,565	91.7	0.74	7.5
	1891-92	1,46,263	1,14,141	13,172	18,950	78	9	12.9
	1892-93	1,31,400	1,26,704	1,095	3,601	96.4	.8	2.7
Broach	1890-91	1,42,593	1,10,355	3,834	28,404	77.39	2.6	19.9
	1891-92	1,47,189	1,06,971	5,505	34,713	72.6	3.7	23.5
	1892-93	1,18,301	1,07,897	776	9,628	91.2	.006	8.1
Total	1890-91	6,85,789	5,78,504	9,312	97,973	84.36	1.3	14.2
	1891-92	7,84,198	6,41,838	39,084	1,03,276	81.84	4.9	13.1
	1892-93	7,59,866	6,83,466	10,088	66,312	89.9	1.3	8.7

92. From these figures it will appear that this year the percentage of collections to gross realizable revenue in the Ahmedabad District is slightly and in Kaira and Broach very considerably higher than in 1891-92. The proportion of remissions is in all the districts very low. The percentage of outstanding balances in Ahmedabad is somewhat higher than it was last year, but in Kaira and Broach the proportion has decreased by 10.2 per cent. and 15.4 per cent. respectively. These figures are, on the whole, decidedly satisfactory, especially as regards the Broach and Kaira Districts, where the season was more favourable than in Ahmedabad.

93. The amount outstanding in the Kaira District is only Rs. 3,601. Of this Rs. 385 are due from tenants of the Dehwan estate and Rs. 394 from tenants of Alwa, and steps are being taken to recover those amounts. The outstanding balance of the Nadiád Desái's estate is Rs. 2,663, almost all of which belongs to years previous to that under report. Nearly half the amount is due on bonds, and will be recovered gradually, while the greater part of the remainder is irrecoverable and will be written off as such.

94. In the Broach District the outstanding balance amounts to Rs. 9,628, of which Rs. 4,737 belong to the year under report. The balance last year was Rs. 34,713, so it will be seen that good progress was made this year in recovering the sums outstanding from previous years. Of the amount still uncollected about Rs. 1,180 are irrecoverable and will be written off from the accounts of the Dábha and Janiádra estates. The Khandáli estate has an outstanding balance of Rs. 963, most of which is due from the Girássias themselves as tenants. Of the balances in the Jhánor and Kázi of Broach's estates a considerable proportion is due in payment of cash *haks* and will be recovered in the ordinary course. The Janiádra estate has a balance outstanding amounting to Rs. 2,630, and steps are being taken to recover such of it as is not to be written off. The other amounts outstanding in the Broach District are small and need no explanation.

	Rs.	95. In the Ahmedabad District the amount out-
Sánand	21,415	standing at the end of 1891-92 was Rs. 49,613. It
Dhandhuka	10,998	is now Rs. 53,083. Of this amount Rs. 31,828 is due
Dholka	7,437	on account of the year under report. The sums out-
Viramgám	11,820	standing in each táluka are shown in the margin.
Parántij	1,303	
Gogha	110	
Total	53,083	

96. In the Sánand Táluka the largeness of the amount outstanding is due to the failure of crops in certain of the villages belonging to the Thákor of Sánand and Koth, some of which are situated in the Dholka Táluka. The balance in that estate is Rs. 17,908, of which Rs. 10,453 are on account of the year under report. Rs. 1,907 are outstanding in the Makhiáv estate, but of this sum Rs. 1,252 will have to be written off as irrecoverable. In the Garodia estate Rs. 896 are due from the Tálukdárs themselves and will have to be written off also.

97. In Dhandhuka there is now an outstanding balance of Rs. 10,998 as against Rs. 20,449 last year. Considering that the season was not a good one in this táluka the sum collected on account of previous years' arrears may be looked on as satisfactory. The arrears of the year under report amount to Rs. 8,485. The number of estates in this táluka is very large. The balance outstanding is in no case particularly heavy, and the Mámlatdár reports that a considerable portion of the sum shown as due has been collected since his figures were submitted. In Khás the outstandings amount to Rs. 3,167 and there is a dispute regarding the liability of an alleged tenant. In Navda and Adwal the balances are Rs. 1,040 and Rs. 1,427 respectively. Steps are being taken to realize all the sums which are considered recoverable.

98. The amount outstanding in Dholka is not large. The Gangád estate has a balance due to it of Rs. 4,109, a considerable portion of which may have to be written off as irrecoverable.

99. In Viramgám the amount outstanding has increased since last year from Rs. 7,173 to Rs. 11,820. Of this sum Rs. 7,413 are due on account of the year 1892-93. The largest amount outstanding is Rs. 5,314 in Sinaj, where a sum of Rs. 3,725 is entered as due by the Thákardás as rent of certain lands which have been given them for their maintenance. This will now have to be written off. The Bhánkoda estate has a balance of Rs. 3,199. The other amounts outstanding are insignificant, and steps are being taken to recover them.

100. The outstanding balances in the Parántij and Gogha tálukas are, as last year, too small to require explanation.

101. The amounts remitted during the year under report were in all three districts considerably less than in the previous year. In Ahmedabad the remissions amounted to Rs. 8,217, of which sum Rs. 4,022 were chiefly on account of the Koth estate, and Rs. 2,678 on account of certain estates in Viramgám where the remissions were granted to the owners of the property themselves. In the Kaira and Broach districts the sums remitted are so small as to call for no remark.

102. The following table shows the average percentage of expenses of management to realizable revenue and to actual collection of each district separately and of all three districts together for the year under report :—

Collectorate.			Tálukdári Settlement Office.	Local expenses of collec- tion, &c.	Total average per- centage.
Ahmedabad...	{	On realizable revenue...	2·3	5·4	7·7
		On actual collection ...	2·6	6·1	8·8
Kaira ...	{	On realizable revenue...	2·5	4·4	7
		On actual collection ...	2·6	4·6	7·2
Broach ...	{	On realizable revenue...	2·7	5·5	8·2
		On actual collection ...	2·9	6	9
Total average per- centage ...	{	On realizable revenue...	2·4	5·2	7·6
		On actual collection ...	2·6	5·8	8·5

WORKING OF THE GUJARÁT TÁLUKDÁRS ACT VI OF 1888.

103. I have nothing of importance to remark in connection with the way in which the Act has worked during the year under report. I have reason to believe that the Thákors of Limbdi and Wála, who are Chiefs in Káthiáwár, as well as Tálukdárs of Ahmedabad, consider doubtful the applicability of this law to the estates in British Territory of which they are owners, but they have not yet raised the question directly, and there will, I think, be no difficulty in answering it when brought forward. To the main body of the Tálukdárs the Act means the regulation of their borrowing transactions, and during the year very numerous applications have been received by me for sanction under Section 31 (1). These are dealt with in full below. The importance of provisions for preventing the transfer of Tálukdári estates from the hands of their original owners to those of the money-lender have been brought prominently before me

by two cases which I have had to deal with during the year. The first is that of Aníálí Káthi which I have referred to in my 59th paragraph above. As a result of the sale of the village many years ago the original owners, whose rights were those of absolute proprietors, have, with the exception of about half a dozen of them, been entirely driven from their homes. The handful that has remained is in a condition of great destitution, and in consequence of their hatred for the authority of the Wánia purchaser of the village these Káthis are a source of danger to the peace of that part of the district in which the village is situated, and in which they are now in hiding to escape arrest on warrants issued against them for alleged offences of violent assault and criminal intimidation. The other case is that of Kotda, also in the Dhandhuka Táluka. A money-lender is in possession of great part of the village, and the cultivators, who have been tenants of the original Tálukdárs for generations, are now harassed by the threat of being turned out of their holdings, in order that the new master of the property may increase his gains by letting their lands to other persons who will pay a sum down for the right of occupancy. To show how differently they regard the rights of the Tálukdár owner and those of the money-lender I may mention that in the same village one of the former is proposing to do very much the same as the Wánia, and his conduct is not regarded as unjust. Looking at the matter in the light of the two cases now referred to, there can, I think, be no doubt that those sections of the Act which prevent indiscriminate transfer of the land to money-lenders are of the greatest benefit to Tálukdárs.

104. The details of the partition cases dealt with during the year are given in paragraph 83 above. No important points came up for decision. Four of the applications were made by non-Tálukdárs who had become possessed of shares in the villages concerned by purchase at Court sales. As Section 10 (1) of the Act gives a right to partition to every person entitled to a share of a Tálukdári estate, the applications of these persons had to be treated as if the purchasers were Tálukdárs, and in each of them the prayer for partition was granted.

105. Twenty-two applications for permission to borrow money on the security of Tálukdári estates were pending at the beginning of the year under report, the amount to be borrowed being Rs. 1,98,855. Fifty-four fresh applications were received during the year, as against 50 in 1891-92, and there were therefore 76 to be disposed of. In 31 cases the applications were granted, and borrowing to the amount of Rs. 4,86,811 was sanctioned. The loans in these cases varied from Rs. 173 to Rs. 2,00,000. In 36 cases permission to borrow was refused, the amount concerned being Rs. 2,35,360. Nine applications are still under enquiry. The largest sums the borrowing of which was sanctioned during the year under report are :—

- (1) Rs. 2,00,000, Thákor of Gamph.
- (2) „ 1,00,000, Thákor of Kuwar.
- (3) „ 35,000, Thákor of Bhamária, Sonipur, Panch Maháls.
- (4) „ 20,000, Thákor of Moti Boru.
- (5) „ 18,300, Subámia Bápúsáheb of Ránpur.
- (6) „ 17,000, Bávásáheb Alambhai of Thori Thámbar.
- (7) „ 15,000, Shivsangji Ladhubhai of Pipli.
- (8) „ 15,000, Dolatsing Lákhábhái of Chasiána.
- (9) „ 12,500, Partápsing Verábhái of Adwál.

106. All these loans were taken in order to carry out arrangements for lessening interest on debts already contracted, except in cases (8) and (3). In the latter the money was required for the expenses of the litigation in which the Thákor is involved in connection with his succession to the *gádi*. No. 5, Subámia Bápúsáheb, whose estate has not very long been released from attachment on account of his minority, last year borrowed Rs. 10,000 to meet marriage expenses and to liquidate existing debts. This year he has received sanction to borrow Rs. 18,300, part of which he requires for his second marriage (his first wife having died), and part to pay off last year's loan, which was raised at a higher rate of interest than that at which he has now arranged to procure the money.

107. During the year under report sanction was given for the borrowing of Rs. 4,86,811 as against Rs. 1,63,916 in 1891-92 and Rs. 2,39,509 in 1890-91. The cause of the large increase in the figures is the heavy loans required by the

Thákors of Gamph and Kuwar, the former being two lákhs and the latter one. In my last year's report I had the honour to allude to the very unsatisfactory financial condition of the Gamph estate. The debts are estimated at over two lákhs, and part of the property is already mortgaged. The arrangement which has now been made for taking a loan of two lakhs to liquidate the existing debt on the security of one of the richest villages of the estate is, I believe, as good as one as could be expected under the circumstances, and I trust that with care and economy the finances of the estate may in time be placed on a sound footing. The estate of the Thákor of Kuwar also is in a very unsatisfactory condition. Large sums were borrowed to defray the cost of litigation when the Thákor was fighting in the Courts his claim to succeed to the Sánand and Koth estate in place of the present Thákor of that estate. To reduce the interest on the amounts due by him the Thákor has now asked to be allowed to borrow a lákhs of rupees at a lower rate, and I have acceded to his request.

108. So far as information has as yet been supplied to my office, only Rs. 37,000 have been actually taken from the lenders during the year under report, *viz.* Rs. 20,000 by the Thákor of Moti Boru and Rs. 17,000 by Báwasáheb Alambhai of Thori Thámbe. It is not, however, possible for me to be certain whether all the Tálukdárs who carry out loan transactions after receiving sanction from me report the fact of their having done so, although they are told to produce before me the cancelled bonds and receipts. During 1891-92 the borrowing of Rs. 39,665 was reported, and during the year 1892-93 out of amounts sanctioned in 1891-92 I have received information that the sum of Rs. 53,000 has been taken by the Tálukdárs of Cher and Kherda. Thus out of Rs. 1,63,916 sanctioned in 1891-92, only Rs. 92,665 have up to the present been borrowed so far as the information supplied to me can be depended upon. Out of the amount sanctioned in 1890-91 Rs. 19,200 have been reported as borrowed during 1892-93. Rs. 14,000 only were taken by the Tálukdárs concerned in 1890-91 and Rs. 1,46,050 in the following year, thus making a total of Rs. 1,79,250. The whole amount sanctioned was Rs. 2,39,509.

109. During the year under report four estates were newly taken under management at the request of the Tálukdárs under Section 28, Act VI of 1888. Two of the applications under that section have not yet been disposed of. I am still of opinion that the Tálukdárs specially approve of the provisions of the new law which allow them to obtain the advantages and the security of Government management.

110. The question of reorganizing the village police in the Tálukdári villages belonging to the Thákor Sáheb of Limbdi was settled by the orders contained in Government Resolution No. 436, dated the 20th January 1893. As the Thákor has agreed to accept the arrangements proposed by the District Magistrate of Ahmedabad, there has been no necessity for putting in force the provisions of Section 30 of the Tálukdárs' Act, 1888. At a personal interview which I had with the Thákor Sáheb in May last, he gave me to understand that he considers that that section cannot be enforced against him, and that he has agreed to the present arrangements because they have been made without recourse to that compulsion which the Act would allow. I explained to him that he was quite incorrect in his view of the matter, and that although in the present case Government had not put the Act in force simply because his agreement in the arrangement proposed by the District Magistrate rendered recourse to the law unnecessary, still Government have full power to deal with him under Section 30.

111. As stated above, no Tálukdári estate was sold in execution of a decree.

Nagarka, 18 vighas.—Government Resolution No. 7500, dated 22nd September 1892.

Bhádli, 5½ vighas.—Government Resolution No. 2002, dated 1st March 1893.

Private sales of portions of estates, both parties to the transactions being Tálukdárs, were sanctioned by Government in the cases noted in the margin. The area of land sold was in each case very small.

During the year Government were pleased also to sanction the sale of the village of Aníali Káthi by its owner Desái Sámaldas Bechar to Shet Tribhovandas Jádavji of Bombay. The circumstances of this village are alluded to in paragraph 59 above.

RESUME'.

112. The following is a resumé of the operations of this Department during the year under report and the previous two years in all the districts under the several heads mentioned :—

	1890-91.	1891-92.	1892-93.
Estates under management	491	584	600
Their total revenue	6,85,789	7,84,198	7,59,866
Amount collected	5,78,504	6,41,838	6,83,466
Number of creditors' claims under Act VI of 1862 enquired into and awards made	35	Nil.	Nil.
Debt settlement schemes submitted	3	Nil.	Nil.
Decrees received from the Civil Courts for execution	161	151	142
Decrees inclusive of those brought over from previous years executed by compromise, sale, mortgage, &c.	525	699	804
Amount paid to private creditors	67,059	90,649	107,132
Amount paid to Government	54,894	56,364	74,822
Amount paid to Tálukdárs, Thákors, &c., for maintenance and other expenses	1,17,889	1,17,026	152,348
Paid for Government <i>jama</i>	1,99,505	2,00,220	198,020
Paid for expenses of collection, supervision, &c.	50,444	53,098	58,476
Minor's money invested—			
In Government Security	90,745	89,000	49,000
In landed property	20,000	20,000	91,000
Estates under enquiry for partition	25	23	24
Original suits and appeals	37	37	53
Revenue of Tálukdári villages in the Ahmedabad Collectorate under the revenue charge of this office collected.	3,74,585	3,75,894	368,387
Percentage of—			
Collection	84.36	81.84	89.9
Remission	1.3	4.9	1.3
Outstanding balances to realizable revenue	14.2	13.1	8.7
Percentage of collection and management charges to—			
Total realizable revenue	8.21	6.76	7.6
Actual collection	7.85	8.26	8.5

TA'LUKDA'RS' JUBILEE MEMORIAL FUND.

113. The amount of this fund in hand is Rs. 22,415-10-2. Of this sum Rs. 2,415-10-2 are in the Savings Bank and are drawn on for current expenditure and Rs. 20,000 have been invested in a mortgage on a Tálukdári estate, which returns 6 per cent. interest. The estate is not under the management of this office, but the security is satisfactory.

114. Government having been pleased to accede to the request of the Tálukdárs and to lease to them, at a rent of Rs. 45 per mensem, a portion of the buildings of the Gáekwád's Haveli; the Institute referred to in my last year's report, has been established and was opened on the 1st April last. A secretary has been appointed to supervise the affairs of the Institute and to assist the boys residing there in preparing their work for the schools they attend in Ahmedabad. Up to the present time I regret to have to report that only a few Tálukdárs have made use of the Institute as an utaro. Probably, however, during the monsoon months, and while my office is at head-quarters, the accommodation provided will be more fully availed of. Separate rooms are being constructed for the boys residing in the building, and Punjbha Jambhai, minor Kasbati of Thori Thámaba, and Kishordás Wághjibhai, minor Desái of Nadiád, have already taken up their quarters in the Institute and attend schools in the city. During the year, rules have been framed by the Managing Committee for the conduct of the Institute in both its branches, and nothing more is wanted to ensure its success, but the interest of the Tálukdárs for whose convenience it has been established.

MARRIAGE EXPENSES REFORM MOVEMENT.

115. The question of introducing amongst the Rajput Grássias of Káthiáwár regulations in the matter of the expenses incurred by them on the occasion of marriages is now under the consideration of the Chiefs of that Province, and

in connection with the movement I have been called upon to consult with the Tálukdárs of Gujarát and after ascertaining their views on the subject to submit a report to the Commissioner, N. D. In order to have the question fully discussed, I convened a meeting of the Tálukdárs of Ahmedabad, Kaira, Broach and Panch Maháls, and it was held on the 28th July last at the Jubilee Institute in Ahmedabad. I previously circulated in the four districts copies of the draft rules now under discussion in Káthiawár, so that the Tálukdárs might be informed of what was going on there. The attendance at the meeting was, I regret to say, very poor, and none of the Tálukdárs who were present was prepared to discuss the matter in detail, nor did I at that stage desire more than an expression of opinion on the general question whether the Gujarát Rajput Grássias are or are not willing to join in a movement for diminishing the amount spent on marriage ceremonies in their families. The Tálukdárs who were present (and amongst them I may specially mention the Thákors of A'mod and Gájna, Mr. Meghábhai Ratansing, the Kárbhári of Utelia, and Mr. Pátábhái Jasvatsing, Tálukdár of Cher) appeared to be much interested in the suggested reform and to be willing to do what is in their power to induce the other members of their community to support it. Committees were appointed for the districts of Ahmedabad, Kaira and Broach, the Panch Maháls not being represented at all at the meeting, and I have hopes that they will bring the matter to the notice of the other Tálukdárs, and initiate amongst the Rajput Grássias of Gujarát a movement corresponding to that now progressing in Káthiawár. So far as I can ascertain, there is a general feeling amongst the people of this class that rules regulating marriage expenses would be a great benefit to them, but in this as in all other matters the bulk of them are too apathetic and too indifferent to their own welfare to take any action which is not absolutely forced upon them. The Committees appointed have been instructed to report within four months, and when their proposals are received, I shall be in a position to supply information as to the extent to which it may be expected that the marriage expenses reform movement will be supported by the Rajput Grássia Tálukdárs of Gujarát.

ESTABLISHMENT.

116. As the maximum survey rates to be imposed in the Gogha Táluka have not yet been approved by Government, there was no work during the year for the extra establishment sanctioned by Government Resolution No. 407, dated the 28th January 1892, and it was accordingly not entertained. Government were pleased to allow of the expenses of preparing the copies of the faisal patrahs given to the Tálukdárs of Dholka when the new *jamás* were announced to them in February last to be considered as part of the cost of the survey operations and to approve of their being paid from the amount budgetted for the extra establishment referred to above.

117. During the year I have had the honour to submit to Government a representation on the part of certain members of my office establishment in which they set forth as a grievance that their services are not pensionable, and pray that they may be allowed to contribute for pension as do the Government servants employed in other offices. I have ventured to support their application and have given in full my reasons for so doing. The orders of Government are now awaited.

118. I am glad to be able again to report my satisfaction with the work of my office establishment. The Head Clerk Mr. Mulji Kálidás continues to perform his duties with zeal and ability, and the Shirastedár Mr. Shivshanker Bechar has worked in a thoroughly commendable manner in disposing of the large vernacular correspondence of the office.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

H. O. QUIN,

Acting Tálukdári Settlement Officer,
Gujarát.

Ahmedabad Talukdars

Number.	Name of Estate.	Name of Owner or Chief Sharer.	Date of application of Act.	Total Debt to be liquidated as per Ledger.
1	2	3	4	5
<i>Dhandhuka Taluka.</i>				Rs. a. p.
1	Samadiála	Dhanráj Virsál	7th April 1875 ...	5,958 7 11
2	Do.	Rámráo Lákha	Do.	5,799 10 7
3	Do.	Bhága Lakhman	Do.	1,301 13 7
4	Návda	Latifkhán Sardármahomed ...	9th January 1876 ...	76,341 5 4
<i>Sánand Taluka.</i>				
5	Makhiáv	Gagubha Ráesingji	19th May 1883 ...	55,893 0 0
<i>Dhandhuka Taluka.</i>				
6	Bagad	Punja Vikamsi	12th July 1883 ...	8,437 8 0
7	Do.	Bhoka Oghad	Do.	5,276 8 0
8	Do.	Naja Ebhal	11th September 1884 .	7,417 0 0
9	Hadmantála	Bulákhi Modji	3rd April 1884 ...	17,226 0 0
10	Kinára	Válji Modji	23rd October 1884 ...	5,374 0 0
11	Khokharnesh	Jivábhai Desubhai	29th October 1885 ...	2,193 0 0
12	Do.	Takhatsing Bhávabhái ...	Do.	1,199 12 0
13	Do.	Rupábhai Bhávabhái ...	Do.	1,648 0 0
14	Do.	Malekbhai Partápsing ...	Do.	1,100 0 0
15	Do.	Modji Partápsing	Do.	850 0 0
16	Bela	Vikábhai Dosábhai	5th May 1887 ...	7,986 0 0
17	Do.	Jethábhai Vastábhai	Do.	5,750 0 0
18	Jhánjharka	Bhagvatsing Devising ...	6th June 1889 ...	14,359 0 0
19	Do.	Rámsingji Khodábhai ...	Do.	10,164 0 0
20	Do.	Harising Bhupatsing ...	Do.	4,535 0 0
21	Do.	Vajesing Hothibhai	Do.	2,439 0 0
<i>Viramgám Taluka.</i>				
22	Rudatal	Umáji Jodhaji, &c.	6th June 1889 ...	12,263 0 0
23	Do.	Hazurji Godáji	Do.	2,285 0 0
24	Do.	Mádhaji Rámaji	Do.	10,594 2 0
25	Do.	Dhanaji Nathuji	Do.	7,723 0 0
26	Jethipura	Agarsing Sawáji	4th July 1889 ...	7,149 0 0
<i>Gogha Taluka.</i>				
27	Awánia	Sumrábhai Champábhai ...	5th January 1882 ...	1,408 0 0
28	Bhojpura	Devising Bháji	10th May 1877 ...	1,792 2 0
<i>Dhandhuka Taluka.</i>				
29	Bodána	Gokal Jivan	29th March 1877 ...	14,886 8 0
30	Do.	Ashkaran Gangdás	Do.	5,668 8 11
31	Do.	Puna Teja, &c.	Do.	6,438 1 3
32	Do.	Khimráo Ghela	Do.	3,602 6 9
33	Do.	Dáda Rájsi	Do.	1,285 0 0
34	Do.	Bhála Ransur	Do.	2,594 4 0
35	Do.	Pána Sámat	Do.	1,220 5 0
36	Do.	Ápa Khima	Do.	2,827 6 6
37	Do.	Nágráo Virsál	Do.	1,675 0 0

DIX I.

under Act VI of 1862.

Balance outstanding on 1st August 1892 as per Ledger.	Interest on Loan for the year 1892-93 as per Ledger.	Total.	Amount paid during 1892-93 on account of Debt Settlement as per Ledger.	Balance outstanding on 31st July 1892 as per Ledger.	Percentage of payment shown in Column 9 to Out- standing Balances.	REMARKS.
6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.		
781 4 3	38 9 8	819 13 11	90 0 0	729 13 11	11.5	
289 4 5	14 1 4	303 5 9	75 0 0	228 5 9	25.9	
42 6 5	2 0 8	44 7 1	15 0 0	29 7 1	35.7	
4,780 14 7	218 9 9	4,999 8 4	2,000 0 0	2,999 8 4	42.7	
38,725 13 9	1,936 4 8	40,662 2 5		40,662 2 5	...	
7,893 1 7	397 0 2	8,290 1 9	300 0 0	7,990 1 9	3.8	
8,312 11 11	164 1 10	8,476 13 9	300 0 0	8,176 13 9	9	
3,552 1 6	175 9 3	3,727 10 9	400 0 0	3,327 10 9	11.2	
12,503 5 10	547 2 8	13,050 8 6	1,400 0 0	11,650 8 6	11.1	
3,227 11 6	124 1 8	3,451 13 2	450 0 0	3,001 13 2	13.5	
1,787 7 5	90 8 9	1,878 0 2	125 0 0	1,753 0 2	6.9	
541 14 10	26 10 11	568 9 9	125 0 0	443 9 9	23	
1,558 0 2	58 8 0	1,216 8 2	125 0 0	1,091 8 2	10.7	
897 11 8	45 7 8	943 3 4	62 8 0	880 11 4	6.9	
609 9 6	30 12 11	640 6 5	62 8 0	577 14 5	10.1	
4,743 14 9	238 10 2	4,982 8 11		4,982 8 11	...	
4,435 8 8	221 10 5	4,657 3 1	100 0 0	4,557 3 1	2.2	
12,631 11 2	625 8 10	13,257 4 0	1,600 0 0	11,657 4 0	12.6	
9,228 5 8	457 6 2	9,685 11 10	1,200 0 0	8,485 11 10	13	
2,961 4 10	142 7 10	3,103 12 8	1,100 0 0	2,003 12 8	37.1	
1,843 15 1	90 2 9	1,934 1 10	400 0 0	1,534 1 10	21.6	
9,016 12 7	440 15 7	9,457 12 2	3,000 0 0	6,457 12 2	33.2	
1,652 13 10	81 13 1	1,734 10 11	250 0 0	1,484 10 11	15.1	
17,893 0 0	149 9 7	18,042 9 7	10,329 0 0	7,713 9 7	57.7	
12,704 0 0	106 7 1	12,810 7 1	7,472 0 0	5,338 7 1	58.8	
11,498 0 0	97 13 6	11,595 13 6	6,285 0 0	5,310 13 6	54	
472 14 2	11 7 11	484 6 1	60 0 0	424 6 1	12.7	
153 8 4		153 8 4	50 8 4	103 0 0	32.6	
8,786 8 0		8,786 8 0		8,786 8 0	...	
3,823 8 11		3,823 8 11		3,823 8 11	...	
4,373 1 3		4,373 1 3		4,373 1 3	...	
2,978 6 9		2,978 6 9		2,978 6 9	...	
962 0 0		962 0 0		962 0 0	...	
1,073 4 0		1,073 4 0		1,073 4 0	...	
305 0 0		305 0 0		305 0 0	...	
710 0 0		710 0 0		710 0 0	...	
417 0 0		417 0 0		417 0 0	...	

APPENDIX

Number.	Name of Estate.	Name of Owner or Chief Sharer.	Date of application of Act.	Total Debt to be liquidated as per Ledger.
1	2	3	4	5
	<i>Gogha Táluka.</i>			Rs. a. p.
38	Bhawánipura	Karába Káshámji, &c. ...	4th January 1881 ...	10,508 0 0
	<i>Dhandhuka Táluka.</i>			
39	Aniáli Kasbáti and Bubávav.	Kánubháí Pirbhái	6th June 1889 ...	6,326 0 0
40	Do.	Chandrasing Amiji	Do.	4,107 0 0
41	Do.	Báwáji Jethibháí	Do.	10,399 0 0
42	Do.	Fattebhái Achhábhái, &c. ...	Do.	7,273 0 0
43	Do.	Manubháí Báwáji, &c.	Do.	4,385 0 0
44	Do.	Báwámia Rembhái	Do.	45 0 0
45	Do.	Sardármia Rájbha	Do.	45 0 0
46	Do.	Dádubha Narsingji, &c. ...	Do.	1,744 0 0
47	Do.	Motáji Bhagwánji	Do.	1,536 0 0
48	Do.	Amarsing Páthábhái	Do.	1,090 0 0
49	Do.	Bápábhái Dádásáheb	Do.	1,795 0 0
50	Do.	Bápubha Hothiji	Do.	1,090 0 0
51	Do.	Bápusáheb Jonáji, &c. ...	Do.	4,556 0 0
52	Do.	Murcha Sultánbhái, &c. ...	Do.	2,057 0 0
	<i>Viramgám Táluka.</i>			
53	Sinaj Kánpára	Hariji Badarji, &c.	20th June 1889 ...	11,158 0 0
54	Do.	Sáwáji Rámáji, &c.	Do.	5,798 0 0
55	Do.	Umáji Kasláji, &c.	Do.	9,144 0 0
56	Do.	Hamirji Punjáji, &c.	Do.	10,424 0 0
57	Do.	Okháji Dhanáji	Do.	4,122 0 0
58	Dábhsar	Pátháji Becharji, &c. ...	19th September 1889 ...	23,626 0 0
	<i>Gogha Táluka.</i>			
59	Khámmbha	Hamirji Vákábhái, &c. ...	19th September 1889 ...	9,505 0 0
			Total ...	4,55,393 13 10

I—continued.

Balance outstanding on 1st August 1892 as per Ledger.	Interest on Loan for the year 1892-93 as per Ledger.	Total.	Amount paid during 1892-93 on account of Debt Settlement as per Ledger.	Balance outstanding on 31st July 1892 as per Ledger.	Percentage of payment shown in Column 9 to Out- standing Balances.	REMARKS.
6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.		
4,662 0 0		4,662 0 0	518 0 0	4,144 0 0	11.1	
6326 0 0		6,326 0 0	402 0 0	5,924 0 0	6.3	
4107 0 0		4,107 0 0	470 0 0	3,637 0 0	11.4	
10,399 0 0		10,399 0 0	647 0 0	9,752 0 0	6.2	
7,273 0 0		7,273 0 0	399 0 0	6,874 0 0	5.4	
4,385 0 0		4,385 0 0	148 0 0	4,237 0 0	3.3	
45 0 0		45 0 0	45 0 0		100	
45 0 0		45 0 0	45 0 0		100	
1,744 0 0		1,744 0 0	200 0 0	1,544 0 0	11.4	
1,536 0 0		1,536 0 0		1,536 0 0	...	
1,090 0 0		1,090 0 0	500 0 0	590 0 0	45.8	
1,795 0 0		1,795 0 0		1,795 0 0	...	
1,090 0 0		1,090 0 0	440 0 0	650 0 0	40.3	
4,556 0 0		4,556 0 0	264 0 0	4,292 0 0	5.7	
2,057 0 0		2,057 0 0	470 0 0	1,587 0 0	22.8	
9,733 0 0		9,733 0 0	556 0 0	9,177 0 0	5.7	
5,357 0 0		5,357 0 0	1,668 0 0	3,689 0 0	31.1	
6,579 0 0		6,579 0 0	775 0 0	5,804 0 0	11.7	
8,147 0 0		8,147 0 0	1,425 0 0	6,722 0 0	17.4	
2,726 0 0		2,726 0 0	149 0 0	2,577 0 0	5.4	
20,592 0 0		20,592 0 0	1,686 0 0	18,906 0 0	8.1	
6,535 0 0		6,535 0 0	990 0 0	5,545 0 0	15.1	
3,03,647 1 4	6,533 10 10	3,10,180 12 2	49,173 8 4	2,61,007 3 10	16.19	

H. O. QUIN,
Acting Talukdári Settlement Officer, Gujarát.

Ahmedabad Talukdars removed from Act VI of

No.	Name of Estate.	Name of Owner or Chief Sharer.	Date of application of Act.	Total Debt to be liquidated as per Ledger.
1	2	3	4	5
	<i>Dhandhuka Taluka.</i>			Rs. a. p.
1	Keria 	Abhesing Mahomedmia ...	25th June 1863 ...	3,707 15 0
	<i>Dholka Taluka.</i>			
2	Gánol 	Merubhái Fálji, &c. ...	7th April 1864 ...	17,650 2 8
	<i>Sánand Taluka.</i>			
3	Eyáwa 	Bhimji Dosáji, &c. ...	Do. ...	1,127 7 6
	<i>Dholka Taluka.</i>			
4	Dumáli 	Harbhámji Rámábhai ...	2nd May 1867 ...	3,030 10 1
5	Ditto. 	Bhowsingji Rávábhai ...	Do. ...	1,109 11 6
	<i>Sánand Taluka.</i>			
6	Khoda 	Takhatsing Ajubhái, &c. ...	11th February, 1869 ...	9,898 5 4
			Total ...	36,524 4 1

DIX IA.

1862, but continued under management, 1892-93.

Balance outstanding on 1st August 1892 as per Ledger.	Interest on Loan for the year 1892-93 as per Ledger.	Total.	Amount paid during 1892-93 on account of Debt Settlement as per Ledger.	Balance outstanding on 31st July 1893 as per Ledger.	Percentage of payment shown in Column 9 to Out- standing Balances	REMARKS.
6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.		
303 6 7	15 1 11	318 8 6	60 0 0	258 8 6	19.8	
840 2 4	41 11 6	881 13 10	100 0 0	781 13 10	11.9	
509 13 10		509 13 10	130 0 0	379 13 10	25.49	
306 2 9	13 3 10	319 6 7	168 0 0	151 6 7	54.9	
176 7 8	7 8 9	184 0 5	184 0 5		100	
6,377 7 8	319 9 7	6,697 1 3	500 0 0	6,197 1 3	7.85	
8,513 8 10	397 3 7	8,910 12 5	1,142 0 5	7,768 12 0	13.41	

H. O. QUIN,
Acting Tálukdári Settlement Officer, Gajarát.

Kaira Encumbered Estates

No.	Name of Estate.	Name of Owner or Chief Sharer.	Date of Application of Act.	Total Debt to be liquidated as per Ledger.
1	2	3	4	5
	<i>Anand Táluka.</i>			Rs. a. p.
1	Nápád ...	Bháratsing Báváji ...	18th October 1877 ...	20,657 0 9
2	Do. ...	Parbatsing Nánábáwa ...	8th November 1877 ...	13,671 4 11
	<i>Borsad Táluka.</i>			
3	Nápa ...	Nársingji Abhesingji, &c. ...	21st November 1877...	23,208 9 7
4	Do. ...	Fattesing Takhatsing, &c. ...	22nd do. ...	16,552 0 0
5	Do. ...	Haribhai Amarsing, &c. ...	Do. ...	8,727 12 0
	<i>Anand Táluka.</i>			
6	Nápád ...	Takhatsing Dádábáwa ...	20th December 1877...	4,053 4 0
	<i>Borsad Táluka.</i>			
7	Nápa ...	Bai Surajba ...	17th January 1878 ...	1,911 10 0
	<i>Kapadvanj Táluka.</i>			
8	Alwa ...	Jaimet Raju, &c. ...	2nd May 1878 ...	14,986 3 5
	<i>Borsad Táluka.</i>			
9	Nápa ...	Punjáji Jijibhái ...	16th do. ...	5,133 0 0
10	Do. ...	Partápsing Dábhái, &c. ...	Do. ...	4,029 0 0
11	Do. ...	Himatsing Dádábáwa ...	Do. ...	2,294 0 0
12	Do. ...	Motáji Bháiba, &c. ...	Do. ...	3,138 13 7
13	Do. ...	Sardársing Kábhái ...	Do. ...	3,300 0 0
14	Do. ...	Takhatsing Banesing ...	Do. ...	440 0 0
15	Gájna ...	Himatsing Ráesingji ...	Do. ...	22,185 11 8
	<i>Mehmadabad Táluka.</i>			
16	Kaira ...	Rattansing Jijibhái ...	16th May 1878 ...	5,574 12 0
17	Kuna ...	Gibáwa Anopsing ...	Do. ...	38,434 4 0
	<i>Borsad Táluka.</i>			
18	Nápa ...	Rájbha Jibhái, &c. ...	23rd May 1878 ...	6,016 14 9
	<i>Anand Táluka.</i>			
19	Nápád ...	Sáhebsing Kárnábhái ...	13th June 1878 ...	1,938 0 0
	<i>Borsad Táluka.</i>			
20	Nápa ...	Fattesing Bápuji ...	Do. ...	29,374 10 10
21	Bhetási ...	Bápuji Banesing ...	15th August 1878 ...	5,270 7 11
22	Dehwán ...	Dájibáwa Dadábhái, &c. ...	Do. ...	8,471 3 8
23	Sálol ...	Motabhái Sardárbhai, &c. ...	22nd August 1878 ...	4,335 0 0
24	Do. ...	Khoddbhai Kesar ...	Do. ...	2,609 0 0
	<i>Mútar Táluka.</i>			
25	Hariála ...	Nathuji Jethiji ...	29th August 1878 ...	3,354 9 6

DIX II.

under Act XXI of 1881, 1892-93.

Balance outstanding on 1st August 1892 as per Ledger.	Interest on Loan for the year 1892-93 as per Ledger.	Total.	Amount paid during 1892-93 on account of Debt settlement as per Ledger.	Balance outstanding on 31st July 1893 as per Ledger.	Percentage of payment shown in Column 9 to out- standing balances.	REMARKS.
6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.		
7,824 2 3	359 12 4	8,183 14 7	3,000 0 0	5,183 14 7	38.3	
8,148 2 5	396 14 10	8,545 1 3	1,000 0 0	7,545 1 3	12.2	
11,912 12 11	583 1 0	12,495 13 11	1,300 0 0	11,195 13 11	10.9	
11,022 3 11	540 14 6	11,563 2 5	1,000 0 0	10,563 2 5	9	
6,993 13 4	346 15 11	7,340 13 3	400 0 0	6,940 13 3	5	
2,178 10 6	106 4 6	2,284 15 0	150 0 0	2,134 15 0	6.8	
278 14 0	13 1 5	291 15 5	50 0 0	241 15 5	17.5	
2,760 3 5	100 6 2	2,860 9 7	1,914 1 0	946 8 7	68.6	
3,716 1 5	182 11 10	3,898 13 3	300 0 0	3,598 13 3	8	
1,491 13 6	60 3 1	1,552 0 7	1,552 0 7		100	
67 10 4	2 15 3	70 9 7	25 0 0	45 9 7	37.3	
2,025 14 8	99 0 1	2,124 14 9	200 0 0	1,924 14 9	9.8	
2,046 8 9	100 3 2	2,148 11 11	150 0 0	1,998 11 11	7.3	
293 6 4	14 2 6	307 8 10	30 0 0	277 8 10	10.3	
15,938 10 0	783 3 9	16,721 13 9	800 0 0	15,921 13 9	5	
1,469 0 0	39 4 4	1,508 4 4	400 0 0	1,108 4 4	27.2	
19,360 6 4	928 15 8	20,289 6 0	3,000 0 0	17,289 6 0	15.4	
2,601 9 11	125 4 8	2,726 14 7	600 0 0	2,126 14 7	23	
379 8 4	17 3 4	396 11 8	100 0 0	296 11 8	26.3	
21,286 6 1	1,052 7 6	22,338 13 7	1,500 0 0	20,838 13 7	7	
3,659 10 1	177 8 3	3,837 2 4	400 0 0	3,437 2 4	10.9	
3,273 9 1	151 12 1	3,425 5 2	1,100 0 0	2,325 5 2	33.4	
2,387 3 3	118 1 5	2,505 4 8	120 0 0	2,385 4 8	5	
1,986 8 0		1,986 8 0	149 0 0	1,837 8 0	7.5	
1,682 8 6	82 6 7	1,764 15 1	100 0 0	1,664 15 1	5.9	

APPENDIX

No.	Name of Estate.	Name of Owner or Chief Sharer.	Date of Application of Act.	Total Debt to be Liquidated as per Ledger.
1	2	3	4	5
	<i>Thásra Táluka.</i>			
26	Ránia ...	Jesingbhái Dájibhái ...	12th September 1878	10,692 3 0
	<i>Anand Táluka.</i>			
27	Khodwád ...	Mokamsing Bápuji, &c. ...	Do. ...	6,870 2 6
	<i>Borsad Táluka.</i>			
28	Ankláv ...	Dábhái Báwáji, &c. ...	6th March 1879 ...	6,280 0 0
	<i>Anand Táluka.</i>			
29	Nápád ...	Rupsing Abhesing, &c. ...	17th July 1879 ...	19,688 0 0
30	Do. ...	Nánábáwa Motáji ...	11th September 1879	11,808 10 9
	<i>Mehmadabad Táluka.</i>			
31	Kaira ...	Wakhatsing Adesing ...	23rd February 1882 ...	13,008 0 0
	<i>Borsad Táluka.</i>			
32	Bhetási ...	Partápsing Mádhavsing ...	23rd March 1882 ...	10,051 0 0
33	Nápa ...	Mádhavsing Haribhái ...	11th May 1882 ...	1,960 0 0
34	Bhetási ...	Ráisingji Dádábáwa ...	5th October 1882 ...	7,905 0 5
	<i>Anand Táluka.</i>			
35	Nápád ...	Gemalsing Rásábhái, &c. ...	26th October 1882 ...	3,665 0 0
	<i>Thásra Táluka.</i>			
36	Kot... ...	Himatsing Virábhái ...	15th February 1883 ...	6,608 0 0
37	Málwan ...	Husenmia Bhulanmia ...	20th September 1883	2,117 0 0
38	Do. ...	Dádámia Kamumia ...	Do. ...	845 0 0
39	Anghádi ...	Jabhákhán Aminkhán ...	Do. ...	1,425 0 0
40	Wádád ...	Amir Amthu ...	Do. ...	1,121 0 0
41	Anghádi Pádhia ...	Bhulankhán Umráokhán ...	Do. ...	836 0 0
42	Páli... ...	Nanumia Abádmia ...	20th November 1883	1,017 0 0
Total ...				3,55,564 4 10

II—continued.

Balance outstanding on 1st August 1892 as per Ledger.	Interest on Loan for the year 1892-93 as per Ledger.	Total.	Amount paid during 1892-93 on account of Debt settlement as per Ledger.	Balance outstanding on 31st July 1893 as per Ledger.	Percentage of payment shown in Column 9 to out- standing balances.	REMARKS.
6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.		
4,188 0 10	208 0 1	4,396 0 11	300 0 0	4,096 0 11	7.1	
2,951 11 8	141 4 8	3,093 0 4	600 0 0	2,493 0 4	20.3	
3,458 12 10	166 1 6	3,624 14 4	400 0 0	3,224 14 4	11.5	
8,330 7 2	403 12 1	8,734 3 3	1,600 0 0	7,134 3 3	19.2	
5,883 8 5	256 5 11	6,139 14 4	600 0 0	5,539 14 4	10.1	
7,252 7 0	212 12 5	7,465 3 5	425 0 0	7,040 3 5	5.8	
5,875 6 11	201 10 4	6,077 1 3	4,200 0 0	1,877 1 3	71.4	
530 1 3	25 10 5	555 11 8	50 0 0	505 11 8	9.4	
2,308 0 1	56 1 9	2,364 1 10	2,364 1 10		100	
1,502 10 4	69 5 4	1,571 15 8	450 0 0	1,121 15 8	29.9	
2,062 4 6	100 5 1	2,162 9 7	600 0 0	1,562 9 7	29	
520 8 4	21 10 9	542 3 1	542 3 1		100	
121 12 5	5 8 3	127 4 8	127 4 8		100	
662 0 6	32 8 11	694 9 5	150 0 0	544 9 5	22.6	
257 11 4	12 4 10	270 0 2	125 0 0	145 0 2	48.4	
248 0 9	11 13 1	259 13 10	125 0 0	134 13 10	50.4	
244 2 0	11 8 2	255 10 2	150 0 0	105 10 2	61.4	
1,81,184 15 8	8,319 9 9	1,89,504 9 5	32,148 11 2	1,57,355 14 3	17.7	

H. O. QUIN,
Acting Talukdári Settlement Officer, Gujarát.

APPEN

Broach Encumbered Estates

No.	Name of Estate.	Name of Owner or Chief Sharer.	Date of application of Act.	Total Debt to be liquidated as per Ledger.
1	2	3	4	5
	<i>Jambusar Táluka.</i>			Rs. a. p.
1	Sárod 	Ganpatsingji Himatsingji ...	13th July 1871 ...	81,405 0 0
	<i>Vágra Táluka.</i>			
2	Janiádra 	Jitsingji Somsingji 	Do. ...	19,821 0 0
	<i>A'mod Táluka.</i>			
3	Kolwána 	Jitbhái Bháimía, &c. 	28th November 1878.	5,209 3 6
	<i>Jambusar Táluka.</i>			
4	Sigám 	Jitsingji Bhimsingji 	Do. ...	7,977 4 6
5	Mangnád 	Sadáji Dáji, &c. 	6th March 1879 ...	24,738 0 4
	<i>Vágra Táluka.</i>			
6	Khandáli 	Bháibáwa Bápuji, &c. 	20th May 1880 ...	41,826 8 3
	<i>Broach Táluka.</i>			
7	Jhánor 	Khushálsingji Mohansingji ...	2nd March 1882 ...	42,175 0 0
			Total ...	2,23,152 0 7

DIX III.

under Act XXI of 1881.

Balance outstanding on 1st August 1892 as per Ledger.	Interest on Loan for the year 1892-93 as per Ledger.	Total.	Amount paid during 1892-93 on account of Debt Settlement as per Ledger.	Balance outstanding on 31st July 1893 as per Ledger.	Percentage of Payment shown in column 9 to Out- standing Balances.	REMARKS.
6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.		
14,374 14 6	584 13 6	14,959 12 0	14,959 12 0		100	
42 1 0	1 14 1	43 15 1	43 15 1		100	
1,123 5 0	53 8 0	1,176 13 0	500 0 0	676 13 0	44.5	
1,237 2 11	57 4 9	1,294 7 8	900 0 0	394 7 8	72.7	
10,182 14 11		10,182 14 11	670 13 9	9,312 1 2	7.5	
17,047 12 4	772 3 1	17,819 15 5	8,200 0 0	9,619 15 5	48.1	
20,411 0 0		20,411 0 0	1,846 0 0	18,565 0 0	8	
64,419 2 8	1,469 11 5	65,888 14 1	27,320 8 10	38,568 5 3	42.4	

H. O. QUIN,
Acting Talukdári Settlement Officer, Gujarát.

APPENDIX IV.

Statement showing the Results of the Working of the Gujarāt Encumbered Estates Acts for the year 1892-93.

Zilla.	Number of Estates under the operation of the Acts on 31st July 1893.	Amount re- maining to be paid to Credit- ors at the end of 1891-92.	PAID TO CREDITORS DURING 1892-93.				Remaining to be paid to Cre- ditors at the end of the year 1892-93.	ACCOUNT OF GOVERNMENT ADVANCES.					REMARKS.
			By advance from Gov- ernment.	By collec- tion from Talukdars.	Total.	Advances out- standing at the end of 1891-92.		Further ad- vances during 1892-93 and interest accu- ed up to 31st July 1893.	Total advances with interest.	Amount re- paid during the year.	Advances out- standing at the end of 1892-93.		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
Ahmedabad—Act VI of 1862, as per Appendices I and IA	65	1,58,290	20,914	11,120	32,034	1,26,256	*1,32,956	27,845	1,60,801	18,282	1,42,519		
Kaira—Act XXI of 1881, as per Ap- pendix II	42	6,304	...	213	213	6,091	*1,74,881	8,320	1,83,201	31,936	1,51,265		
Broach—Act XXI of 1881, as per Appendix III	7	30,616	...	2,717	2,717	27,899	*33,803	1,470	35,273	24,604	10,669		

* The amounts are given according to the revised calculations made by the Accountant General.

H. O. QUIN,
Acting Talukdāri Settlement Officer, Gujarāt.

APPENDIX V.

DIX V.

Settlement Officer under Act VIII of 1890.

DISBURSEMENT.			PROBABLE BALANCE IN HAND AT THE END OF THE YEAR.			Value of moveable property.	Area of lands under management.	REMARKS.
Ordinary and other expenditure.	Payment for debt.	Total.	In Government Paper.	Invested in landed property.	Cash in hand.			
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
COLLECTORATE.								
191	...	191	...	...	79	150	A. 1,016	Most of the estate is mortgaged for debt of about Rs. 6,000.
1	...	1	...	...	8	500	about bi-ghás 30	Most of the estate has been sold up by Courts.
9,226	...	9,226	...	...	4,977	5,337	A. 1,880	Unencumbered.
188	310	498	...	...	199	30	Undivided.	Debts about Rs. 963.
147	...	147	...	...	294	100	Undivided.	Unencumbered.
843	...	843	...	...	1,941	...	Undivided.	Unencumbered.
113	1,035	1,148	...	...	175	5	Undivided.	Debts about Rs. 5,219.
240	315	555	...	...	418	...	...	Debts about Rs. 2,406.
324	65	389	...	...	121	...	...	Debts about Rs. 14,935.
...	...	...	...	...	167	...	...	Debts about Rs. 1,644.
131	230	361	...	...	1,192	...	...	Unencumbered.
141	...	141	...	...	381	...	...	
236	61	297	...	...	398	400	...	Debt about Rs. 13,959.
912	200	1,112	...	...	419	...	Undivided.	Debts about Rs. 1,300.
203	...	203	...	...	198	...	A. 184	Unencumbered.
3,025	617	3,642	...	...	7,862	...	A. G. 3,244 35	Unencumbered.
695	...	695	...	...	872	...	Undivided.	Debt about Rs. 4,609.

APPENDIX

No.	Name of Minor.	Age.	Native Place.	Section under which charge is held.	Place of Education.	Balance in hand at the end of last year.	Receipts during the year.	Total.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
	<i>Viramgám Táluka—contd.</i>					Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
29	Alambháí <i>alias</i> Punjbháí Jámhbháí.	11	Viramgám ...	18	A h m e d a b a d V e r n a c u l a r School, residing in the Jubilee Institute.	1,170	1,780	2,950
30	Himatsing Mobatsing ...	22	Bhankoda ...	„	None ...	4,520	1,118	5,638
	<i>Sánand Táluka.</i>							
31	Oghad Mádhavsing ...	18	Kundál ...	„	V e r n a c u l a r School, Adwál.	146	22	168
32	Gagubha Ráisingji ...	16	} Makhiáv ...	„	Grássia School, Wadhván.	2,175	10,999	13,174
33	Fulbha Ráisingji ...	13		„				
34	Dhirsingji Rámsingji ...	8	} Vichhia, but resides at Muli under Wadhván.	„	None ...	...	423	423
35	Gagubha Rámsingji ...	1		„				
	<i>Dholka Táluka.</i>							
36	Bháijibháí Rámábháí ...	17	Wárna ...	„	V e r n a c u l a r School, Alarsa	171	51	222
37	Náthábháí Kánubháí ...	14	Ránesar ...	„	None ...	52	136	188
38	Ráisingji Tejábháí ...	17	Simaj ...	„	None ...	950	713	1,663
39	Bhagatsing Kesrising ...	19	Dhingda ...	„	Dhingda V e r n a c u l a r School	...	Given	to the
40	Dádásáheb Bávámia ...	10	Dholka ...	„	V e r n a c u l a r School, Dholka.	2,227	10,114	12,341
	<i>Gogha Táluka.</i>							
41	Gaghubháí Kálubháí ...	22	Báhdí ...	„	None ...	182	263	445
42	Prathiráj Khodábháí ...	19	Lakadia ...	„	None ...	194	151	345
43	Dolatsang Dudhábháí ...	16	Morchand ...	„	None ...	...	...	...
	<i>Parántíj Táluka.</i>							
44	Dálumia Mirásáheb ...	28	} Prántíj ...	„	None ...	...	Most	of the
45	Nánumia Mirásáheb ...	23		„				
46	Chandámia Mirásáheb ...	20	} Májra ...	„	V e r n a c u l a r School, Ahmedabad.	166	56	222
47	Kamáludin Najumia ...	13		„				

V—continued.

DISBURSEMENT.			PROBABLE BALANCE IN HAND AT THE END OF THE YEAR.			Value of moveable property.	Area of lands under management.	REMARKS.
Ordinary and other expenditure.	Payment for debt.	Total.	In Government Paper.	Invested in landed property.	Cash in hand.			
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.		
TORATE — continued.								
1,627	...	1,627	...	...	1,323	...	Undivided.	Debt about Rs. 17,000.
798	...	798	3,000	...	1,840	...	...	This estate has been released from management during the year.
140	...	140	...	...	28	...	Undivided.	Unencumbered.
10,435	...	10,435	...	...	2,739	...	Undivided.	Debts about Rs. 40,000.
110	...	110	...	...	313	...	Undivided.	
36	...	36	...	...	183	...	A. 18	
53	...	53	...	...	135	...	64	
709	758	1,467	...	...	196	...	Undivided.	
minor for maintenance.								
8,695	692	9,387	...	...	2,954	...	...	Debts about Rs. 7,000.
322	...	322	...	...	123	...	...	Released from management.
34	151	185	...	...	160	...	...	Debts about Rs. 141.
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	Debts about Rs. 7,400. Almost the whole of the estate is in the possession of the mortgagee.
land of the estate is mortgaged.								
184	...	184	...	...	38	...	Undivided.	Unencumbered.

APPENDIX

No.	Name of Minor.	Age.	Native Place.	Section under which charge is held.	Place of Education.	Balance in hand at the end of last year.	Receipts during the year.	Total.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
	<i>Daskroi Taluka.</i>					Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
48	Sayed Mahomed Bávámia.	...	Ahmedabad	18		AHMEDABAD	COLLECTOR	
	<i>Nadiád Taluka.</i>					Recently		came und
49	Kishordás Wághjibháí ...	12	Nadiád ...	„	A h m e d a b a d Anglo Vernacular School, residing in the Jubilee Institute.	KAIRA	COLLECTOR	
50	Kálidás Wághjibháí ...	10	Do. ...	„	Nadiád Vernacular School.	19,269	13,640 R 36	32,945
	<i>A'mod Taluka.</i>					BROACH	COLLECTOR	
51	Chandrasingji Himatsingji.	11	Mátar ...	„	W a d h w á n Grássia School.	31,018	15,107 R 8	46,133
	<i>Jambusar Taluka.</i>							
52	Ráisingji Jibáwa ...	20	Sárod ...	„	Vernacular School, Sárod.	354	3,440	3,794
	<i>Broach Taluka.</i>							
53	Jitsingji Bharatsingji ...	14	Jhánor ...	„	W a d h w á n Grássia School.	1,12,973	17,115 R 481	1,30,569
54	Kázi Nuradin Ahmad Husan.	3	} Broach ...	„	None ...	358	3,398 R 258	4,014
55	Kázi Sáheb Mahomed Ahmed Husen.	2						
	Total ...					1,92,730	1,01,654	2,94,384

V—concluded.

DISBURSEMENT.			PROBABLE BALANCE IN HAND AT THE END OF THE YEAR.			Value of moveable property.	Area of land under management.	REMARKS.
Ordinary and other expenditure.	Payment for debt.	Total.	In Govern-ment Paper.	Invested in landed property.	Cash in hand.			
10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
TORATE — continued, er management.								
ATE.								
4,537	70	4,607	12,000	...	16,338	...	A. g. 457 38	Unencumbered.
ATE.								
10,576	...	10,576	17,000	...	18,557	2,636	A. 3,044	Unencumbered.
3,096	...	3,096	...	...	698	425	560	Debts about Rs. 10,000.
4,239	...	4,239	17,000	91,000	18,330	...	A. 585	Unencumbered.
2,101	1,648	3,749	...	...	265	...	A. g. 371 6	Debt about Rs. 17,600.
64,308	6,152	70,460	49,000	91,000	83,924	...	...	

H. O. QUIN,
Acting Talukdāri Settlement Officer, Gujarāt.

APPENDIX VI.

Miscellaneous Estates managed by the Talukdári Settlement Officer, 1892-93.

No.	Name of Owner.	Name of Estate.	Revenue for collection, 1892-93.	Cause of Attachment.
1	2	3	4	5
	<i>Ahmedabad Collectorate.</i>			
1	Musámia Ahmedáli of Sháhám ...	Vásna, Sárna and Isanpur ...	Rs. 10,100	Managed by the consent of the owner.
2	Jaswatsingji Rámsingji ...	Lolia, ⁴¹ / ₁₀₀ taluka Dholka ...	300	Attachment by consent.
3	Dipsing Arjansing ...	Dumáli, taluka Dholka ...	150	Managed for recovering the amount due by him.
4	Rácingji Devisingji ...	Dumáli, ²⁷ / ₁₀₀ taluka Dholka ...	899	Released from management during the year.
5	Ranmálsingji Bhagwatsingji ...	Sánand and Koth ...	1,43,858	Managed under Section 26 of Act VI of 1888.
6	Umedsing Sangábhái ...	Chhasiána, taluka Dhandhuka ...	9,199	Ditto
7	Sáwáji Mánáji ...	Chándpur, taluka Prántíj ...	158	Managed under Section 28 of Act VI of 1888. Government Resolution No. 515, dated 19th January 1893.
8	Pátábhái Jaswatsing ...	Cher, taluka Dhandhuka ...		Came under management under Section 28 of Act VI of 1888.
9	Subámia Bápúsáheb... ..	Dharpipla, Hansapur, &c. ...		Ditto
	<i>Kaira Collectorate.</i>			
10	Anirmia Geratkán ...	Chándna, taluka Mátar ...	2,903	Attachment imposed to secure recovery of Government debt (as per Government Resolution No. 6699 of 18th December 1880).
11	Sayad Jáfaráli Nijámáli, &c. ...	Dhároda, taluka Mátar ...	5,581	Attachment (by consent of parties) under a consent decree of the Civil Court.
12	Takhtasing Sardársing ...	Bhánpara, taluka Borsad ...	888	Managed by the consent of the owner under the Gujarát Talukdárs' Act VI of 1888 (Government Resolution No. 5836 of 12th August 1889).
13	Vajesang Lála, &c. ...	Sálol, taluka Borsad ...	278	Managed under Section 28 of Act VI of 1888.
14	Chandrasingji Himatsingji... ..	Ahima, taluka A'nand ...	6,773	Ditto
15	Meghabhái Kasábhái ...	Warshang, taluka Mátar ...	2,523	Ditto
16	Meherámansingji Fatesingji ...	Dehewan, taluka Borsad ...	13,933	Ditto
17	Khodábáwa Chandábáwa, &c. ...	Ditto ditto ...		Came under management under Section 28 of Act VI of 1888.
	<i>Broach Collectorate.</i>			
18	Rámsingji Khumánsingji ...	Jhánor, taluka Broach ...	4,898	Managed under Section 28 of Act VI of 1888.
19	Dájbáwa Sardársing ...	Ditto ditto ...	1,220	Ditto
			2,03,661	

H. O. QUIN,
Acting Talukdári Settlement Officer, Gujarát.

APPENDIX VII.

Government Dues from Talukdárs for 1892-93.

Name of Taluka.	Arrears for 1891-92.	Government dues for 1892-93.	Collection.	Arrears.	REMARKS.
	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	Rs. a. p.	
Virangám	...	39,520 13 7	39,520 13 7		
Sánand	...	44,840 2 1	45,864 2 1		
Dholka	...	79,060 5 4	79,060 6 4		
Dhandhuka	...	1,76,836 3 1	1,75,871 1 1	5,585 15 5	The arrears are due on account of Návda, and will have to be written off as usual. Rs. 3,805-15-5 have since been written off.
Gogha	...	28,070 5 10	28,070 5 10		
Total	...	3,68,327 14 11	3,68,386 12 11	5,585 15 5	

H. O. QUIN,
Acting Talukdári Settlement Officer, Gujarát.

APPENDIX VIII.

Estates under Attachment for Revenue Default, 1892-93.

No.	Name of Estate.	Revenue for Col- lection, 1892-93.	REMARKS.
1	2	3	4
	<i>Sánand Táluka.</i>	Rs.	
1	Chekhla Rámpura— Rámsingji Dewáji	4,685	Section 144, Act V of 1879.
2	Godhávi — Motibháí Dungarji, &c.	3,417	Ditto.
3	Garodia— Cheláji Rawáji, &c.	1,361	Ditto.
	<i>Dhandhuka Táluka.</i>		
4	Khás— Ráning Sanga, &c.	11,252	Section 144, Act V of 1879.
5	Adwál— Becharsang Nathubháí	5,057	Ditto.
6	Návda— Sardársang Hamábhái and Kála Hira.	3,222	Ditto.
	<i>Dholka Táluka.</i>		
7	Dholi Ráepur— Wásna Tálukdárs	803	Section 144, Act V of 1879.
8	Gangád— Heir to Gagubha Abhesing	47,655	Section 144, Land Revenue Code.
9	Jasubha Agarsing's widow, Báí Motiba	930	Ditto.
	<i>Gogha Táluka.</i>		
10	Mámsa— Kálubháí Hamábhái, &c.	559	Section 144, Land Revenue Code.
		78,941	

H. O. QUIN,
Acting Tálukdári Settlement Officer, G ujarát.

APPENDIX IX.

PART I.

*Estates managed by the Talukdári Settlement Officer under Section 320,
Civil Procedure Code, in lieu of sale during 1892-93.*

No.	Name of Estate and Owner.	Revenue for Collection, 1892-93.	REMARKS.
	<i>Dholka Taluka.</i>	Rs.	
1	Simej— Raising Tejábhai, &c.	726	1 warrant.
2	Gangad— Gagubha Abhesing*	<i>Vide Appen- dix XII.</i>	1 do.
3	Ambliara— Gágjibhai Rámábhai	13	1 do.
	<i>Viramgam Taluka.</i>		
4	Gujala— Lálji Mádhuj	30	1 warrant.
5	Lálji Mádhuj, &c.	1,530	1 do.
6	Dangarva— Rupáji Kupáji, &c.	389	1 do.
7	Háthipára— Kesarising Gulábsingji, &c.	1,060	1 do.
8	Dekávada— Khodáji Abháji, &c.	1,222	1 do.
9	Sádatpára, Aghár, &c.— Sadáji Kásuji, &c.	7,703	4 warrants.
10	Karansingji Dosáji, &c.	1,231	2 do.
11	Amarsing Harising, &c.		1 warrant.
12	Vithalapur— Becharji Andáji, &c.	711	1 do.
13	Kesarji Ráváji, &c.	287	1 do.
14	Bámroli— Dhirtáji Pábáji, &c.	259	1 do.
15	Ráváji Kubháji, &c.	311	1 do.
16	Kuberji Vágháji, &c.	227	1 do.
17	Chháníár, &c.— Amarsingji Pujáji, &c.	341	1 do.
18	Dánáji Sadáji, &c.	1,372	1 do.
19	Hiráji Karsanji, &c.	2,006	2 warrants.
20	Gamánsing Bháthiji, &c.	342	1 warrant.
21	Kánáji Karsanji, &c.	342	1 do.
22	Motirantái— Prathiráj Shivsangji	609	1 do.
23	Nánirantái— Umáji Punjáji, &c.		1 do.
24	Panár— Sámatsangji Jesalsangji	3,887	3 warrants.
25	Prán, widow of Jesalsangji	155	1 warrant.
26	Bhankoda— Hiráji Dalatsing	223	1 do.
27	Badsing Dosáji, &c.	2,920	2 warrants.
28	Becharji Ratansing, &c.	1,539	1 warrant.

APPENDIX IX—continued.

PART I—continued.

No.	Name of Estate and Owner.	Revenue for Collection, 1892-93.	REMARKS.
<i>Viramgám Táluka—continued.</i>		Rs.	
29	Nána Karanpara— Mávsing Adáji, &c.	431	1 warrant.
Dadhána—			
30	Suráji Náranji, &c.		2 warrants.
31	Ránáji Andráji, &c.	500	1 warrant.
32	Mobataji Khodáji, &c.	500	1 do.
33	Okháji Jodháji, &c.		1 do.
Bhoyni—			
34	Banesing Kánáji		1 do.
35	Himatsing Kesarising	2,839	1 do.
36	Himatsing Kesarising, &c.		1 do.
Ukardi—			
37	Dhanbái, widow of Jiváji Badarji...		1 do.
<i>Gogha Táluka.</i>			
Navágám Nána—			
38	Gagábhái Jethibhái	15	1 warrant.
39	Futesing Jibhái	13	1 do.
40	Vákábhái Desálbhái	12	2 warrants.
41	Mudársing Mokábhái	2	1 warrant.
42	Abhesing Alubhái, &c.	27	1 do.
43	Hunubhái Báváji	9	1 do.
44	Amarsing Bhupatsing	12	1 do.
45	Jijibhai Jasábhái	10	1 do.
46	Ratansing Káyábhái	7	1 do.
47	Jivábhái Alubhái, &c.		1 do.
Lákadia—			
48	Prathiráj Khodábhái	<i>Vide Appen- dix V.</i>	1 do.
49	Jusmatsing Varsábhái	130	1 do.
Kukad—			
50	Hájábhái Atábhái	100	2 warrants.
51	Nághábhái Vágháji, &c.	25	1 warrant.
52	Meghábhái Deváji	32	2 warrants.
Avánia—			
53	Amursing Jivábhái, &c.	76	1 warrant.
Khokhára Mota—			
54	Báváji Monji	1,250	1 do.
Málpur—			
55	Khátábhái Ghelábhái, &c.	50	1 do.
Bhánkhel—			
56	Agarsing Mulubhái	50	1 do.
57	Ratansing Khengarsing	48	1 do.
58	Dholábhái Kánábhái, &c.	71	2 warrants.
59	Kálbha Jáyábhái	75	1 warrant.
Badi-Rájpára—			
60	Jálamsing Merubhái, &c.	87	1 do.
Bhikda—			
61	Nánábhái Gagubhái	25	1 do.
62	Godbhái Mánábhái	12	2 warrants.

APPENDIX IX—continued.

PART I—continued.

No.	Name of Estate and Owner.	Revenue for Collection, 1892-93.	REMARKS.
	<i>Gogha Táluka—continued.</i>	Rs.	
63	Sámpura— Kálbha Pátábhái	100	1 warrant.
64	Nána Khokhára— Bhojábhái Harbhámji, &c.		1 do.
65	Chekhála in Sánand— Rávaji Gholáji, &c....		1 do.
	<i>Prántij Táluka.</i>		
66	Wághpur— Kubersingji Hamirsingji, &c.	98	1 warrant.
67	Gambhirsing Jesálsingji	92	1 do.
	<i>Dhandhuka Táluka.</i>		
	Aniáli Bhimji—		
68	Jálamsing Kaslábhái	531	1 warrant.
69	Rágábhái Lakhábhái, &c.	791	1 do.
70	Káyábhái Kaslábhái	275	1 do.
71	Bhimsingji Visábhái	425	1 do.
72	Ladhubha Náyábhái	600	2 warrants.
73	Udesing Ajubháí, &c.	425	1 warrant.
74	Narsingji Abhesing, &c.	405	4 warrants.
75	Bhágji Amarsing	500	3 do.
76	Nágábhái Khodábhái, &c.	425	1 warrant.
77	Ratansing Rásábhái	425	1 do.
78	Málubha Rávábhái, &c.		4 warrants.
79	Málubha Rávábhái	500	4 do.
80	Devising Bhojráji	500	3 do.
81	Vajesing Dájibháí, &c.		2 do.
	Zinzar—		
82	Oghadbhái Jasábhái	177	1 warrant.
83	Gamánsing Gopálji	60	1 do.
84	Amájiba, widow of Meghábhái	169	1 do.
85	Merubháí Pátábhái	29	1 do.
	Gunda—		
86	Uga Ránig	14	1 do.
	Godhaváta—		
87	Rupála Bhoja, &c.	65	2 warrants.
88	Ratna Bogha	24	1 warrant.
89	Adábhái Ráimal	47	1 do.
90	Motibháí Ráimal	40	1 do.
91	Nathu Giga		1 do.
	Kothádia—		
92	Govindsing Meghábhái	14	2 warrants.
93	Kaslábhái Banasing	62	2 do.
94	Atábhái Jesábhái	75	1 warrant.
95	Káshiábhái Meghábhái	60	1 do.
96	Ladhubháí Mávsing, &c.	Vide Appen- dix V.	2 warrants.
97	Nathubháí Meghábhái	70	2 do.
98	Dájibháí Málubháí... ..	45	1 warrant.
99	Bhagwánsing Deváji, &c.	79	1 do.
100	Bonjiba, widow of Jálumsing	25	1 do.
101	Mádhavsing Narsingji		1 do.
102	Páthubha Páljibháí	40	2 warrants.
103	Becharji Narsingji... ..	35	1 warrant.

APPENDIX IX—continued.

PART I—continued.

No.	Name of Estate and Owner.	Revenue for Collection, 1892-93.	REMARKS.
<i>Dhandhuka Taluka—continued.</i>		Rs.	
Kharád—			
104	Bápi Kesábhái	150	1 warrant.
105	Bhagwánsing Fulábhái, &c.	678	1 do.
106	Khodábhái Rághábhái	160	1 do.
Pánci and part of Kotda—			
107	Mánábhái Kesábhái, &c.	447	1 do.
108	Narsing Gopálsing... ..		1 do.
Jaska—			
109	Bhupatsing Pujábhái, &c.	64	1 do.
Rojka—			
110	Shivubha Vajesing	362	9 warrants.
111	Nágbhái Hathibháí	580	1 warrant.
112	Bhojrájái Atábhái... ..	3,024	1 do.
113	Ladhubha Dájibháí		1 do.
Bávaliári—			
114	Agarsing Chándábhái	392	2 warrants.
115	Motibháí Mádhavsing, &c.	51	1 warrant.
116	Shivsingji Vághábhái		2 warrants.
117	Bhagatsing Hálábhái	383	1 warrant.
118	Juvánsing Mápjibháí	177	2 warrants.
119	Rupsing Fulji, &c.... ..	30	1 warrant.
120	Harbhámji Atábhái		1 do.
121	Mulubháí Banasing	123	1 do.
122	Sajábhái Gagábhái... ..	16	1 do.
123	Hamirji Gagábhái's heir Chhagan Hamirji..	55	1 do.
124	Sámatsing Hathibháí	65	2 warrants.
125	Amarsing Bhojái	169	1 warrant.
126	Pratápsingji Ratanji, &c.	251	1 do.
127	Pratápsingji Ratanji		1 do.
128	Sartansingji Atábhái, &c.... ..	93	1 do.
129	Fatesing Haribháí, &c.	195	1 do.
130	Amarsing Bhojájibháí, &c.		1 do.
131	Málubha Narsingji, &c.		1 do.
132	Fulji Meghábhái, &c.		1 do.
133	Agarsing, Jethibháí's heir Takhatsing, &c.	116	1 do.
134	Ranmalji Rávábhái, &c.		1 do.
135	Khumánsing Vághábhái	127	2 warrants.
136	Rupáli, widow of Jálamsing	203	2 do.
137	Dipsing Abhesing, &c.	39	1 warrant.
138	Dájibháí Vághábhái's heir	16	1 do.
139	Vákábhái's heir, Umedsing	16	1 do.
Akru—			
140	Gagubha Sámatsing	305	1 do.
141	Rámábhái Haribháí	36	1 do.
142	Dolatsing Dájibháí... ..	131	1 do.
143	Jivábhái Báváji	51	1 do.
144	Nájibháí Málji	180	1 do.
145	Dolatsing Dájibháí, &c.	131	1 do.
<i>Dholera Taluka.</i>			
146	Umedsing Desábhái	10	1 warrant.
147	Khodábhái Banasing, &c.		1 do.
148	Agarsing Bhávábhái		1 do.
Cher—			
149	Vakatsing Rásábhái	74	1 do.

APPENDIX IX—continued.

PART I—continued.

No.	Name of Estate and Owner.	Revenue for Collection, 1891-92.	REMARKS.
	<i>Dholera Taluka—continued.</i>	Rs.	
	<i>Uchadi—</i>		
150	Hiraba, widow of Humjibhai ...	1,906	2 warrants.
151	Vajesing Madhavsing ...	1,862	2 do.
	<i>Bhadiad—</i>		
152	Manabhai Ajubhai, &c. ...	1,500	3 do.
153	Lakhabhai Bhimjibhai, &c. ...	100	1 warrant.
154	Kasalsing Nanjibhai ...	555	1 do.
155	Parbatsing Ghelebbhai ...		1 do.
156	Mulubhai Hamabhai ...	98	2 warrants.
157	Manabhai Kayabhai, &c. ...	130	1 warrant.
	<i>Badi—</i>		
158	Akhabhai Lakhabhai, &c....	125	1 do.
	<i>Kadipur—</i>		
159	Bhavabhai Dalabhai ...	31	1 do.
160	Amarsing Adabhai ...	27	1 do.
161	Anadsing Khatabhai ...	15	1 do.
162	Jasabhai Dalabhai... ..	15	1 do.
163	Kesarising Banasing ...	525	1 do.
164	Pujabhai Bhimjibhai ...	61	1 do.
165	Savabhai Kalabhai's Jethibhai ...	36	1 do.
166	Sagramji Halubhai ...		1 do.
	<i>Fedra—</i>		
167	Vakhatsing Khodabhai ...	30	1 do.
168	Lakhabhai Mavabhai, &c. ...	10	1 do.
169	Jasmatsing Jasabhai, &c. ...	1	2 warrants.
170	Kalubhai Hakabhai ...	841	2 do.
171	Visabhai Nanabhai ...		1 warrant.
172	Jamba, widow of Devising Nanabhai ...		1 do.
173	Sivsingji Ramabhai ...	Vide Appen- dix V.	3 warrants.
174	Manabhai Nanabhai ...		2 do.
175	Dhirsingji Jesabhai ...		1 warrant.
176	Bavaji Nanabhai ...		1 do.
	<i>Chharodia—</i>		
177	Falajibhai Madarsing, &c. ...	2,265	1 do.
178	Ratanji Bavaji, &c. ...	800	{ 1 do.
179	Ratanji Bavaji ...		{ 1 do.
180	Abhesing Patabhai, &c. ...	2,099	2 warrants.
	<i>Adawal—</i>		
181	Ranchhodji Bhupatsing ...	75	1 warrant.
182	Devising Ahabhai, &c. ...		1 do.
183	Jethibhai Sesabhai... ..	400	1 do.
184	Bhimji Devising, &c. ...	315	1 do.
185	Sujabhai Ladhabhai ...	487	1 do.
186	Dhirsingji Gagubhai, &c. ...		1 do.
	<i>Dharpipla Hasalpur—</i>		
187	Bapuraj Lakhabhai ...	3,537	5 warrants.
188	Bavasahib Ajambhai ...	521	1 warrant.
189	Bapubha Dajiraj ...	421	1 do.
190	Alambhai Badamia, &c. ...	116	1 do.

APPENDIX IX—continued.

PART I—continued.

No.	Name of Estate and Owner.	Revenue for Collection, 1891-92.	REMARKS.
<i>Dholera Taluka—continued.</i>		Rs.	
Keria—			
191	Puju Rájbha	40	1 warrant.
192	Rávabhái Dosubhái	195	2 warrants.
193	Mulubha Badámia, &c.	491	1 warrant.
194	Agábhái Gulábbhái	480	1 do.
195	Abhesing Varsáji, &c.	149	2 warrants.
196	Pujbha Madársing, &c.	100	1 warrant.
197	Báváji Abuji	20	1 do.
198	Harising Amiji	592	1 do.
199	Míasáheb Váljímia... ..	840	3 warrants.
200	Bápubha Fátubha	225	1 warrant.
201	Dájráj Madársing, &c.	75	1 do.
Devália Rájpára—			
202	Rájbhái Mávabhái	511	4 warrants.
203	Motibhái Lákhábhái, &c.	400	5 do.
204	Kasláji Navrangbhái	101	1 warrant.
205	Jivanbhái Arábhái's heir Bápábhái Bháímia	50	2 warrants.
206	Sardármia Motiji	200	2 do.
Nádala—			
207	Nathu Laxman	40	1 warrant.
208	Sámat Hamir	47	1 do.
209	Rája Theba	150	1 do.
210	Unád Joga, &c.	419	1 do.
Noli—			
211	Unád Hamir	134	1 do.
212	Desa Odha	400	1 do.
Nágadka—			
213	Lunvir Alia	155	1 do.
214	Nája Rám... ..	60	1 do.
215	Aughad Dáda	105	2 warrants.
216	Chápa Dáda	1,000	3 do.
217	Selár Desa		1 warrant.
Tágadi—			
218	Abhesing Merubhái, &c.	174	2 warrants.
219	Jálamsing Devábhái, &c.	237	1 warrant.
220	Jesábhái Devábhái... ..		1 do.
221	Sartánsing Rávabhái	1,612	1 do.
222	Rába and Dhanuba, widows of Meghábhái Gopálji		1 do.
Khás Sundariána and Jálila—			
223	Desa Gága	Vide Appen- dix VIII.	1 do.
224	Nathu Dosa, &c.	Do.	2 warrants.
225	Sumári, widow of Rája Jiva	123	1 warrant.
226	Chápa Mánсур, &c.	Vide Appen- dix VIII.	1 do.
227	Ránig Sanga	14	2 warrants.
228	Jethsur Kupa		1 warrant.
229	Ráthodbái, widow of Rája Gelha... ..	100	1 do.
230	Ránig Rávat	40	6 warrants.
231	Ránig Uga		1 warrant.
232	Nátha Desa, &c.	25	4 warrants.
233	Merám Harsur, &c.		1 warrant.
234	Luxman Kupa	125	1 do.

APPENDIX IX—continued.

PART I—continued.

No.	Name of Estate and Owner.	Revenue for Collection, 1891-92.	REMARKS.
	<i>Dholera Taluka—continued.</i>	Rs.	
	<i>Khás Sundariána and Jálila—continued.</i>		
235	Bháya Laxman	22	1 warrant.
236	Bhoka Unád, &c.	100	3 warrants.
237	Mácha Kathád		3 do.
238	Oghad Máru	50	1 warrant.
239	Moka Suring		1 do.
240	Puja Sadul, &c.	<i>Vide Appen- dix VIII.</i>	1 do.
241	Sámat Kupa	142	1 do.
242	Oghad Voldán	90	1 do.
243	Jiva Báva		2 warrants.
244	Nathu Sádul	40	2 do.
245	Hamir Kathád, &c.		1 warrant.
246	Rukhad Rám	<i>Vide Ap- pendix VIII</i>	1 do.
247	Jesa Devit, &c.		3 warrants.
248	Rám Dosa	Do.	1 warrant.
	<i>Vejálka—</i>		
249	Devit Ghela	181	1 do.
250	Jiva Ghela	181	2 warrants.
251	Sámat Mánasia	110	1 warrant.
252	Rávat Mánasia	57	1 do.
253	Desa Merám	164	2 warrants.
	<i>Kundal—</i>		
254	Másuba, widow of Lákha Jasa	15	1 warrant.
255	Chápa Bhoja	30	1 do.
256	Oghad Jasa	55	1 do.
	<i>Cháranki—</i>		
257	Sitbái and Motiba, widows of Mávalji	45	1 do.
258	Pátabhái Fulbhái	12	2 warrants.
259	Bápubhái Rámabhái	180	1 warrant.
260	Pacháubhái Fulbhái	10	1 do.
261	Halubhái Visábhái... ..		1 do.
	<i>Khámbhda—</i>		
262	Alia Ukurda	31	1 do.
	<i>Chándarva—</i>		
263	Moka Suring, &c.	100	1 do.
264	Chápráj Khoda, &c.	160	2 warrants.
	<i>Chácharia—</i>		
265	Deva Vággha		1 warrant.
	<i>Náni Vávdi—</i>		
266	Nathi and Mochái, widows of Lunvir	70	1 do.
267	Ráma Uga	15	1 do.
	<i>Moti Vávadi—</i>		
268	Váda Ráthod	325	1 do.
269	Jetha Mácha	120	1 do.
270	Ghela Gága	100	1 do.
271	Rávat Ráthod, &c.... ..		1 do.
272	Báva Ráthod		1 do.
273	Gehela Gága, &c.		2 warrants.
	<i>Sálangpur—</i>		
274	Abhel Puja... ..	5	1 warrant.

APPENDIX IX—*continued.*PART I—*concluded.*

No.	Name of Estate and Owner.	Revenue for Collection, 1891-92.	REMARKS.
	<i>Dholera Táluka—continued.</i>	Rs.	
275	Bhádala Mota— Rána Ebhel, &c.		1 warrant.
276	Vádhela— Wágábhái Jethibhái, &c.	37	2 warrants.
277	Adesing Páchábhái		2 do.
278	Adesing Páchábhái, &c.		1 warrant.
279	Harbhamji Bávábhái		1 do.
280	Pipária— Imámalli Husenbhái, &c.	<i>Vide Appen- dix X.</i>	1 do.
281	Májríraj, widow of Kesarising Rupsing		2 warrants.
282	Dhandhuka— Mamdumia Pirmia	94	1 warrant.
283	Emádalli Pirmia		1 do.
284	Kharád— Mamdumia Fatebhái	99	3 warrants.
285	Vásna, Dhanduka, &c.— Dádibu, heir of Mirsáhib Badámia	366	1 warrant.
286	Bodia— Jethur Alang		1 do.
287	Sámat Rána, &c.	103	1 do.
288	Koláda— Ahemadmia, &c., heirs of Pirmia Miásáhib.	74	1 do.
289	Ankevália— Narsingji Arajansingji	2,254	1 do.
290	Kundáli— Harsur Nája, &c.		1 warrant.
291	Rám Nája, &c.		1 do.
292	Dhanála— Narsingji Unádji	81	1 do.
293	Sárval— Partápsingji Bhávábhái, &c.		1 do.
294	Bhimnáth— Gujarájgarji Ishvargarji	4,252	1 do.
			403 warrants.

H. O. QUIN,
Acting Tálukdári Settlement Officer, Gujarát.

APPENDIX IX.

PART II.

Estates under Processes of Sale received under Section 320, Civil Procedure Code, by the Tálukdári Settlement Officer during 1892-93.

No.	Name of Estate and Owner.	Nature of Property.	REMARKS.
<i>Dhandhuka Táluka.</i>			
Kotda—			
1	Ahmedmia Pirmia and others ...	A. g. 23 11 of land ...	1 warrant returned to Court.
2	Mia Saidumia and Hanifmia Miásaheb and Miásaheb Mamdumia.	46 23 11 of land ...	1 warrant.
A. g.			
Bavaliári, &c.—			
3	Khumánsing Vághábhái and others.	149 31 of land ...	1 warrant returned to Court.
4	Vákábhái Vághábhái and others ...	2 dokdás share ...	1 warrant.
5	Ránmalji Ráwábhái ...	4 dokdás share in Bava- liári.	1 warrant returned to Court.
A. g.			
6	Sámatsing Hathibháí ...	10 0 of land ...	1 warrant.
7	Heirs of Dájibháí Vághábhái ...	16,450 6 „ ...	1 do.
Dokdás.			
8	Umedsang Vákábhái ...	1 5 4 share in 2 dokdas share of Bavaliári.	1 warrant returned to Court.
0 11 0 share in the half of Akru village.			
0 11 6 share in the vil- lage of Nabhoi.			
9	Heirs of Vákábhái Vághábhái and others.	2 dokdás share in Bava- liári.	1 warrant.
Dokdás.			
10	Khumánsang Vághábhái and others.	1 5 4 share in Bavaliári. 0 7 8 share in Akru.	1 warrant returned to Court.
5 11 5 share in Nabhoi.			
11	Ránmalji Ráwábhái ...	4 dokdás share in Bava- liári.	1 warrant.
Pipál—			
12	Vakhatsang Maghábhái and others.	35 bighás of land and 2 houses.	1 warrant.
13	Sajábhái Sujábhái and others ...	41 bighás of land ...	1 do.
14	Do. do. and Vakhat- sang Maghábhái.	61 do. ...	1 do.
15	Hathibháí Bhojájbháí and Mulubháí Ajábhái.	18 do. ...	1 do.
16	Vakhatsang Maghábhái ...	12 do. ...	1 do.
17	Mulubháí Sajábhái and Hathi- bhái Bhojáji.	25 do. ...	1 do.
18	Sajábhái Sujábhái and Mulubháí Sajábhái.	42 do. ...	1 do.
Dokdás.			
19	Vakhatsang Maghábhái and Parbhatsang Maghábhái.	4 2 8 share on account of Choth in Pipál.	1 do.
20	Sajábhái Sujábhái and Mulubháí Sujábhái.	42 bighás of land in Pipál.	1 do.
Ránpur—			
21	Málck Bápuji Jivábhái ...	1 shop... ..	1 warrant.
A. g.			
Galsána—			
22	Abhesang Varsáji and Báváji Abhesang.	166 11 of land ...	1 warrant returned to Court.
23	Parmár Gagubháí Aluji ...	2 houses in Galsána ...	1 warrant.

APPENDIX IX—continued.

PART II—continued.

No.	Name of Estate and Owner.	Nature of Property.	REMARKS.
<i>Dhandhuka Táluka</i> —continued.			
Khás—			
24	Ráning Sanga	2½ pies share	1 warrant.
25	Ráning Uga	Do. do.	1 do.
26	Nathu Sadul and Ráning Rávat.	5½ do. do.	1 do.
27	Ráning Rávat	1½ do. do.	1 do.
Rájpura—			
28	Bápábhái Lákhabháí and others.	A. g. 99 l of land	1 do.
Bela—			
29	Jiva Alubháí and others	180 bighás of land	1 do.
30	Nágbháí Vaktábhái	33 do. do.	1 warrant returned to Court.
Sundariána—			
31	Moka <i>alias</i> Bhagat Joita	Dokdás. 8 5 4 share in the fourth part of the income of 1,100 bighás of land.	1 warrant.
32	Ráning Sanga	153 bighás of land	1 do.
33	Bhim Nathu, heir of Nathu Dosa.	40 do. do.	1 do.
Jálila—			
34	Sadul Nathu and Suring Nathu .	100 bighás of land	1 do.
35	Natha Deha and others	12 do. do.	1 warrant returned to Court.
36	Ráning Rávat	1½ pies share in Khás and 90 bighás of land	1 warrant.
37	Do. do.	1½ pies share in Khás and 50 bighás of land in Jálila.	1 do.
38	Do. do.	40 bighás of land	1 do.
39	Do. do.	Do. do.	1 do.
40	Nátha and Dána Deha	30 do. do	1 do.
Bhádiád—			
41	Adiba, widow of Manábhái Ajubháí.	1 house	1 do.
Nábhói—			
42	Sámatsang Háthibháí	239 22 of land	1 do.
43	Sártanji Atábhái and others ...	½th share in 144 acres 4 gunthás of land.	1 do.
Godhawáta—			
44	Rupála Bhoja and Ráva Bhoja ...	7 bighás of land	1 do.
45	Chhagan Ráemal	80 do. do.	1 do.
Akrú—			
46	Ránmalji Rávábhái	Dokdás. 1 6 10½ share in the village.	1 do.
47	Khumánsang Vághábhái	A. g. 249 31 of land	1 warrant returned to Court.
Dholera—			
48	Umedsang Dedábhái	22 bighás of land	1 warrant.
Tágdi—			
49	Mádhavsang Gemábhái and others.	2 houses... ..	1 warrant returned to Court.
A. g.			
50	Sartánsing Rávábhái	50 12 of land	1 warrant.
51	Gajubháí Kanthádbháí	11 8 do.	1 do.
52	Hathibháí Rásábhái	149 13 do.	1 do.

APPENDIX IX—continued.

PART II—continued.

No.	Name of Estate and Owner.	Nature of Property.	REMARKS.
<i>Dhandhuka Taluka—continued.</i>			
<i>Kothadia—</i>			
53	Khodábhái and Agarsing Vághá- bhái.	A. g. 53 25 of land ...	1 warrant.
54	Kaslábhái Banasing ...	7 13 do. ...	1 do.
55	Páthubhái Fáljibhái ...	9 0 do. ...	1 do.
56	Govindsang Maghábhái...	12 1 do. ...	1 do.
57	Bhagrásang Deváji and Maghá- bhái Deváji.	34 1 of land and $\frac{1}{4}$ share in 147 acres 2 gunthás of land in Bhálgamda.	1 do.
<i>Unchdi—</i>			
58	Bái Hirába, widow of Hámjibhái Vághábhái.	A. g. 62 0 ...	1 warrant returned to Court.
59	Vajesang Mádhavsang ...	211 1 ...	1 warrant.
<i>Kádipur—</i>			
60	Amarsing Adábhái and others ...	61 21 ...	1 warrant returned to Court.
61	Harisang Pathánji ...	10 bighás of land ...	1 warrant.
62	Bái Anopba, widow of Málek Bháimía Asji and others.	A. g. 78 38 ...	1 do.
63	Málek Harisang Amáji ...	38 33 $\frac{1}{2}$...	1 do.
<i>Aláo—</i>			
64	Mansur Rukhad and others ...	165 bighás of land ...	1 do.
<i>Fedra—</i>			
65	Zála Kálubhái Hákábhái and others.	A. g. 12 4 of land ...	1 do.
66	Shivsangi Rámábhái ...	35 28 do. ...	1 do.
<i>Vádhela—</i>			
67	Rághábhái Jethibhái ...	2 houses ...	1 do.
<i>Kundal—</i>			
68	Pátgar Luna Káthad and others.	40 bighás of land ...	1 warrant returned to Court.
<i>Jaska—</i>			
69	Gewábhái Jivábhái and others...	A. g. 26 4 ...	1 warrant.
<i>Jizar—</i>			
70	Maghábhái Kásubhái and others.	16 0 ...	1 do.
71	Gamansang Gopálji and others...	20 18 ...	1 do.
72	Akhábhái and Jesábhái Vaju- bhái.	10 8 ...	1 do.
<i>Kojka—</i>			
73	Nágbhái Hathibhái ...	31 34 ...	1 do.
<i>Báhdí—</i>			
74	Mávsang Akábhái and others ...	25 bighás of land ...	1 do.
<i>Devália—</i>			
75	Bápábhái Lákhábhái and others.	A. g. 52 26 ...	1 do.
76	Do. do. ...	65 12 ...	1 do.
77	Rájebhái Máwábhái ...	20 31 ...	1 do.
<i>Vásna—</i>			
78	Mirásáheb Badámia and others...	52 4 and 125 bighás of land in Wásna.	1 do.

APPENDIX IX—continued.

PART II—continued.

No.	Name of Estate and Owner.	Nature of Property.	REMARKS.
<i>Viramgám Táluka.</i>			
79	Dádhána— Wágháji Lákhláji and others ...	12 bighás of land ...	1 warrant.
80	Do. do. ...	12½ do. ...	1 do.
81	Bhágápura— Amarsang Kesrising ...	D. b. 33¼ 1 share in Bhágá- pura with Dangarwa, 16¾ dokdás share in Shihor, 16¾ dokdás share in Gáldharpára and 8¼ dokdás share in Balsásan.	1 do.
82	Nádhaji Becharji ...	52 bighás of land ...	1 do.
83	Do. ...	7 do. ...	1 do.
84	Chhanián— Mánáji Karsanji and others ...	10 dokdás share in Bal- sásan.	1 do.
85	Sujáji Chandáji ...	20 bighás of land ...	1 do.
86	Sihor— Kánáji Nátháji ...	38 do. ...	1 do.
87	Báthi— Jodháji Prágji and others ...	The whole village ...	1 do.
88	Aghár Chánothia— Pátháji Sábhláji and others ...	13½ annas share in Rs. 6½ share in the village.	1 do.
89	Fatepara— Láláji Rupáji ...	The village of Fatepara and 642 acres and 21 gunthás of land.	1 warrant returned to Court.
90	Bámroli— Ráwáji Kumbháji and others ...	16¼ dokdás share in the village.	1 warrant.
<i>Gogha Táluka.</i>			
91	Morchánd— Dolatsing Dodháji ...	Land in Morchánd about bighás 115.	1 warrant,
92	Bhánkhel— Hádábhái Kánubháí ...	A. g. 271 3 of land ...	1 warrant returned to Court,
93	Nána Khodkhara— Ráwábhái Páthábhái ...	Beligala Hill ...	1 warrant.
94	Dolatsang Dudhábhái ...	A. g. ¼th share in 729 7 of land.	1 do.
95	Málpur— Dewáni Kálubháí Sujábhái ...	6 bighás of land ...	1 do.
96	Bhikda— Bhánábhái Dáda, heir of Dudhá- bhái Jusábhái.	2½ dokdás share in the 50 dokdás Gameti Hak.	1 do.

APPENDIX IX—concluded.

PART II—concluded.

No.	Name of Estate and Owner.	Nature of Property.	REMARKS.
	<i>Gogha Taluka—continued.</i>		
97	Bhikda—continued. Latin Takhatsing Bhowsing ...	56 acres 39 gunthás of land with 21 mango trees and $\frac{1}{4}$ th share in a gunda tree, 27 acres 15 gunthás of Jivái land with $\frac{1}{4}$ th share in 6 mango trees, 2 houses and $\frac{1}{4}$ th share out of the 30th share in the village. ...	1 warrant.
98	Kámlej Rámábhái Muljibhái ...	16 mango trees, 4 gunda trees, 1 jámbuda tree.	1 do.
99	Kaná— Dolatsang Dudhábhái ...	2 pies share in the village of Kánad and 4 pies share in the villages of Shekhvadam and Samadhiála.	1 do.
100	Sánodar— Mádhavsang Ajábhái ...	A. g. 999 14 of land and 2 hills.	1 do.
	<i>Táluka Sánand.</i>		
101	Andej— Amarsang Kemábhái and others.	2 annas and 2 pies share out of 18 annas shares in the wánta of Chárva-dodra.	1 warrant.
	<i>Táluka Dholka.</i>		
102	Várna— Devising Rámábhái, heir of Rámábhái Tejábhái.	1 house + ...	1 do.
			102 warrants. 15 returned to Court.
			87

H. O. QUIN,
Acting Tálukdári Settlement Officer, Gujarát.

APPENDIX X.

Estates managed under Section 504, Civil Procedure Code, the Tálukddári Settlement Officer acting for the Collector as Receiver, 1892-93.

No.	Name of Estate and Owner.	Revenue for Collection.	REMARKS.
	AHMEDABAD COLLECTORATE. <i>Viramgám Táluka.</i>	Rs.	
1	Madrisána— Gobarsang Anopsang and others ...	202	1 warrant.
2	Bhánkoda— Adesang Banesang	1,539	1 do.
	<i>Dhandhuka Táluka.</i>		
3	Khás— Desa Gága and others	Vide Appen- dix IX., Part I.	1 warrant.
4	Chokdi Ankewália— Sayad Husenmia Badámia and others.	1,500	2 warrants.
	<i>Prántij Táluka.</i>		
5	Ságpur— Thákor Kesráji Becharji	1,202	1 warrant.
	KAIRA COLLECTORATE. <i>Borsad Táluka.</i>		
6	Dehewan— Thákor Fatesangji Rupsangji ...	Vide Appen- dix VI.	1 warrant returned to Court.
		Total ...	7 warrants. 1 returned to Court.
			6

H. O. QUIN,
Acting Tálukddári Settlement Officer, Gujarát,

APPENDIX XI.

List of Civil Suits conducted by or on behalf of the Talukdāri Settlement Officer, Rajapur, 1892-93.

No.	Name of Plaintiff.	Name of Defendant.	Subject.	REMARKS.
1	2	3	4	5
		SUITS PENDING AT THE CLOSE OF LAST YEAR, AHMEDABAD COLLECTORATE.		
1	Mohan Karsan and others of Bāwla.	The Collector of Ahmedabad as Administrator of the Gāngad Estate.	To recover the amount levied as Local Fund cess on the lands in the plaintiff's occupation which they claim to hold at a fixed rent.	The Assistant Judge, F. P., passed a decree in favour of the plaintiffs and on appeal the decree has been confirmed with costs by the High Court.
2	Girdharlāl Hargovandas of Virangām.	The Collector of Ahmedabad as Administrator of the estate of minor Himatsangji Mobatsangji of Bhānkoda.	To establish claim to receive the income of the Golwādi Darwāja Chauki in Virangām, the amount being in deposit in the Virangām Māmlatdār's Treasury, in execution of the plaintiff's decree against the Talukdārs of Chhaniār.	The Joint Judge of Ahmedabad dismissed the plaintiff's claim with costs. Against this decision the plaintiff has appealed to the High Court.
3	Do. do. ...	Do. do. ...	Do. do. ..	Do. do.
4	Juvānsingji Bhāi Sāheb, minor, by his friend, Nānbha Vakhat-singji.	The Collector of Ahmedabad as guardian of minor Gagubha Rāisingji of Makhiāv.	The plaintiff filed a suit in the Court of the First Class Subordinate Judge of Ahmedabad claiming to be the heir to the Gāngad estate, and the defendant put forth his claim.	The Subordinate Judge decided that some others who claimed to be heirs to the estate should be joined as co-defendants. Against this decision the plaintiff appealed to the High Court. The High Court has confirmed the Lower Court's decree with costs.
5	The Secretary of State for India in Council (appellant).	1 Māhārāna Shri Ranmalsangji Bhagwatsangji, Thākor of Sānand and Koth. 2. The Talukdāri Settlement Officer, Gujarat (respondents).	To establish the claim of Government to a certain site in Sānand which has been decided by the District Court of Ahmedabad to belong to the Thākor.	Pending in the High Court.
6	Motiba, widow of Jaswatsing Agarsing of Gāngad (appellant in Appeal No. 50 of 1887).	The Collector of Ahmedabad as Administrator of the Gāngad estate (respondent).	To establish that Motibā's son Rāmsingji is not spurious.	Do. do.
7	The Collector of Ahmedabad as Administrator of the Gāngad Estate (appellant in Appeal No. 85 of 1887).	Motiba, widow of Jaswatsing Agarsing of Gāngad.	To resume certain lands from Motibā's possession on the ground that she being a widow is only entitled to maintenance and not to all the lands given to her husband in Jivāi.	Do. do.
8	Maganlāl Bhaishankar ...	The Collector of Ahmedabad as Administrator of the Gāngad estate.	To recover Rs. 46,277-9-10 on account of pay, &c., alleged to be due to Maganlāl from the Gāngad estate.	Pending in the Court of the District Judge of Ahmedabad.
9	Amichand Wasandas of Wāsna Kelia.	1 The Talukdāri Settlement Officer. 2 The Māmlatdār of Dholka ...	To obtain a decree directing the defendants not to levy on behalf of Abhesing Khodāji and others, whose estate was under attachment in execution of decrees, enhanced rent and produce of fruit-trees on the land in the plaintiff's possession as tenant and to recover the amount already levied from him.	Plaintiff not held to be a permanent tenant, but allowed the produce of fruit-trees until notice be given to him by the defendants. Against this decision of the Joint Judge the plaintiff has appealed to the High Court. (Government Resolution No. 5118, dated 17th July 1893.)
10	Bāi Zaver, daughter of Ragnāth Avaldās of Wāsna Kelia.	Do. do. ...	To obtain a decree directing the defendants not to levy on behalf of Abhesing Khodāji and others, whose estate was under attachment in execution of decrees, enhanced rent and produce of fruit-trees on the land in the plaintiff's possession as tenant and to recover the amount already levied from her.	Plaintiff held to be a permanent tenant and her claim was allowed by the Joint Judge.

APPENDIX XI—continued.

No.	Name of Plaintiff.	Name of Defendant.	Subject.	REMARKS.
1	2	3	4	5
AHMEDABAD COLLECTORATE—continued.				
11	Pátel Girdhar Bhawán of Wásna Kelia.	1 The Tálukdári Settlement Officer. 2 The Mámátdár of Dholka.	To obtain a decree directing the defendants not to levy on behalf of Abhesing Khodáji and others, whose estate was under attachment in execution of decrees, enhanced rent and produce of fruit-trees on the land in the plaintiff's possession as tenant and to recover the amount already levied from him.	Plaintiff not held to be a permanent tenant, but allowed the produce of fruit-trees until notice be given to him by the defendants.
KAIRA COLLECTORATE.				
12	Dámodar Lálbháí of Náriád ...	Thákor Mádhavsang Kesrising, deceased, by his heirs; his sons, Partápsing and two others. The Tálukdári Settlement Officer.	To recover rent of certain land and houses in Bhetáshi which the plaintiff alleges to be his property.	The Joint Judge dismissed the suit. Against this decision the plaintiff appealed to the High Court which has reversed the Lower Court's decree and passed a decree for Rs. 236-4-0 in favour of the plaintiff.
13	Sánábhái Sidhar of Dábhan ...	The Tálukdári Settlement Officer as Manager of the estates of Vakhsatng Adesing and Ratansing Jijibháí.	To establish that no more can be levied from the plaintiff than the amount mentioned in the lease of the Wánta of Dábhan, which is alleged to be a permanent one, and to recover the excess levied from him.	The claim was rejected by the Assistant Judge. The plaintiff appealed to the District Judge, who rejected the appeal. He then appealed to the High Court. The High Court has confirmed the Lower Court's decree with costs.
14	Dádábhái Narótam, heir of Gala Govind of Dábhan.	Do. do. ...	Do. do. ...	Do. do.
15	Khushál Gala of Dábhan ...	Do. do. ...	Do. do. ...	Do. do.
16	Bhikha Vithal of Dábhan ...	Do. do. ...	Do. do. ...	Do. do.
17	Sána Aju of Dábhan ...	Do. do. ...	Do. do. ...	Do. do.
18	Haribháí Ratanji of Dábhan ...	Do. do. ...	Do. do. ...	Do. do.
19	Girdhar Shivábhái, heir of Shivábhái Varajbhái of Dábhan.	Do. do. ...	Do. do. ...	Do. do.
20	Shankar Báji, deceased, his heir Bhula Mulji by his freind Lalu Mathur and Lalu Mathur himself of Dábhan.	Do. do. ...	Do. do. ...	Do. do.
21	Mádhavsang Báwáji of Nápad...	The Tálukdári Settlement Officer as Manager of the estate of Bharatsang Báwáji.	To obtain certain land and houses from the estate which the plaintiff alleges he is entitled to get as brother of the Thákor.	The claim was rejected with costs by the District Judge of Ahmedabad. Against this decision the plaintiff appealed to the High Court. (Government Resolution No. 6033, dated 2nd September 1891.) The appeal is pending.
22	Gosáí Pitámargar Hansgar of Nápad.	1 The Tálukdári Settlement Officer as Manager of the estate of Nápad. 2 Nánábáwa Motáji. 3 Rupsing Abhesing. 4 Gambhirsing Abhesing.	To establish title to certain land in Nápad, which the plaintiff alleges to have been purchased by his ancestors, and to recover mesne profits for three years.	The claim was rejected with costs by the District Judge of Ahmedabad. Against this decision the plaintiff has appealed to the High Court. The appeal is pending. (Government Resolution No. 7344, dated 31st October 1891.)
23	Second Appeal No. 699 of 1890. The Municipality of Nadiád (appellant).	Kishorbháí and Kálidás Vághjibháí, minors, by their guardian the Collector of Kaira (respondents).	To have removed an alleged encroachment made by the minor respondent's father.	Decided in favour of the minors, the High Court confirming the Lower Court's decree with costs.

APPENDIX XI—continued.

No.	Name of Plaintiff.	Name of Defendant.	Subject.	REMARKS.
1	2	3	4	5
		KAIRA COLLECTORATE—continued.		
24	Desai Motibhai Dabhai and Mithabhai Lalji of Nadiad.	The Collector of Kaira on behalf of Kishordas and Kalidas, minor sons of Desai Waghjibhai Jaisinghai, and 38 others.	To obtain possession of certain land in Rabariwada in Nadiad.	Pending in the Court of the First Class Subordinate Judge of Ahmedabad.
		BROACH COLLECTORATE.		
25	Sardarsing Himatsing of Sarod...	Ganpatsingji Himatsingji and the Talukdari Settlement Officer as Manager of the Sarod estate.	To establish that the plaintiff is entitled to the gadi of Sarod or to an equal share therein.	The Assistant Judge, F. P., Broach, rejected the plaintiff's claim. Against this decision the plaintiff appealed to the High Court. The High Court reversed the order of the Lower Court and remanded the case for trial on the merits. It is pending in the Court of the Assistant Judge, F. P.
26	Ramsingbawa Khumansingji, minor, by his guardian and mother Bai Gumanba.	1. Khushalsingji Mohansingji of Jhanor. 2. The Talukdari Settlement Officer.	To establish that the plaintiff is entitled to a toda giras hak which Government have ordered to be entered in the name of defendant No. 1.	The Assistant Judge F. P., rejected the plaintiff's claim with costs. Against this decision the plaintiff appealed to the High Court. The High Court reversed the Lower Court's decree and has remanded the case for trial on the merits. It is pending in the Court of the Assistant Judge, F. P.
27	Thakor, Raesingji Jaswatsingji, minor, by his guardian and mother Bai Rajuba of Shigam.	The Talukdari Settlement Officer as Manager of the estate of Jitsingji of Shigam.	To recover the rent of a field and a house which was levied from the plaintiff, who alleges that he being the owner of the property no levy can be made from him.	The Assistant Judge, F. P., rejected the plaintiff's claim. Against this decision, the plaintiff appealed to the High Court, which has confirmed the Lower Court's decree with costs.
28	Bai Ratanba, widow of Ramsingji Bhagwansingji of Jhanor.	The Collector of Broach as Administrator of the estate of Jitsingji Bharatsingji of Jhanor.	To obtain maintenance allowance from the estate.	The suit is pending in the Court of the Assistant Judge, F. P., Broach.
29	Venilal Narotamdás of Jambusar.	1. Thakor Ganpatsingji Himatsingji of Sarod as heir of Bai Rajuba, widow of Becharsing Bhagwan. 2. The Talukdari Settlement Officer as Manager of the estate of Ganpatsingji Himatsingji.	To recover possession of* certain land mortgaged by the deceased Bai Rajuba to the plaintiff, but the possession of which was taken away by defendant No. 2 on behalf of the Thakor, or to obtain the mortgage money.	Pending in the Court of the Assistant Judge, F. P., Broach.
30	Gemalsing Abhesing of Sarod ...	1. The Talukdari Settlement Officer as Manager of the Sarod Estate, and 2. Ganpatsing Himatsing, Thakor of Sarod.	To recover Rs. 216-4-0 levied from the plaintiff on account of rent of Survey No. 60 in the Sarod Wanta, the plaintiff alleging that only a salami of Rs. 6-8-0 per annum was leviable from him.	Do. do.
31	Appeal No. 216 of 1891. Kazi Chhota Sahab of Ahmedabad (appellant).	Kazi Ahmed Husen, deceased, by his heirs, his sons Mahomed Nurudin Husen and Gulam Ahmed, minors, represented by their Administrator the Talukdari Settlement Officer (respondents).	The deceased Kazi of Broach had sued the Kazi of Ahmedabad to recover Rs. 948 on account of annuity. The Joint Subordinate Judge of Ahmedabad passed a decree in favour of the Kazi of Broach. Against this decision the Kazi of Ahmedabad appealed to the District Judge.	The District Judge of Ahmedabad confirmed the Lower Court's decree, reducing the amount of claim to Rs. 585 on the 12th January 1892. Against this decision an appeal has been preferred to the High Court on behalf of the Kazi of Broach. A cross appeal has also been filed by the Ahmedabad Kazi.
		NEW SUITS FILED DURING THE YEAR.		
		AHMEDABAD COLLECTORATE.		
32	Fulchand Jesang ...	The Collector of Ahmedabad as guardian of minors Bapubhai and Chandrasang Dajiraj of Ranpur.	To recover a sum of Rs. 288, including interest at 2 per cent. per mensem.	The Joint Judge of Ahmedabad passed a decree in favour of the plaintiff for Rs. 130 with interest at only 12 annas per mensem. The rest of the plaintiff's claim was dismissed. The plaintiff has appealed to the High Court.

APPENDIX XI—continued.

No.	Name of Plaintiff.	Name of Defendant.	Subject.	REMARKS.
1	2	3	4	5
AHMEDABAD COLLECTORATE—continued.				
33	Vyas Jádavji Devkarsan and Shivráam Devkarsan of Gamph.	1. Narsingji Arjansingji of Ankevalia. 2. Dulabh Zaver of Dhandhuka. 3. The Talukdári Settlement Officer, Gujarát.	To obtain possession of the village of Ankevalia by virtue of a mortgage-deed.	The First Class Subordinate Judge returned the plaint for presentation to proper Court. The plaintiff has since filed his suit in the Court of the District Judge of Ahmedabad. It is pending.
34	Rámdás Kálidás of Detroj ...	Khánji and Nadhoji Karansang, minors, by their guardian the Collector of Ahmedabad.	To recover Rs. 565 due on a bond.	The Assistant Judge of Ahmedabad passed a decree in favour of the plaintiff for Rs. 446 with interest at 6 per cent. per annum.
35	Maganlál Nagindás of Ahmedabad.	Do. do. ...	To recover Rs. 1,238-1-0 due on bonds and khátas.	The District Judge of Ahmedabad passed a decree for Rs. 849 in favour of the plaintiff.
36	Bibi Fatmábibi, daughter of Fajleali Divánji, deceased, by her heir Sayad Fakrudin Valade Najumia of Cambay. 2. Rajudin Valade Najumia ... 3. Bibi Husenbibi, daughter of Najumia Fakrudin.	The Talukdári Settlement Officer as Manager of the Dharoda estate by consent of its owners and judgment-creditors.	To recover Rs. 300, being the income of the plaintiff's $\frac{28}{35}$ th share in the Dharoda estate.	Pending in the Court of the First Class Subordinate Judge of Ahmedabad.
37	Keshavlál Mansukhrám of Dholka.	Mena Shri Dáda Sáheb Báwa Sáheb, minor, by his guardian the Collector of Ahmedabad.	To recover Rs. 518 on account of salary alleged to be due.	Pending in the Court of the District Judge of Ahmedabad.
38	Leherábhái Govindji of Ránpur	Bápubha Dájiráj and Chandrasung Dájiráj, minors, by their guardian the Collector of Ahmedabad.	To recover Rs. 280 due on a bond.	The claim was dismissed with costs by the Assistant Judge of Ahmedabad.
39	Nagar Otam, Manager of the firm of Premchand Jetha of Chhaniar.	Khanji and Nadhaji Karansang, minors, by their guardian the Collector of Ahmedabad.	To recover Rs. 2,368.	Pending in the Court of the District Judge of Ahmedabad.
40	Soni Chhagan Velchand of Chhaniar.	Do. do. ...	To recover Rs. 172.	Do. do.
41	Sankalchand, Mohanlal and Maganlál, sons and heirs of Son Vithal Velchand of Chhaniar.	Do. do. ...	To recover Rs. 184.	Do. do.
42	Kálidás Velchand of Chhaniar ...	Do. do. ...	To recover Rs. 172.	Do. do.
43	Soni Lavji Velchand of Chhaniar.	Do. do. ...	To recover Rs. 172.	Do. do.
44	Soni Mulji Velchand of Chhaniar.	Do. do. ...	To recover Rs. 172.	Do. do.
45	Raval Shri Vakhsatsangji Meghrájji of Vála.	The Collector of Ahmedabad as Administrator of Nanbha Gagubha, deceased, heir of Gagubha alias Kalyansangji Abhesangji of Gangad.	To recover Rs. 51,534-2-3 alleged to be due on khátas.	Pending in the Court of the First Class Subordinate Judge of Ahmedabad.
46	Popat Nathu of Wagad ...	Bapubha and Chandrasang Dájiráj, minors, by their guardian the Collector of Ahmedabad.	To recover Rs. 260 alleged to be due on a bond.	The District Judge of Ahmedabad dismissed the plaintiff's claim with costs.
47	Fulchand Jesing ...	Do do. ...	To recover Rs. 2,438 due on a bond.	Pending in the Court of the District Judge of Ahmedabad.

APPENDIX XI—concluded.

No.	Name of Plaintiff.	Name of Defendant.	Subject.	REMARKS.
1	2	3	4	5
		AHMEDABAD COLLECTORATE—continued.		
48	Desai Dharnidhar Harjiwan of Gogha.	Dolatsing Dudhabhai, minor, by his guardian, the Collector of Ahmedabad.	To recover Rs. 743 on account of rent of certain land.	Pending in the Court of the District Judge of Ahmedabad.
49	Shanghvi Tribhowan Lalchand of Chhaniar.	Khanji and Nadhaji Karansang, minors, by their guardian, the Collector of Ahmedabad.	To recover Rs. 590	Do. do.
50	Dalichand Vandrawan and Hari-lal Santabhai.	Sher Mahomed alias Dada Saheb Bawa Saheb, minor, by his guardian, the Collector of Ahmedabad.	To recover Rs. 335	Do. do.
51	Ghoyul Kandha Karsan of Rojka.	The Collector of Ahmedabad as guardian of minor Gagubha Dipsing of Rojka.	To regain possession of certain land.	Do. do.
52	Modi Lal Mulji " Samal Mulji " Lulu Gordhan " Varajlal Gordhan " Harjiwan Gordhan, and " Samal Gordhan	Do. do. ...	To recover Rs. 404-7-9, being the income of Dhansar land in Rojka.	Do. do.
		BROACH COLLECTORATE.		
53	Bhagtidās Narsidās and Bai Gomti, widow of Damodardass Parmanand of Broach.	Chandrasangji Himatsangji of Matar, minor, by his guardian, the Collector of Broach.	To recover Rs. 168, being the arrears of a cash allowance from Samvat 1938 to 1949.	Pending in the Court of the Assistant Judge, F. P., Broach.

H. O. QUIN,
Acting Talukdāri Settlement Officer, Gujarāt.

APPENDIX XII.

Financial Review of the Estates under management for the year 1892-93.

No.	Estate, Owner's Name and Nature of Revenue Management.	Area under Management.	Out-standing Balances.	RECEIPTS, 1892-93.				CHARGES, 1892-93.								BALANCE IN HAND ON 31st JULY 1893.		Debts out-standing on 31st July 1893.			
				Total.	Cash in hand on 1st August 1892.	Remission.	Total Realiza-tions during 1892-93.	Total.	Govern-ment dues.	Collection Charges in the Taluka.	Tahsildari Settlement Officer's Establish-ment.	Mainte-nance, Education and other ex-penses of Talukdars.	Paid to Creditors.	Special.	Miscel-laneous.	Total.	Outstand-ing in the Village.		In hand.		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	
Sánand Taluka.																					
1	Khoda (crop-share) — Takhtasing Ajubhai —	The whole estate.	...	594	594	93	...	594	687	161	15	17	...	300	...	128	621	...	66	...	
2	Khoda Bhat ...		...	270	270	88	...	270	358	124	...	...	...	200	...	...	324	...	34	...	
3	Eyawa (crop-share) —		...	151	151	40	...	151	191	12	...	...	3	...	130	...	...	145	...	46	...
	Bhimji Dosaji ...	Do.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	Makhiav (crop-share) —	Do.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	Gagubha Easingji ...	Do.	1,959	10,947	12,906	2,175	...	10,999	13,174	3,247	700	288	925	...	4,942	333	10,435	1,907	2,739	...	
Dholka Taluka.																					
4	Latifkhan (acre-rates) —	The whole estate.	367	18,873	19,240	389	39	18,502	18,930	9,147	1,089	521	1,200	2,000	2,583	539	17,079	699	1,851	...	
	Dholka Estate ...		...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Návda (crop-share) ...		26	4,231	4,257	3,127	...	3,033	6,100	1,195	219	162	...	...	...	2,236	640	4,452	1,224	1,708	...
	Common ...	Do.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Dhandhuka Taluka.																					
5	Bodana (crop-share) —	The whole estate.	12	361	373	398	...	349	747	121	60	19	...	...	...	...	200	24	547	...	
	Gokal Jiwan ...		3	98	101	171	...	95	266	30	10	3	40	...	...	...	83	6	183	...	
	Bhala Ransur ...		1	51	52	22	...	50	72	6	2	1	...	...	...	...	9	2	63	...	
	Puna Teja, &c. ...	Do.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	Khinrao Ghela ...	Do.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	Dada Rajsi ...	Do.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	Ashkaran Gangdás ...	Do.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
6	Bela (crop-share) —	Do.	2	99	101	874	...	95	969	24	8	4	65	...	...	2	103	6	866	...	
	Vika Dosa ...	Do.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	
	Jetha Vasta ...	Do.	2	124	126	...	...	115	115	50	44	...	...	...	1	1	96	11	19	...	
	...	Do.	2	289	291	30	...	281	311	50	46	10	...	100	...	...	207	10	104	...	
Gogha Taluka.																					
7	Bhawánipura (crop-share) —	The whole estate.	...	308	308	139	...	308	447	60	15	6	182	...	...	...	263	...	184	...	
	Karaba Kashinji ...		...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Khimbha (crop-share) —		...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
8	Hamirji Vakaji, &c. ...	Do.	...	1,200	1,200	1,110	...	1,200	2,310	124	51	66	...	990	...	1	1,232	...	1,078	...	

9	Virangām Taluka.	The whole estate.	616	4,159	4,775	1,114	896	3,720	5,730	792	217	142	...	3,000	...	946	5,101	159	626	...
			2	383	4,385	70	45	339	451	34	1	14	...	250	...	47	346	1	108	...
10	Badatal (crop-share)— Umaji Jodhaji, &c. ... Huzarji Godaji, &c. ... Dhanaji Nathuji ... Madhaji Ramaji ... Sinej Kanpura (acre-rates)— Haraji Bidarji, &c. ... Sawaji Ramaji, &c. ... Umaji Kasaji, &c. ... Hamirji Puraji, &c. ... Okhaji Dhanaji, &c. ... Common land ... Jethipura (acre-rates)— Agarsing Sawaji, &c. ... Dabhsar (acre-rates)— Pathaji Becharji, &c. ... Jiwaji Sadaji ...	Do.	336	7,693	8,029	322	200	7,762	8,284	172	18	39	...	7,472	...	211	7,912	67	372	...
			67	809	876	1,350	96	665	2,111	...	13	26	...	...	...	96	135	115	1,976	...
11	Do.	Do.	316	10,746	11,062	664	421	10,580	11,665	296	30	59	...	10,329	...	436	11,150	61	515	...
			397	1,175	1,572	294	34	951	1,279	123	27	28	...	556	...	36	770	5-7	509	...
12	Do.	Do.	622	1,343	1,965	1,211	14	920	2,145	123	24	37	...	1,668	...	38	1,031	1,031	255	...
			225	1,148	1,373	258	...	1,075	1,333	80	43	34	...	775	...	51	983	298	350	...
13	Gogha Taluka.	The whole estate.	198	1,649	1,847	744	5	1,484	2,233	80	38	51	...	1,425	...	64	1,658	358	575	...
			75	741	816	122	...	689	811	80	38	27	...	149	...	49	343	127	468	...
14	Do.	Do.	2,125	960	3,085	526	...	172	698	15	12	4	...	...	...	...	31	2,913	667	...
			...	6,999	6,999	86	179	6,820	7,085	300	19	44	...	6,255	...	210	6,858	...	227	...
15	Dholka Taluka.	The whole estate.	182	883	1,065	1,132	...	...	1,132	...	3	55	...	100	...	...	336	1,065	74	...
			...	150	150	59	...	118	177	...	...	6	...	158	...	12	176	32	1	...
16	Do.	Do.	...	235	235	48	...	235	233	...	1	4	...	184	...	88	277	...	6	...
			...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
17	Dhandhuka Taluka.	The whole estate.	7	700	707	52	...	700	752	...	...	22	...	600	...	...	622	7	130	...
			30	700	730	89	...	700	789	...	...	23	...	400	350	...	773	30	16	...
18	Do.	Do.	825	2,125	2,950	140	...	2,940	3,080	...	...	2	...	1,400	825	...	2,227	10	853	...
			100	414	514	44	...	514	558	...	...	12	...	450	...	...	462	...	96	...
19	Do.	Do.	75	75	150	3	...	75	78	...	...	...	...	60	...	...	60	75	18	...
			...	548	548	...	...	548	548	260	...	...	...	75	212	...	547	...	1	...
20	Do.	Do.	...	600	600	...	...	600	600	260	...	...	...	90	244	...	594	...	6	...
			...	501	501	...	...	501	501	260	...	...	...	15	226	...	601	...	...	...
21	Do.	Do.	180	1,050	1,230	52	...	1,030	1,082	524	1	10	...	500	...	...	1,035	200	47	...
			1,085	5,184	6,269	5,703	...	6,055	11,758	...	1	241	...	4,030	1,021	...	5,699	214	6,059	...
22	Do.	Do.	200	3,700	3,900	974	...	3,900	4,874	...	4	104	...	4,300	...	...	4,408	...	466	...
			...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...

NOT UNDER DIRECT MANAGEMENT.

APPENDIX XII—continued.

No.	Estate, Owner's Name and Nature of Revenue Management.	Area under Management.	Out- standing Balances.	Revenue for Collection.	Total.	RECEIPTS, 1892-93.					CHARGES, 1892-93.							BALANCE IN HAND ON 31st JULY 1893.		Debits outstand- ing on 31st July 1893.
						Cash in hand on 1st August 1892.	Remission.	Total Realiza- tions during 1892-93.	Total.	Govern- ment dues.	Collection and Charges in the Taluka.	Talukdār Settlement Officer's Establish- ment.	Mainte- nance, Education and other expenses of Taluk- dārs.	Paid to Creditors.	Special.	Miscella- neous.	Total.	Out- standing in the Village.	In hand.	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21
ESTATES MANAGED ON ACCOUNT OF REVENUE DEFAULT, &c.																				
<i>Dhandhuka Taluka.</i>																				
62	Chasiana (crop-share)— Umedsing Sangabhai ...	The whole estate.	132	9,199	9,331	50,088	...	9,309	59,397	1,042	278	278	...	4,714	...	40	6,352	22	53,045	...
63	Rampur— Subamia Bapusaheb ...	Do.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
64	Cher— Pitabhai Jaswatsing ...	Do.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
<i>Prantij Taluka.</i>																				
65	Chandpur— Sawaji Manaji ...	The whole estate.	...	158	158	...	...	151	151	17	...	...	...	...	...	...	17	7	134	...
<i>Sarnad Taluka.</i>																				
66	Chekha Rampura (acre-rates)— Ramsingji Dewaji ...	The whole estate.	506	4,685	5,151	1,248	6	4,606	5,860	1,287	326	127	...	...	2,099	88	3,927	579	1,933	...
67	Godhavi (crop-share)— Motibhai Durgarji ...	Do.	80	3,417	3,497	584	98	3,289	3,971	2,737	273	107	...	...	98	50	3,255	110	706	...
68	Gardodia (crop-share)— Chelaji Rawaji, &c. ...	Do.	576	1,361	1,937	21	...	1,041	1,062	565	177	25	...	...	...	61	828	896	234	...
<i>Dhandhuka Taluka.</i>																				
69	Khas (acre rates)— Raning Sanga, &c. ...	The whole estate.	3,997	11,252	15,249	10,715	...	12,082	22,797	4,625	298	334	...	2,845	3,210	79	11,391	3,167	11,406	...
70	Nawda (crop-share)— Sardarsing Hamabhai ...	Do.	287	3,222	3,509	23	...	2,989	3,012	1,802	88	64	...	...	...	160	2,114	520	898	...
71	Adwal (crop-share)— Becharsing Nathubhai ...	Do.	54	5,057	5,111	2,582	...	3,684	6,266	660	46	100	...	158	...	33	997	1,427	5,209	...
<i>Dholka Taluka.</i>																				
72	Dholi Rápur (crop-share)— Wasna Talukdars ...	The whole estate.	173	803	976	1,314	139	649	2,102	368	193	54	...	...	1,000	139	1,754	188	348	...
73	Gangad (crop-share)— Heir to Gagubha Abhesing ...	Do.	5,413	47,655	53,068	15,402	299	48,660	64,361	19,051	4,901	1,564	7,217	8,980	...	6,283	46,996	4,109	17,365	...
	Jasubha Agarsing ...	Do.	160	930	1,090	9	...	980	989	749	46	30	...	...	...	55	886	110	109	...
<i>Gogha Taluka.</i>																				
74	Mamsa— Kalubhai Hamabhai ...	The whole estate.	...	559	559	...	...	569	559	192	7	...	...	...	...	...	199	...	360	...

APPENDIX XII—continued.

No.	Estate, Owner's Name and Nature of Revenue Management.	Area under Management.	Out-standing Balances.	Revenue for Collection.	Total.	RECEIPTS, 1892-93.				CHARGES, 1892-93.								BALANCE IN HAND ON 31st JULY 1893.			Debits outstanding on 31st July 1893.
						Cash in hand on 1st August 1892.	Remission.	Total Realizations during 1892-93.	Total.	Government dues.	Collection and Charges in the Taluka.	Talukdar Settlement Officer's Establishment.	Maintenance, Education and other expenses of Talukdars.	Paid to Creditors.	Special.	Miscellaneous.	Total.	Out-standing in the Village.	In hand.		
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	
MANAGED UNDER THE PROVISIONS OF SECTION 504, CIVIL PROCEDURE CODE, THE COLLECTOR BEING APPOINTED RECEIVER BY THE COURT.																					
KATRA COLLECTORATE UNDER ACT XXI OF 1881.																					
160	<i>Dhandhuka Taluka</i> —continued.																				
160	Bhimnāth (crop-share)— Gajrajgar Ishwargar ...	The whole estate.	...	4,252	4,252	...	...	4,252	4,252	...	...	...	...	3,695	...	...	3,695	...	557	200	
161	Wādihela (crop-share)— Wāghabhai Jethibhai ...	Do.	...	37	37	10	...	...	10	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	37	10	180	
162	<i>Viramgām Taluka.</i>																				
162	Bhānkoda (crop-share)— Adesing Baneasing ...	The whole estate.	...	1,539	1,539	102	...	1,001	1,103	390	70	32	...	...	...	1	493	538	610	2,146	
163	Madrisāna (crop-share)— Gobarsing Anopang ...	Do.	...	202	202	314	...	202	516	68	1	5	...	...	300	6	380	...	136	...	
164	<i>Dhandhuka Taluka.</i>																				
164	Pipāria, &c. (crop-share)— Huseunia Badāmia, &c.	The whole estate.	...	1,500	1,500	436	...	1,500	1,936	376	1	28	...	400	...	...	806	...	1,131	1,826	
165	Khās (acre-rates)— Desa Gāga, &c. ...	Do.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	Vide Appendix VIII.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	395	
166	<i>Prāntij Taluka.</i>																				
166	Sāgpur (crop share)— Kesharāj Becharji ...	The whole estate.	473	1,202	1,675	606	75	316	997	166	72	24	...	500	...	99	861	1,284	136	3,320	
167	<i>A'hand Taluka.</i>																				
167	Nāpad (acre-rates)— Bharatsing Bawāji ...	The whole estate.	...	3,532	3,532	1,768	...	3,532	5,290	...	84	173	474	3,000	1,000	52	4,783	...	507	...	
	Parbatsing Nānābāwa ...	Do.	...	1,648	1,648	405	...	1,648	2,053	188	82	47	280	1,000	...	91	1,888	...	365	...	
	Rāsingji Mulji ...	Do.	13	423	436	96	...	436	532	30	24	12	125	150	...	4	495	...	37	...	
	Sāhebsing Karnābhāi ...	Do.	...	369	369	65	...	369	434	24	19	11	100	100	75	2	331	...	103	...	
	Nānābāwa Motāji ...	Do.	...	1,369	1,369	370	...	1,369	1,739	235	79	42	240	600	300	5	1,501	...	238	...	
	Rupsing Abhesing ...	Do.	...	2,385	2,385	555	...	2,385	2,940	423	137	72	329	1,600	...	6	2,567	...	373	...	
	Gemalsing Rāstbhai ...	Do.	...	516	516	204	...	516	720	24	30	16	85	450	...	6	611	...	109	...	
	Pāmol Wānto ...	Do.	...	3,151	3,151	812	...	3,151	3,963	2,044	180	94	...	...	715	2	3,035	...	928	...	
168	Khodwad (acre-rates)— Mokansing Dalpatsing	Do.	63	2,494	2,557	484	40	2,517	3,041	1,042	292	72	350	600	225	148	2,729	...	312	...	
169	<i>Borsad Taluka.</i>																				
169	Nāpa (acre-rates)— Fateasing Bapuji ...	The whole estate.	37	2,831	2,868	365	...	2,865	3,280	571	127	84	318	1,500	239	42	2,881	3	349	...	
	Bai Surābha ...	Do.	...	223	223	62	...	223	285	1	10	6	90	50	...	...	207	...	78	...	
	Narsing Abhesing ...	Do.	...	3,091	3,091	876	...	3,091	3,967	498	148	93	780	1,300	900	28	3,697	...	270	...	

KATHA COLLECTORATE UNDER ACT XXI OF 1881.

170	Fattessing Takhtasing ... Haribhai Amarsing ... Rajbha Jibhai ... Punjaji Jijibhai... Parapsing Dabhai ... Motaji Bhaila ... Sardarsing Kabhai ... Himatsing Dadabawa ... Takhtasing Banasing ... Madharsing Haribhai ... Common land ... Dehwan (acre-rates)— Dajibawa Dadabhai ...	Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. Do.	2,148 2,148 1,581 861 1,878 557 392 514 138 693 90 1,950	2,148 847 1,787 361 1,878 557 392 514 138 693 90 2,002	779 75 345 94 512 123 60 46 6 209 168 246	2,093 847 1,828 361 1,878 557 392 514 138 693 90 1,950	2,872 922 2,132 455 2,390 660 452 560 144 902 253 2,248	278 160 362 60 36 119 92 101 33 74 7 169	102 41 79 18 27 27 19 25 7 34 4 131	78 26 54 11 27 17 12 15 4 21 3 57	725 165 495 18 100 150 40 100 68 258 ... 391	1,000 400 600 300 1,552 200 160 25 30 50 ... 1,100	19 25 2 14 194 14 26 ... 10	2,202 817 1,960 421 1,936 527 413 541 142 863 126 2,052	55 20	670 105 172 34 464 188 39 19 2 39 137 196	
171	Gajna (acre-rates)— Himatsingji Rasesingji...	Do.	4,714	4,714	1,010	4,714	5,724	741	273	140	142	1,100	800	837	142	4,083	1,691
172	Salol (acre-rates)— Motbhai Sardar...	Do.	797	797	11	752	808	332	107	24	34	70	120	70	34	757	51
173	Khodhai Kesar ... Bhetdai (acre-rates)— Bapuji Banasing ... Parapsing Madharsing ... Rasesingji Dadabawa ... Common land ... Anklav (acre-rates)— Dabhai Bagwaji, &c. ...	Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. Do. Do.	694 7,131 7,266 2,513 614	694 7,131 7,266 2,513 614	244 73 86 8,000 96	682 7,131 7,264 2,513 614	938 7,204 7,352 10,593 710	136 916 945 2 94	38 107 118 82 33	21 60 65 48 18	12 70 72	179 213 92 ... 50	400 4,200 3,000 10,149 400	... 675 3,000 10,149 ...	12 70 72	786 6,241 6,656 10,281 595	152 993 698 312 115
Kapadwanj Taluka.																	
175	Alwa (acre-rates)— Jamiet Raju, &c. ...	The whole estate.	3,152	3,451	553	3,057	3,610	536	249	96	50	565	1,914	...	50	3,410	200
Mehmadabad Taluka.																	
176	Kuna (acre-rates)— Dadasaheb Jibawa ...	The whole estate.	7,557	7,719	1,929	7,548	9,608	2,708	413	227	161	600	3,000	878	161	7,987	1,651
177	Kaira (acre-rates)— Ratanising Jijibhai ... Wakhtasing Adesing ...	Do. Do.	2,599 2,860	2,599 2,932	810 305	2,571 2,763	3,409 3,237	724 819	189 190	75 74	91 223	601 538	400 425	738 650	91 223	2,818 2,919	591 318
Matar Taluka.																	
178	Hariola (acre-rates)— Nathuji Jethiji ...	The whole estate.	1,842	1,842	634	1,809	2,409	731	152	57	11	476	100	550	11	2,077	392
Thidara Taluka.																	
179	Rania (acre-rates)— Jesalingbhai Dajibhai ...	The whole estate.	3,282	3,282	390	3,282	3,672	1,345	206	139	...	350	300	...	...	2,340	1,352
180	Khot (acre-rates)— Himatsing Virabhai ...	Do.	1,549	1,568	418	1,568	1,981	566	134	46	...	...	600	274	...	1,620	361
181	Angbadi (acre-rates)— Jabhakhan Aminkhan...	Do.	213	213	...	213	213	...	...	...	...	...	150	...	...	150	63
182	Angbadi Padhia (acre-rates)— Bhulanbhan Umraokhan...	Do.	118	118	47	118	165	3	1	4	...	...	125	...	...	133	32
183	Wadad (acre-rates)— Amir Anthu ...	Do.	137	137	15	137	152	39	2	4	8	...	125	...	...	178	26
184	Malvan (acre-rates)— Dadania Kamunia ...	Do.	158	158	133	158	291	58	2	6	...	...	127	...	...	193	98
185	Husenmia Bhulanmia ... Pali (acre-rates)— Nanumia Abadnia ...	Do. Do. Do.	462 180	462 180	396 100	462 180	858 280	131 93	6 2	15 6	...	...	542 150	...	...	694 251	164 29

APPENDIX XII—concluded.

No.	Estate, Owner's Name and Nature of Revenue Management.	Area under Management.	Out-standing Balances.	Revenue for Collection.	Total.	RECEIPTS, 1892-93.			CHARGES, 1892-93.							BALANCE IN HAND on 31st JULY 1893.		Debits out-standing on 31st July 1893.		
						Cash in hand on 1st August 1892.	Remission.	Total Realiza-tions during 1892-93.	Total.	Govern-ment dues.	Collection and Charges in the Taluka.	Talukdār Settlement Officer's Establish-ment.	Mainte-nance, Education and other ex-penses of Talukdārs.	Paid to Creditors.	Special.	Miscel-laneous.	Total.		Outstand-ing in the Village.	In hand.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21.
MISCELLANEOUS ESTATES UNDER MANAGEMENT.																				
Mādar Tātuka.																				
186	Dhārda (acre-rates)—	The whole estate.	41	5,581	5,622	1,495	22	5,546	7,063	3,964	366	189	920	...	...	67	5,506	54	1,557	...
187	Jāfarali Nijmali Chāndna (acre-rates)—	Do.	...	2,903	2,903	427	...	2,903	3,330	2,123	143	...	...	600	...	198	3,064	...	266	...
188	Amirmia Geratkhan Wārsang (acre-rates)—	Do.	...	2,523	2,523	1,740	...	2,513	4,253	654	206	75	490	...	1,549	7	2,981	10	1,272	...
Borsad Tātuka.																				
189	Bhānpura (acre-rates)—	The whole estate.	...	888	888	701	...	888	1,589	146	48	27	250	...	100	50	621	...	968	...
190	Takhtasing Sardarsing... Dehwān (acre-rates)—	Do.	...	13,933	13,933	3,304	295	13,253	16,852	3,898	497	399	4,000	873	396	971	11,034	385	5,818	...
191	Merāmsing Fattasing Khodhāwa Chāndābāwa Sālōl (acre-rates)—	Do.	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
	Vajasing Lāla, &c.	Do.	...	278	278	282	46	232	560	49	37	8	84	...	250	47	475	...	85	...
Anand Tātuka.																				
192	Ahimsa (acre-rates)— Chandrasing Himatsing	The whole estate.	...	6,773	6,773	3,071	2	6,771	9,844	1,544	282	202	1,699	...	2,100	259	6,086	...	3,768	...
Nadiād Tātuka.																				
193	Nadiād (acre-rates)— Kishordās and Kālidās...	The whole estate.	9,785	6,554	16,339	10,269	36	13,640	32,945	737	268	246	913	70	966	1,417	4,607	2,663	28,338	...
BROACH COLLECTORATE.																				
UNDER ACT XXI OF 1881.																				
194	Itola (acre-rates)— Jībhbāi Bhāimā, &c.	The whole estate	114	1,004	1,118	234	...	1,069	1,303	149	78	31	300	500	...	79	1,137	49	166	...

ESTATES UNDER ACT VIII OF 1890.

BROACH COLLECTORATE.

UNDER ACT XXI OF 1881.

Jambusar Taluka.																																		
195	Sarod (acre-rates)— Ganpatsing Himatsing ...	The whole estate.	1,805	26,526	28,331	9,754	29	26,824	36,604	9,296	2,208	833	3,372	14,960	2,343	524	33,536	1,481	3,068	...														
196	Sigam (acre-rates)— Jitsingji Bhimsingji ...	Do.	29	2,645	2,674	294	...	2,639	2,933	664	219	86	391	900	51	70	2,381	35	552	...														
197	Mangad (acre-rates)— Madhavsing Sadaji, &c.	Do.	...	2,522	2,522	2,015	...	2,522	4,537	519	319	76	90	871	...	15	1,890	...	2,647	...														
Vagra Taluka.																																		
198	Khandali (acre-rates)— Bhatbawa Bapuji, &c.	The whole estate.	3,447	9,254	12,701	2,954	...	11,258	14,212	2,058	693	269	974	8,200	50	119	12,363	1,443	1,849	...														
199	Khandali and Dabha... Janiadra (acre-rates)— Jitsing Somsingji ...	Do.	3,528	10,698	14,226	1,545	...	11,596	13,141	4,313	959	294	1,230	44	1,500	35	8,375	2,630	4,766	...														
Broach Taluka.																																		
200	Jhanor (acre-rates)— Khusadisingji Mohansingji Common Estate...	The whole estate. Do.	274 ...	5,174 1,672	5,448 1,672	7,134 1,487	...	5,142 1,672	12,276 3,159	754 457	263 42	133 50	260 ...	1,846 ...	...	32 25	3,288 1,647	306 ...	8,988 1,512	...														
Amod Taluka.																																		
201	Matar (acre-rates)— Chandrasingji Himatsingji	The whole estate.	752	14,747	15,499	31,018	8	15,107	46,133	3,949	657	459	4,038	...	839	634	10,576	384	35,557	...														
Jambusar Taluka.																																		
202	Sarod (acre-rates)— Rasesingji Jibawa ...	The whole estate.	540	3,413	3,953	354	...	3,440	3,794	625	121	81	1,400	...	754	115	3,096	513	698	...														
Broach Taluka.																																		
203	Jhanor (acre-rates)— Jitsingji Bharatsing ...	The whole estate.	1,515	18,214	19,729	92,973	481	17,115	1,10,569	763	450	640	1,309	...	1,077	71,000	75,239	2,133	36,330	...														
204	Broach (acre-rates)— Kazi Nurudin Ahmedhusen ...	Do.	915	3,395	4,310	358	258	3,398	3,914	655	166	91	275	1,648	669	245	3,749	654	265	...														
Broach Taluka.																																		
205	Jhanor (acre-rates)— Ramsingji Khumasingji Dajibawa Sardarsing ...	The whole estate. Do.	...	4,898 1,220	4,898 1,220	2,358 942	...	4,898 1,220	7,436 2,162	1,463 261	314 53	136 31	1,230 ...	...	1,545 800	3 5	4,691 1,150	...	2,740 1,012	...														
Total																				66,312	98,099	6,88,897	82,901	1,81,954	69,447	18,385	89,447	1,81,954	82,901	98,099	6,88,897	66,312	4,42,791	...

MISCELLANEOUS ESTATES UNDER MANAGEMENT.

ESTATES UNDER ACT VIII OF 1890.

MISCELLANEOUS ESTATES UNDER MANAGEMENT.

H. O. QUIN,
Acting Talukdari Settlement Officer, Gujarat.

REMARKS OF THE COMMISSIONER, N. D., ON THE ADMINISTRATION REPORT OF THE TALUKDARI SETTLEMENT OFFICER FOR THE YEAR 1892-93.

No. 3685 OF 1893.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Commissioner N. D.'s Office,
Ahmedabad, 23rd September 1893.

Forwarded to Government.

2. There was no change in the personnel of the Talukdari Settlement Officer in charge. Officer, except for a period of three months during which Mr. Quin was absent on privilege leave, when Mr. Curtis held charge of the office in addition to his own duties as Assistant Collector, Ahmedabad.

It would have been better if Mr. Quin had visited Broach, but the satisfactory account of the Broach estates given at paragraph 8 shows that the estates have not suffered from neglect in supervision.

3. The season was on the whole a very fair one in all the three districts to which this report relates, although, owing to excessive rain, the kharif crops suffered more or less in different parts; but the outturn of rice, cotton and wheat in Ahmedabad, and rice, tur, gram and tobacco in Kaira was very good. In Broach the cotton crop was specially good, and the other crops also did well.

Number of estates under Act VI of 1862 and XXI of 1881 in the Ahmedabad, Kaira and Broach Districts.

4. The following table shows the number of estates under management in each district, and the progress made during the year in the settlement of their liabilities:—

District.	Number of estates under management.	DEBT AT THE BEGINNING OF THE YEAR.			Advances from Government Treasury during the year, and interest accrued up to 31st July 1893.	PAYMENTS DURING THE YEAR.			DEBT AT THE END OF THE YEAR.			Reduction of debt.	Percentage reduction of debt.
		To creditors.	To Government.	Total.		To creditors.	To Government.	Total.	To creditors.	To Government.	Total.		
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
Ahmedabad ..	65	1,58,290	*1,32,956	2,91,246	27,845	32,034	18,282	50,316	1,26,256	1,42,519	2,68,775	43,395	13.8
Kaira ..	42	6,304	*1,74,891	1,81,185	8,320	213	31,936	32,149	6,091	1,51,265	1,57,356	23,829	13.15
Broach ..	7	30,616	*23,803	64,419	1,470	2,717	24,604	27,321	27,809	10,869	38,568	25,851	40.1
Total ..	114	1,95,210	3,41,640	5,36,850	37,635	34,964	74,822	1,09,786	1,60,246	3,04,453	4,64,690	98,065	17.8

*The amounts are given according to the revised calculations made by the Accountant General.

5. Two of the estates in the Ahmedabad District managed under Act VI of 1862 having paid off their liabilities have been released from management. The total number in that district has thus been reduced to 57. There are, besides, 6 estates in the same district which, though released from management under the Act, still continue to be held by the Talukdari Settlement Officer pending the liquidation of their liabilities to Government. One of these, however, has freed itself during the year. Of the total number of 62 estates, 30 are under the direct management of the Talukdari Settlement Officer, 29 are managed by the Talukdars themselves under the supervision of the Talukdari Settlement Officer, and 3 are under special arrangements managed by the creditors.

6. The total debt amounted to Rs. 2,91,246 (Rs. 1,58,290 due to private creditors + Rs. 1,32,956 due to Government). During the year, Rs. 32,034 were paid to private creditors, (Rs. 20,914 by an advance from Government and Rs. 11,120 by collection from Talukdars), while Rs. 18,282 were paid to Government in liquidation of advances

obtained. The average payment is thus 16·1 per cent. of the total sum due against 12·31 per cent., and the reduction of debt is 13·8 per cent. against 10 per cent. in the previous year. The progress of settlement may, therefore, be regarded as satisfactory.

7. The sum of Rs. 20,914 having been obtained as an advance from Government, there has been a large reduction in the debt due to private creditors, which now stands at Rs. 1,26,256 against Rs. 1,58,290. On the other hand, the debt to Government has risen from Rs. 1,32,956 to Rs. 1,42,519.

8. With the exception of the estates of Návda, Makiáv, Bela and Bodána all the others appear to be doing well. The case of Návda appears to be hopeless. The Bhal drainage project, which, it is hoped, will benefit this estate, is still under the consideration of Government. The work is of considerable importance, and the estimate of revenue recently prepared is quite sufficient to justify the project being carried out. But if this scheme fails to do real benefit to Návda, it will be necessary to revise the *jama*, which is certainly too heavy now that Limbdi has practically won in the Courts the right to cut off the supply of water for the Návda rice cultivation. The Makiáv estate has usually only a small surplus to meet the debt due to Government, and this year it was swallowed up in the marriage expenses of the elder of the two minor Thákors.

9. The financial position of the 42 Kaira estates is very satisfactory. Four of them have during the year freed themselves, and the total number has thus been reduced to 38. It will be seen that the amount due to private creditors is very small, being only Rs. 6,091. But the payments to creditors were only Rs. 213 out of Rs. 6,304. This does not seem satisfactory, and care should be taken to see that a larger amount of private debt is paid off. On the other hand the debt due to Government amounts to Rs. 1,51,265. During the year Rs. 32,149 were paid by the several estates towards liquidation of their debts, giving an average payment of 17·7 per cent. and a reduction of 13·15 per cent. against 13·83 and 9·17 per cent., respectively, in the preceding year. The arrangement under which the Thákor of Nápád has been allowed to manage his estate himself, paying a stipulated sum towards debt settlement, has proved successful.

10. There are only 7 estates under management in the Broach District, and of these 2 were released during the year. Rs. 2,717 were paid to private creditors and Rs. 24,604 to Government, leaving Rs. 38,568 still to be paid. In consequence of the Sarod and Janiádra estates having made large payments, the average per cent. amounts to 42·4 and reduction of debt to 40·1. All the estates are reported to be in a satisfactory condition.

11. The estates of 3 minors were released from management in consequence of the owners having attained their majority, while 2 estates were added. The total number under management is thus 53 against 54 last year. Eleven of the estates are said to be unencumbered, and of these 5 have at their credit large balances, which have been invested either in Government paper or in mortgages on lands. The Tálukdári Settlement Officer is endeavouring to make further landed investments. The total amount invested in Government paper is Rs. 49,000. Rs. 91,000 are invested in landed property, and the cash balance amounts to Rs. 83,924.

12. Much attention is paid to the education of the minors. One, the Utelia Thákor, is attending the Rájkumár College and is preparing to matriculate at the Bombay University. Ten boys are attending the Wadhván Grássia school, and good reports regarding them have been received.

13. The following estates are also under the management of the Tálukdári Settlement Officer :—

19 by consent of owners under Sections 26 and 28 of Act VI of 1888, and for various other reasons.

10 under attachment for default in payment of the Government jama.

396 under Section 320 of the Civil Procedure Code and process of sale.

6 under Section 504 of the Civil Procedure Code.

The total number is therefore 431 against 415 last year. One of the most important of these is the Gángad estate, the succession to which is still under litigation. Until the question of succession and other important civil suits are settled, the estate cannot prosper, but the step taken by the Tálukdári Settlement Officer in appointing a competent Deputy Manager, instead of an ordinary Upari Kárkún on Rs. 35 to 50, to supervise this large estate was quite necessary. Preparations have been made for the introduction of the bighoti system throughout the estate, and efforts are being made to bring under cultivation the large area of waste land in it. The boundary dispute between the Gángad estate and Sial (Limbdí) has been decided by Mr. Beaman, and, as far as can be ascertained at present, a large portion of the land claimed by the former has been awarded to the latter, but it is premature to discuss this till we have a copy of the decision.

14. The other important estate is that of Sánand and Koth, and the Commissioner agrees with the Tálukdári Settlement Officer that its condition, under the careful supervision of the Deputy Manager, is very satisfactory. Owing, however, to the excessive rainfall and damages by insects to the wheat crop, there is a slight and temporary falling off in the revenue, the amount realized being Rs. 1,33,078 against Rs. 1,41,705 in the preceding year. The outstanding balance of the year is large, being Rs. 10,453, and there are, besides, Rs. 7,455 on account of previous years, but steps are being taken to collect as much as possible of these balances during the current year. The balance in hand at the close of the year amounted to Rs. 69,918, and of this Rs. 42,000 will be placed at the disposal of the Thákor. This is, as pointed out last year, inevitable under the new law, but, as in last year, this amount will, it is hoped, be utilized to pay off debts. The separate report of the Deputy Manager furnishes full details of his management. It is to be regretted that there is no improvement in the young Thákor, but his reconciliation with his mother may do the estate some good, as these family quarrels always cost money.

15. The number of villages under the revenue charge of the Tálukdári Settlement Officer remains the same as last year, viz., 357. The Government jama from these villages amounted to Rs. 3,73,973 (inclusive of Local Funds) against Rs. 3,70,496 last year. The entire amount, excepting Rs. 5,586 on account of the Navda estate, has been collected. The village of Aníáli-Káthi, which, as Government are aware, had been restored to the original purchaser, has changed hands, having been sold to a Bombay merchant; and there is apprehension of trouble between him and the original Káthi owners, who are making matters unpleasant for him, but the Tálukdári Settlement Officer is endeavouring to bring about a settlement. Some of the chief Káthis are, however, in hiding owing to warrants being out against them on criminal charges.

16. The Tálukdári Settlement Officer has after some years made an inspection of the accounts kept by Mámlatdárs in connection with Tálukdári estates. There can be no doubt that these accounts should be regularly inspected by the Tálukdári Settlement Officer, and it is surprising that this duty has been hitherto overlooked. The attention of Government has lately been drawn to the somewhat similar laxity of control of minors' estates in the Deccan. The Tálukdári Settlement Officer should in future mention the subject regularly in his reports.

17. The revision survey of the Tálukdári villages in the Ahmedabad District has now been completed, and it is proposed to employ Mr. Holland next in the Panch Maháls. The orders of Government are awaited on Mr. Holland's proposals on the maximum rates to be imposed in the Gogha Táluka, but it is understood that Mr. Holland's report has been returned to him to be recast.

18. Proposals for the settlement of the jamás in the Dholka Táluka have been approved by Government, and the revised jamás will be levied for the first time during the current year. The increase is to be levied in instalments so as not to press heavily on the Tálukdárs. It amounts to Rs. 8,974 in the first year and Rs. 31,630 in the last year of the period of settlement.

19. The number of decrees received for execution under Section 320 of the Civil Procedure Code was 142 against 151 last year. The total number, including the balance of last year, was 867 against 797 in the previous year. Decrees under execution by management are included, and as this process is very slow, the number will necessarily increase every year. For instance, 619 were dealt with by management during the year under report against 500 in the previous year; of the remainder, 87 were dealt with by sale, 98 were returned for various reasons and 63 were under inquiry. Of those returned, 78 were compromised or satisfied, and in 12 Government declined to sanction sales of land. Two others were returned, because the crops ordered to be attached were not available, and 5 more were returned under Section 325, Civil Procedure Code. Rs. 76,400 were paid to creditors against Rs. 38,927 last year.

20. The number of applications received for partitions under Section 15 of the Act was 18 against 17 in the previous year, and including the balance of last year the total number for disposal was 24. Of these 13 have been disposed of, and 11 are under enquiry.

21. The total number of suits (including appeals) for disposal during the year was 53, and of the 19 finally decided, 12 of the decisions were wholly and 4 partly in favour of the defendant, while 3 were wholly adverse. Only one of the suits decided is of any importance, and in this the High Court decided that the Collector as Administrator has no power to levy Local Fund Cess from a tenant in excess of the rent he has agreed to pay.

22. Full information has been furnished regarding the large outstanding balances, but the amount of these has fallen from Rs. 1,03,276 in the previous year to Rs. 66,312. The percentage of collection is higher in all districts, especially in Kaira and Broach, while the proportion of remissions is in all districts very low. The percentage of outstanding balances in Ahmedabad is somewhat higher than in the previous year, but in Kaira and Broach it has fallen considerably. These figures are, no doubt, satisfactory, especially in the two last mentioned districts, where the season was more favourable than in Ahmedabad. The amount outstanding in Kaira is only Rs. 3,601, and in Broach Rs. 9,628, while in Ahmedabad it is Rs. 53,083. Of this amount Rs. 21,415 belong to the Sánand Táluka and is due principally to the failure of crops in some of the villages of the Thákor of Koth. In the Dhandhuka Táluka the outstanding balance has been reduced from Rs. 20,449 to Rs. 10,998 at the close of the year under report, and this is satisfactory considering that the season was not very good in this táluka. In Viramgám there has been an increase of Rs. 4,647, but Rs. 3,725 on account of rent of lands given to the Thákardás for maintenance, have been included, and will be written off. In Parántij and Gogha the amounts are very small.

23. Mr. Quin has nothing of importance to remark in connection with the working of the Act, but he gives an account of two cases, Aníali-Káthi and Kotda, as showing the benefit to the Tálukdárs of the provisions of the law, which prevent the indiscriminate transfers of land to money-lenders. Last year Mr. Quin raised the important question as to whether an alienee is entitled to demand partition under Section 10, and it will be seen that, during the year under report, 4 applications made by non-Tálukdárs, who had become possessors of shares of villages by purchase at Court-sales, were complied with.

24. The number of applications for raising loans was 76 (including 22 pending from the year before), and in 31 cases permission was granted, while in 36 others it was refused. Applications for loans. The amount involved, in the cases in which permission was granted, was Rs. 4,86,811. In one case (Thákor of Gamph) the loan to be raised was Rs. 2,00,000 and in another (Thákor of Kuwár) Rs. 1,00,000. These large sums were, it may be mentioned, borrowed for lessening interest on debts already contracted, and the Tálukdári Settlement Officer is of opinion that the step taken is calculated to improve the financial condition of these two estates, which are in very embarrassed circumstances; but the Commissioner sees no reason to doubt that Gamph, at least with ordinary care, will be freed from its embarrassment. The state of things shown in the Tálukdári Settlement Officer's paragraph 108 is by no means satisfactory. It is easy to see the difficulty, but the Tálukdári Settlement Officer should be able to arrange some system by which he can be kept more fully informed of the amount of the sanctioned loans which are actually raised.
25. No Tálukdár's estate was sold in execution of a Court's decree, but in two cases private sales of portions of estates were sanctioned by Government, both parties to the transactions being Tálukdárs. Sale of Tálukdárs' estates.
26. A portion of the "Gáikwádi Haveli" has been leased for the Tálukdárs' Jubilee Institute, which was formally opened on the 1st April last; two minors are already residing in the Institute, but it has not hitherto been availed of by Tálukdárs visiting Ahmedabad. Jubilee Memorial Institute.
27. A movement, similar to that progressing in Káthiáwár, is on foot to reduce the marriage expenses of the Rájput Grássias, but little interest has been shown in it. The Thákors of Amod and Gajna and two others are, however, exerting themselves in the matter. The people of this class in these parts are probably waiting to see what is done by their brethren in Káthiáwár and elsewhere, and in the meanwhile they maintain an attitude of indifference. Marriage expenses of Rájput Grássias.
28. The question of the expenditure of Local Fund money in Tálukdári villages has always been somewhat of a grievance with the Tálukdárs. The figures in paragraph 60 show that the matter has been attended to, but the figures for the tálukas of Sánand and Borsad are not satisfactory. Expenditure of Local Fund money in Tálukdári villages.
29. The Thákor of Limbdi has rather grudgingly accepted the decision of Government about police charges in his villages. It is unfortunate that he cannot divest himself of the belief that he stands in a different position from other Tálukdárs with reference to his Tálukdári villages in British Collectirates. Police charges in Limbdi villages.
30. The report does Mr. Quin credit and shows the interest he takes in his work, and the advantage of continuing an officer for some time in an office which more than any other in this Division requires special experience.

G. B. REID,
Commissioner, N. D.

Annual Administration Report of the Tálukdári Settlement Officer for the year 1892-93.

No. 2073.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Bombay Castle, 20th March 1894.

Letter from the Deputy Manager of the Sánand and Koth Estate, No. 27, dated 8th July 1893—Submitting his report on the administration of the estate under his charge for the year ending 31st July 1893.

Letter from the Tálukdári Settlement Officer, No. 862, dated 12th August 1893—Submitting his report on the administration of the Tálukdári Settlement Department for the year 1892-93.

Memorandum from the Commissioner, N. D., No. 3685, dated 23rd September 1893—Submitting the above reports with his remarks thereon.

Memorandum from the Commissioner, N. D., No. 4447, dated 23rd November 1893.

RESOLUTION.—Mr. Quin has again submitted a detailed and clear report which has been fully reviewed by the Commissioner, N. D.

2. The results of the year's administration are on the whole satisfactory. Although the debt due by the Tálukdárs to Government has increased during the year under report in Ahmedabad by about Rs. 10,000, the amount liquidated out of the total debt due both to Government and to private creditors in all the three districts of Ahmedabad, Kaira and Broach was considerably larger than in the previous year. The percentage of collections to gross realizable revenue was also higher and the proportion of remissions lower than that shown by the corresponding figures for the year 1891-92. Satisfactory progress has been made in recovering outstanding balances which have been reduced from Rs. 1,03,276 in the year 1891-92 to Rs. 66,312 in the year under report. In Ahmedabad the outstanding balance is still high owing to the unfavourable nature of the season, but in Kaira and Broach the amounts have been greatly reduced.

3. The scheme for the settlement of the debts of the Gangad estate having been finally sanctioned by Government, its finances are expected to show better results in future years.

4. During the year under report Local Fund money was more freely spent in the Ahmedabad Tálukdári villages; but the proportion of the cess spent in Kaira was clearly insufficient to meet local wants.

5. The proposals of the Tálukdári Settlement Officer for altering the existing arrangements for meeting the charges for boundary marks inspection and for the erection and repair of boundary marks in Tálukdári villages have been approved and sanctioned by Government in their Resolution No. 6921, dated 26th September 1893. In Government Resolution No. 1204, dated 14th February 1894, orders have been passed on Mr. Holland's proposals on the maximum rates to be imposed in the Gogha Táluka.

6. The appeal preferred by the Thákor of Vála to the Government of India against the levy of Local Fund Cess from his Tálukdári villages in Ahmedabad in accordance with the provisions of Bombay Act III of 1869 has been decided against the Thákor.

7. Government agree with the Commissioner, N. D., in thinking that the accounts kept by Mámlatdárs in connection with Tálukdári estates should be regularly inspected by the Tálukdári Settlement Officer. Some arrangement should be effected by Mr. Quin with a view to meet the difficulty at present experienced by him in keeping himself informed of the sanctioned loans which are actually raised by the Tálukdárs.

8. The administration of the Sánand and Koth estate was very satisfactory under the careful management of the Deputy Manager Mr. Hathising, although owing to the badness of the season the revenue of the estate fell off. Under the orders passed in Government Resolution No. 1744, dated 8th March 1894, the attachment placed on this estate under Section 26 of Act VI of 1888 has, however, been removed, and the estate has been restored to the Thákor's management.

W. L. HARVEY,
Under Secretary to Government.

To

The Commissioner, N. D.,	}	With copies of the report.
The Collector of Ahmedabad,		
The Collector of Kaira,		
The Collector of Broach,		
The Tálukdári Settlement Officer,		
The Survey Commissioner and Director of		
Land Records and Agriculture,	}	By letter.
The Accountant General,		
The Political Department of the Secretariat,		
The Judicial Department of the Secretariat.		
The Government of India,	}	
The Secretary of State for India.		